

Subject : BOYKO, Wolodymyr Herasymovych, Intunist employee ,Paris, Rue de Seize

Source : 20 Dr Mytrovich (AECASSUMARY-59)

Date : 15 June 1970

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
VAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

Subject visited our Source at his home on 3 May 1970 bringing with him a bottle of Ukrainian horilka, some fish, and candies for the children. He arrived in the early afternoon and stayed for 6 hours or so. Following is the gist of the information obtained.

1. The 24th Congress of the CPSU will take place as scheduled ,in early autumn 1970. He was not sure ,however, about the exact date. One should expect no profound political changes from it. However, there will be quite a few personal changes along the line of rejuvenation which is to be implemented at the congress. This ,of course, did not mean that Brezhnev will be replaced by someone younger. His leadership is so great that it would be a mistake to replace him at the present time.

There are ,however, "talks and rumors" that KOSYGIN would be replaced , perhaps even still before the congress, by Wolodymyr V. Shcherbitsky. Kosygin is most of the time ill, feels very depressed after the death of his wife and some of his closest friends, is rather tired of the heavy burden of his position. Shcherbitsky is a good politician, and a good economist, and particularly the latter is in demand now in the Kremlin.

Asked about Shelest , why he would not go to Moscow, Subject replied that Shelest is actually a representative of the old party school ~~whereas~~ whereas Shcherbitsky somehow has the image <sup>being with</sup> of the younger cadres in the party. Besides, Shelest is needed in the Ukraine. He is also a great leader.

On the whole the party congress will not have too great a political significance since there is no time for a very wide agenda, to be properly prepared.

2. According to Subject , in the Ukraine no one really regards Ivan DZIUBA as a communist. Dziuba only uses communism , Leninism, Marxism and all the rest for his own political maneuvers and equilibristics. But sooner or later there will be a stop to all his maneuvering and duplicity. Stenchuk is absolutely right in exposing the true face of this so called Ukrainian genuine communist.

7. Similarly, it was better to get rid of people like A.Kuznetsov . Of course, they do some harm to their Fatherland but after a while in the West , they lose their significance and attraction , and sooner or later get forgotten. As an example Subject <sup>mentioned</sup> Tarsis who, in his opinion, today is simply nothing.

8. As to Amalrik , he just talks phantasies, in Subject's opinion. First of all, should it really come to war with China , it will be the latter which would be disintegrated but not the Soviet Union. Subject also accused Amalrik of trying to be "very original", a prophet of apocalypsis , and a slanderer of Soviet peoples.

9. A.Kysil who at one time was with UNESCO in Paris, according to Subject, lately made a good career in the Ukrainian Ministry of Foreign Affairs but he could not say what exactly position he had there.

Subject enumerated all the Stenchuk's arguments and the main emphasis put on the "fact" that Dziuba subjectively distorted Soviet Ukrainian <sup>situation</sup> ~~reality~~ painting it much darker than in reality it was and neglecting objective factors which had led to some degree of Russification in the past. Pressed by our Source on the topic Subject gave in, admitted that "there was not everything all right" and switched over to another problem.

3. Subject attacked Source and Ukrainian emigration in Paris for preventing the Soviet Embassy in Paris to <sup>install</sup> ~~erect~~ T. Shevchenko's bust at Shevchenko's Square in Paris. According to Subject the bust was already in Paris and was to be put in place on 29 March 1970. Source explained why he personally and the others were against it. Among other arguments he mentioned the fact that the bust was sponsored not by Ukrainian Soviet Government, not by any other Ukrainian institution, but by Moscow. In conclusion, Source suggested that instead of Shevchenko's bust which was going to be erected by emigrants anyway, the Soviet Ukrainian government of the Academy of Sciences, or some other Ukrainian organization, should establish a Ukrainian Home at the Cite Universite <sup>in Paris</sup> as it already had been done by <sup>some</sup> other governments.

4. As to recent <sup>personal</sup> changes in the Society "Ukraine" Subject did not think they portend any basic changes in Society's policy. SMOLYCH has great merits but he is no longer 40 and now will have much less to do with practical matters. TSURKAN will be the one who will be in charge of them.

5. In Subject's view the role of Sakharov, Turchin, Medvedev and alike is grossly overestimated in the West. They are only a few and the majority of top professionals is on the side of the government. That's why the government can easily tolerate them as a small nuisance but nothing else. Again, similarly like Dziuba, they are subjective, onesided, and highly personal in their appraisal of Soviet reality.

6. Svetlana Allejuyeva is abnormal, spoiled, rotten individual and it was right she left the Soviet Union since there is no place for traitors like her.

Subject could not understand why in particular Ukrainian emigres were so enchanted with her after she so basely slandered Shevchenko in her second book.