PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

GROUP EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

ADVANCE COPY ☐ ISSUED ☐ SLOTTED

INDEX: ☐ YES ☐ NO

CLASSIFY TO CS FILE NO. _____

X-REF TO CS FILE NO. _____

BY: ___ AT: ___ Z

DISSEM BY ___ PER EUR # 5

☐ FILE RID ☐ RETURN TO ___

P&L DISSEM

RYBAT

BRANCH COPY

ACTION UNIT
2 EUR 3 INFO FILE, VR DDP ADDP C/CI

ACTION NO. 933

SECRET 14/1430Z JUL 70 CITE [ ] 9399

☐ INFO DIRECTOR

14 JUL 70 122451

RYBAT [ ]

REF A. [ ] 7169 (IN 119884)

B. [ ] 9393 (IN 120436)

C. [ ] 7194 (IN 121693)

1. REF A INDICATED EXTENSIVE DISCUSSION BETWEEN SATOMIC/3 AND SUBJECT ON TOPIC LATTER'S POSSIBLE COOPERATION WITH US AND THERE IS NOTHING IN TRAFFIC AVAILABLE TO US WHICH INDICATES THAT SUBJECT, IF CONTACTED, WOULD NOT IMMEDIATELY SO INFORM CATOMIC/3, THUS DEFEATING INTENT REF C. (EVEN ASSUMING THE SUCCESS OF REF C TACTICS CONCERNING CATOMIC/3'S KNOWLEDGE-ABILITY, WE DO NOT SEE HOW THIS WOULD LESSEN POTENTIAL DAMAGE TO CURRENT RELATIONSHIP WITH HAMBURG POLICE SHOULD OUR APPROACH TO SUBJECT COME TO LIGHT IN ANY WAY.)

2. PROPOSAL IMPINGES DIRECTLY ON [ ] LOCAL LIAISON RELATIONSHIP WHICH IS ESSENTIAL TO OUR OPERATIONAL PROGRAM. WE DO NOT WISH TO SEE ANY ACTION TAKEN WHICH MIGHT DAMAGE THIS RELATIONSHIP, PARTICULARLY WHEN THE PROSPECTS FOR PRODUCTIVITY ARE AS LIMITED AS THEY APPEAR TO US TO BE

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

		1		5	
		2		6	
		3		7	
		4		8	

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

ADVANCE COPY ☐ ISSUED ☐ SLOTTED

INDEX: ☐ YES ☐ NO

CLASSIFY TO CS FILE NO. _____

BY: _____ AT: _____ Z

X-REF TO CS FILE NO. _____

DISSEM BY _____ PER _____ # _____

☐ FILE RID ☐ RETURN TO _____ BRANCH _____

ACTION UNIT | INFO | FILE, VR

ACTION NO.

PAGE 2 ⌐   ⌐ 9399 S E C R E T

IN THIS CASE.

   3. FILE ⌐   ⌐ GP-1.

S E C R E T