

UNCLASSIFIED

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
2000

DOCUMENT_ID: 168589891
INQNO: DOC10D 00356482
DOCNO: TEL 361914 88
PRODUCER: WASHDC
SOURCE: STATE
DOCTYPE: OUT
DOR: 19881104
TOR: 215159
DOCPREC: 0
ORIGDATE: 198811050152
MHFNO: 88 0857248
DOCCLASS: U

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO
DECLASSIFICATION AND/OR
RELEASE OF CIA INFORMATION
IN THIS DOCUMENT

HEADER
OO RUEAIIB
ZNR UUUUU ZOC STATE ZZH
OO RUEHC RUFHCP RUFHJA
DE RUEHC #1914 3100152
ZNR UUUUU ZZH
O 050152Z NOV 88
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO RUFHCP/AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN IMMEDIATE 8391
INFO RUFHJA/USMISSION USBERLIN IMMEDIATE 5927
BT

CONTROLS
UNCLAS STATE 361914

VISAS-BERLIN FOR BERLIN DOCUMENT CENTER

E.O. 12356: N/A

TEXT
TAGS: CVIS (HEINSBAK, CARL-HEINZ)
SUBJECT: 212 (A) (33) CASE

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2001 2007

REF: A) VICTARS 051380 B) COPENHAGEN 7520

1. POST IS REQUESTED TO CONTACT MR. HEINSBAK IN ORDER TO CLARIFY THE FOLLOWING POINTS REGARDING HIS CASE.

2. HOW EXACTLY DID HE JOIN THE ORGANIZATION TODT? WHERE DID HE APPLY? BY WHOM WAS HE RECRUITED? WAS HE REALLY FORCED TO JOIN AND HOW PRECISELY WAS PRESSURE APPLIED UPON HIM TO JOIN? DID HE NOT IN FACT JOIN WILLINGLY? WHY DID HIS FAMILY NOT ASSIST HIM SO THAT HE COULD AVOID SERVICE IN GERMANY?

FOR COORDINATION WITH ^{ed} State

3. WHY WAS HE REQUESTED TO GO TO LYON BY THE GERMAN AUTHORITIES? WHAT WAS THE PROCESS BY WHICH HE WAS TRANSFORMED FROM AN "UNSKILLED LABORER" TO A "GUARD". WHAT QUALIFIED HIM TO GO TO LYON AND WHAT PRECISE JOB (NOT SIMPLY "GUARD"), DID HE AT FIRST FULFILL? WHAT WAS HE GUARDING? WHAT QUALIFIED HIM PARTICULARLY TO BE SENT TO FRANCE? DOES HE SPEAK FRENCH? FYI, THERE WAS A GERMAN CONCENTRATION CAMP AT VAL-LES-BAINS NEAR LYONS,

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 1

UNCLASSIFIED

WHICH HEINSBAK COULD HAVE BEEN "GUARDING."

4 HOW EXACTLY DID HE GET TO BE A DRIVER FOR AN SD (SICHERHEITSDIENST) OFFICER IN LYON? DID HE KNOW OFFICERS NAMED KLAUS BARBIE OR KURT MERK WHILE IN LYON? WHAT BRANCH OF THE SD DID FALKENBERG WORK FOR? WHAT PRECISELY WAS FALKENBERG'S JOB? WHAT EVIDENCE CAN HEINSBAK PROVIDE THAT HE WORKED ON BEHALF OF THE FRENCH RESISTANCE? FYI, THE NAMES AND "NOMS DE GUERRE" OF THE FRENCH RESISTANCE MEMBERS IN LYON ARE WELL KNOWN TO HISTORIANS. HEINSBAK'S TESTIMONY IN THIS REGARD COULD BE VERIFIED IF TRUE.

5. HOW WAS HE ALLOWED TO RETURN TO DENMARK IN LATE FALL OF 1944? WHAT PRECISELY DID HE HAVE TO DO IN ORDER TO GET THIS TRANSFER? FOR WHICH ORGANIZATION WAS HE EMPLOYED, BOTH IN FRANCE AND LATER IN DENMARK? THE SD? BY SAYING THAT HE WORKED FOR THE "SICHERHEITSDIENST", WHAT PRECISELY DOES HE MEAN? AMT 4 (GESTAPO)? AMT 6 (FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SERVICE)? ANOTHER BRANCH?

6. DEPARTMENT HAS SERIOUS RESERVATIONS CONCERNING MR. HEINSBAK'S NARRATIVE. WHILE THE BERLIN DOCUMENT CENTER HAS NO PERSONNEL FILE INDICATING THAT HE WAS A FORMAL MEMBER OF THE SS, THE PATTERN OF HIS WARTIME EXPERIENCES INDICATE THAT HE COULD HAVE BEEN A TRUSTED COLLABORATOR OF THE GERMAN INTELLIGENCE SERVICES. ALSO OF CONCERN IS HIS RELATION TO LIEUTENANT FALKENBERG, WHO WAS APPARENTLY INVOLVED IN THE DEPORTATION OF JEWS FROM FRANCE.

7. IN ADDITION TO THE ABOVE INFORMATION, WHICH SHOULD BE OBTAINED IN AN EXTENSIVE AND DETAILED RE-INTERVIEW, MR. HEINSBAK SHOULD FURNISH ANY DOCUMENTS HE RETAINS RELATING TO THE PERIOD 1933-1945. ALSO, HE MUST FURNISH AN OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE REASONS FOR WHICH HE WAS "INTERNEED" AFTER THE END OF THE WAR. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN EXTREMELY IRREGULAR FOR THE DANISH AUTHORITIES TO HAVE DETAINED HIM WITHOUT CHARGES FOR FOUR YEARS AFTER THE END OF HOSTILITIES. IF MR. HEINSBAK MAINTAINS THAT CRIMINAL RECORDS ARE PURGED AFTER A CERTAIN PERIOD FOLLOWING CONVICTION, HE SHOULD BE REMINDED THAT CIVIL AND MILITARY RECORDS, SUCH AS THE RESULTS OF COURTS MARTIAL, MILITARY TRIBUNALS OF THE PROVISIONAL GOVERNMENT WHICH FOLLOWED THE CESSATION OF HOSTILITIES, AND INVESTIGATIONS OF ESPIONAGE, COLLABORATION AND TREASON, ARE KEPT INDEFINITELY BY THE DANISH GOVERNMENT, AND CAN BE OBTAINED EITHER FROM THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

OR FROM MILITARY JUSTICE AUTHORITIES. DEPARTMENT WILL NOT PROCESS CASE TO A CONCLUSION WITHOUT THIS INFORMATION.

SHULTZ

ADMIN
END OF MESSAGE

UNCLASSIFIED