

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. EGOA 9679
TO INFO	Office of General Counsel ATTENTION: []	HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. [] []	
INFO:	Chief of Station, [] - EE; SR		
FROM	Chief of Station, Germany	DATE 25 April 1960	
SUBJECT	Operational /REDWOOD/AECOB, [] Estate of AECAMBARO/2	RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE)	
		<input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
ACTION REQUIRED		INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY	

REFERENCE(S)

EGOW 1468, dated 7 April 1960

1. The Reference and the background of the AECAMBARO project have been discussed with [] and other operations officers. We are available to make the proposed arrangements but the major problem of the moment is how to effect the initial contact. We do not believe that we can provide concrete recommendations on the tax and related considerations until we have talked to AECAMBARO/2's divorced wife and get her views on what, if any, current income is needed to support the child and whether she is willing to pay heavy taxes in order to get money openly available for investment in Sweden. These details we are certain we can work out.

2. [] advises us that AECAMBARO/2's divorced wife was never in contact with AIS. This makes the problem of initial contact difficult and perhaps hazardous. I assume you agree that there is no manner by which we can "officially" advise her in advance that we would like to discuss certain money matters with her. We could probably work out arrangements with her locally by using Identity (separate cover attachment) as cover for the contact. The clear advantage is that this would establish our bona fides but this or any other official or semi-official approach would give her an official ODYOKE agency to which later appeals may be made. Our experience in dealing with widows in the last few years leads us to believe that while \$52,000 may sound like a lot of money today, within six months the former wife might think the more appropriate figure is \$250,000. We then would be involved in another debacle like those with which you are familiar. So if we rule out, a priori, any approach which would enable the divorced wife later to petition that agency, we must turn to ideas something like the following:

a. I can call the divorced wife long distance from somewhere in Germany, tell her I am "Mr. John Smith" and that I would like to visit her to discuss certain financial matters relating to AECAMBARO/2. She will undoubtedly agree, but by the time I walk in the door, the likelihood is that I will be met by not only her but a new husband or fiancé [] has been told she has one or the other) and perhaps a lawyer at best, or the Swedish police at the worst. I do not like to make initial explanations to large groups on matters such as this and if I get arrested, the cable lines will be clogged up and information possibly more important could not get through.

b. I can go to the divorced wife's office or residence and walk in cold. This alternative has the distinct advantage of not permitting a welcoming committee to be formed, but it has certain disadvantages. She may not be there when I arrive, other people may be there whom I would like even less to talk to like a husband, fiancé or a lawyer, and the shock element may have various results - the worst of which could be that she might think it is a RIS play. We might make this meeting a bit more certain of success by first depositing the \$52,000 in a Swiss bank, which we could do, and have the bank advise the divorced wife by letter that this sum was deposited in trust for the daughter by an attorney who would be in contact with her in the near future to explain the details of the trust. I could then arrive in Stockholm, have a prearranged cable sent from Switzerland to my hotel, requesting that I stop over in

Declassified and Approved for Release
by the Central Intelligence Agency
Date: 2005

EXEMPTIONS Section 3(b)
 (2)(A) Policy
 (2)(B) Methods/Sources
 (2)(G) Foreign Relations

NATIONAL CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

FORM 53b
10-57
(40)

USE PREVIOUS EDITION,
REPLACES FORMS
51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29
WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

CONTINUED

PAGE NO.

1

74-6-137/2

the beneficiary's home town and discuss the arrangements. Armed with this cable and the prior notification from the bank, my bonafides are improved, but the possibility remains that it may be considered a RIS play and a welcoming committee of one sort or another would be around.

c. The divorced wife may be called from Germany, advised that "Mr. John Smith" has matters to discuss with her involving a substantial amount of money payable to her daughter, would like to get together with her in Copenhagen, Oslo or elsewhere, and that a plane ticket has been purchased for her to get there at a specific time. This idea sounds rather attractive except that all local experts on European women and particularly former wives of types who have suffered the faith of AECAMBARO/2, do not think there is a chance in a million that she is coming anywhere to meet me.

d. The last local idea we have, other than variations on the above, involves something of a compromise of our avowed desire to give the divorced wife no point to contact us after the arrangements are concluded. We can have [redacted] from Liechtenstein, one of our local [redacted], or [redacted] (on his private practice stationery) write the divorced wife, stating that he has been engaged by a client to arrange for the payment of certain monies from AECAMBARO/2's estate and requesting that she travel to the [redacted]'s office, at his client's expense, to discuss the matter. ([redacted] and [redacted] are local indigenous or American, cleared and witting, private attorneys; [redacted] is a KUBARK full-time PBPRIME national contract employee under ODIBEX cover who is also licensed to private practice in Germany). We feel that the divorced wife would undoubtedly respond to a formal letter of this type and I can conduct the negotiations with her in the [redacted]'s office or together with the [redacted]. This alternative has the disadvantage of giving her a permanent address to which later appeals may be made. This is less than fatal because the lawyer involved merely states that he was paid his fee and has no knowledge of the present whereabouts of his client. Depending upon what the play is, of course, the possibility always exists that this is less than a definitive answer.

3. All in all we believe alternative d. has the least disadvantages. If it fails to bring her to the [redacted]'s office, we could then fall back on a variation of alternative b.

4. We do not want to appear anxiety-stricken about making the initial contact in the divorced wife's home town. However, local operations officers who are somewhat familiar with the situation in Sweden and particularly with AECAMBARO/2's nationality element in the town in which the divorced wife resides, believe that these individuals have a high degree of sensitivity to possible RIS maneuvers and that the clear possibility exists that one might get picked up if the initial contact is made there. However, if the Chief of Station, Stockholm, and Headquarters consider this likelihood to be overstated, I will be glad to proceed.

5. We believe that it may be advantageous, as an initial step, to ascertain the current status of the divorced wife and child. Information which might be valuable would include the current marital status of the wife,

income status, whether the divorced wife has her own private office or works in a clinic or elsewhere, the home arrangements, etc. If this information can be obtained by the [] Station we recommend that it do so. If it is difficult for the [] Station to arrange this, we can work through one of our local [] and get a detective agency with international affiliations to obtain this information.

6. We would appreciate comments from Chief of Station, Stockholm, before proceeding.

LE 7

CONCUR: ••

[]
[]

Attachment: u/s/c
Identity

Distribution:

- 3 - OGC w/att
- 2 - COS, [] , w/att
- 2 - EE, w/att
- 2 - SR, w/att

74-6-137/2

090

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. EGOA 9679
-----------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------------

SEPARATE COVER ATTACHMENT

Identity:

United States Escapee Program

74-6-137/2

FORM 10-57 53c (40)	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	<input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUED	PAGE NO.
------------------------	---	--------------------------	------------------------------------	----------

CS COPY