

CA SIT/CARBOHYDRATE CONTACT REPORT

16 Feb 55

Date, Time, and Place of Meeting: 15 Feb 55, 2000-2230, CARBOHYDRATE's Apt.

Present: a. CARBOHYDRATE b.

1. This meeting was spent almost entirely in a discussion of the CARCARANA 2 divorce case, and how the matter could be handled without allowing the connection between CARBOIT, CARBOHYDRATE, and AIS to be documented. CARBOHYDRATE delivered a letter ~~from~~ to him from Erich Simoni which covered a letter dated 7 Feb to Simoni from CARCARANA 2's lawyer in Fran. This letter says:

a. It was never the intention ^{of CARCARANA 2's lawyers} to spell out to the court "all details". A detailed statement of the grounds for the divorce had been given to the court only because it was demanded by the court.

b. The political activity ^{as such} of CARCARANA is not ~~indispensable~~ a matter which it is necessary to look into. The grounds consist of the fact that this political activity destroyed a marriage which had been untroubled for years and which would have lasted for years except for the political activity. ("Das Verschulden liegt aber ausschliesslich vom Standpunkt der Ehefrau gesehen und in Hinblick auf das Eherecht darin, dass der Ehemann eine an sich ungestrübte Ehe, die ohne politische Betätigung noch lange Jahre hätte bestehen können, gefährdet und praktisch zerstört hat. Bei Dabei spielt die Frage, in welcher Richtung ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ CARCARANA politisch tätig geworden ist, überhaupt keine Rolle.")

c. The lawyer for CARCARANA 2 desires an affidavit from CARBOHYDRATE, but is vague about just what the contents of the affidavit should be. He notes, however, that is sufficient if it deals with matters affecting the marriage only, and states it to be unnecessary to go into political matters. The letter also notes that what the Fran lawyer has been told by CARCARANA 2 would suffice ~~from~~ as grounds for divorce. (See in copy of letter attached)

d. CARCARANA 2's lawyer requests ~~xxxx~~ that Simoni send CARBOHYDRATE's affidavit ~~xxxx~~ by air mail. The former will delay the court proceedings if necessary to wait for the affidavit, and will give Simoni notice in any case before he attempts to have the official file sent to the DDR so that testimony by CARCARANA can be taken.

2. CARBOHYDRATE reported he had discussed the matter again with Simoni, who has not seen but has only written CARCARANA (mail which, of course, the SPS reads). Simoni says it is not at all clear that CARCARANA would ~~xxxx~~ go so far as to ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ assume the blame for adultery on his part in order to get the divorce on other grounds. It is hard to find ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ precisely just how far CARCARANA is willing to go in other respects due to the difficulty of communicating with him. SIMONI and CARBOHYDRATE had discussed the ~~xxxx~~ type of affidavit which might safely given by CARBOHYDRATE. This had resulted in a proposal which CARBOHYDRATE ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ delivered ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ (see attachments). Briefly, it makes the following points:

a. After CARBO's flight from East Berlin, ~~xx~~ at the end of Jan 53 or the beginning of Feb 53, CARCARANA visited CARBO in West Berlin.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2006

(COMMENT: CARBO had actually taken the initiative in contacting CARCARANA). CARBO then told CARCARANA something he had not known before—that CARBO had been politically active against the DDR regime. CARCARANA then stated that he wanted to become active against the regime did CARBO know where he could go in this connection. CARBO warned CARCARANA that this would be quite dangerous, but finally gave in and gave CARCARANA an address which he had learned during his refugee screening. During later visits by CARCARANA to CARBO in West Berlin, CARCARANA told CARBO that he had been transferred by the office whose address CARBO had given to another organization, for which CARCARANA was now active. CARBO learned of the full nature of CARCARANA's work only after his arrest and the flight of CARCARANA 2 to West Berlin. The latter stated at that time that she had always advised CARCARANA against his activities for the West. CARBO would end this affidavit by stating that he knew no more of the affair.

3. Much time was spent in discussing the above affidavit draft. Papin instructed CARBO not to sign such an affidavit or any other without his approval. His comments were directed toward finding ways in which CARBO could be drawn into giving more testimony to the court than originally intended, i.e., more than is contained in the affidavit summarized above. CARBO felt that this was unlikely. The discussion developed the following info:

a. CARBO's definition of what the Fran lawyer is trying to prove, and what CARBO's testimony would help prove is that CARCARANA engaged in secret, illegal, political activities against CARCARANA 2's will.

b. CARBO stated when acting at KUBARK instructions as a witness for CARCARANA 2 during her refugee screening that (1) CARCARANA had a western orientation, and (2) he had engaged in resistance activities (with no identification of the organization with which he had worked). CARBO had not then said anything about his introducing CARCARANA to any organization. No written record of CARBO's statements was made at the time and his name did not appear then or now in any written record. This testimony could not therefore be compared with any affidavit that CARBO might now make.

c. The court in a civil matter (like divorce) cannot get access to EMAV files. It can in criminal matters.

d. CARBO states no one would raise the question what office he had worked for, since the question is not material to the divorce action. If the question were raised, he thinks that he could successfully plead his secrecy agreement. He expressed some reluctance to accept either of these points at face value.

4. A possible witness who would be Joachim Pils, a former VOPO member, had witnessed the "closed show-trial", i.e., for VOPO members only, of CARCARANA. Due to his tie-in with CARBO, however, it would be better to keep him out of the picture. Pils did not, incidentally, witness the trial of CARBO in absentia at the end of which he was sentenced to death for his earlier KUPIK work and later part in the CARCARANA case.

5. When questioned CARBO replied that he had not yet discussed the CARCARANA 2 affair with LADELL. [] pointed out the probability that LADELL and or HENARD would hear of the matter anyway in short order—it must be common knowledge in the legal circles around the Frankfurt court—so that it was only a question of whether they would learn of it now from CARBO or with a short delay from friends in West Germany. CARBO will discuss the matter with HENARD and LaCell.

again

6. Simoni has tried to get the full copy of the judgement of the Potsdam court in the ~~KUNENEN~~ CARCARANA case, but without success. Judgements are often secret material in the DDR, with only the sentence being made available. In this case, the judgement has been read to VOPD officers, but no text is available.