

SECRET

ATTACHMENT TO EODS-20336

CARBOHYDRATE/CADROIT CONTACT REPORT

8 Sept 55

Date, Time, and Place of Meeting: 7 Sept 55, 1930-2230, CARBO's Apt.

Present: a. b. CARBOHYDRATE

1. After the usual amenities, CARBOHYDRATE delivered the following written material:

a. Current floorplan for L'str. 29, showing who sits where, etc.

A similar plan for the new building, L'str. 30 is not yet available.

CARBO has requested same from Froelich, who is looking into finding/preparing one.

b. Fragebogen on Hildegard KREUZER, new staffer. Recruited through ~~ambassador~~ a former Potsdam friend of LADELL.

c. List of minor expenses incurred by Koscharits during the Weise turn-over, for which CARBO had given K DM 5. CARBO was reimbursed in same amount.

d. Results of various ~~names~~ name traces.

e. Three files containing reports by visitors to Westphal possibly indicating that the Sfs had knowledge of dates when the visitors had come to CADROIT. CARBO stated that whether or not the Sfs actually had such info was not clear. For review of files.

f. Written report summarizing each case wherein a CADROIT MA was currently in touch with the Sfs in any way. This was in answer ~~for~~ to earlier request. ~~for~~ Five cases are given wherein MA were forced to become Sfs Spitzel. Four cases involved Sfs EEI's concerning non-CADROIT matters (learn habits of associates at work, ~~names~~ etc.) In only one case was the Spitzel given an EEI by the Sfs which pertained to ~~the~~ CADROIT: MA "Hans JOKER", an electrician from Kreis Bad Liebenwerda, was Spitzelverpflichtet earlier ~~in~~ 1953 before he had contact with CADROIT.

18 Sept 55

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION S#2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2006

*33-126-S-43
Project Progress Report - May*

PKM

SECRET

He was given the task of becoming a CADROIT MA, learning the identities of other MA, and passing these on to the SFS. He visited CADROIT and revealed his plight, becoming a MA on 10 April 53. It was decided to play the case back to the SFS for a while pending further developments. CARBO had handled the MA since ~~since~~ October 1953. The play continued until, as the MA reported during his last visit in August 54, the SSD chief of the Kreis office stated that it was purposeless to send the MA to West Berlin any longer. The present status of the MA cannot be determined since CADROIT has no suitable MA/~~agents/structures/branches~~ in the area.

When queried re who had decided to play the case back, CARBO replied that LADELL had, adding that ^{involving a MA} the question of whether such a case/should be played back is always considered when a MA comes in contact with the SFS and that the decision is made by LADELL after discussion with CARBO. A play is usually decided upon unless there are clear reasons contra. CARBO added that this case had been reported much earlier to

Report attached.

2. CARBO reported the following items orally:

a. A check of a DDR newspaper item referring to the "agent Helmert from Ruppertsgruen who worked for CADROIT, ~~whom he had met~~ and had tried to induce persons in his locality to visit CADROIT" had produced the following: CADROIT has traces of two Helmerets who were in touch with CADROIT, a father and his son. The son was Rainer Helmert who is now living in Munich, Schluderstr 24 bei Gaub; he was a MA from Flocha near Chemnitz (where the newspaper item appeared) since 1951. He fled in May 52. After the son's flight the father (Herman Helmert) maintained contact with CADROIT. He was arrested in Feb 53 for violation of the HO Finanzplan (note no connection with CADROIT stated). The father was released from Untersuchungshaft in June 53, ~~and~~ had no contact with CADROIT since then.

SECRET

In the meantime the son became a West MA. The question which CADROIT cannot answer is whether or not the Helwert referred to in the Chemnitz paper is identical with either the father or the son. There are three Rupertgruens: one is near Chemnitz (~~xxxx~~ probably the one in question), one near Munich, and one in a neutral area. The present location of the son in Munich may be indicative. For name trace.

b. When [] tickled re full reports of SFS approaches earlier mentioned by Carbo to Westphal and Krebs, CARBO replied ~~thxxx~~ later events had shown that there had been no SFS approach to Westphal- he had merely been a little over-anxious and laid an initial SFS interpretation on a minor matter. The SFS approach to Krebs had ~~xxxx~~ actually taken place. (SFS had approached a relative of Krebs who had fled) CARBO will follow up. CARBO noted in this connection that he has sometimes had the faint suspicion that some staffers have built up a story of SFS approach to them through relatives or friends in the DDR ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ who for this reason have to flee. CARBO's half humorous suspicion was that the real purpose of some of these reports might be to secure political recognition for friends in the Zone by showing why they had to flee. It has never been possible to pin down a case of this sort definitely, however, if they exist at all.

c. When [] noted that CARBO's "Zusatzbericht" summarizing arrests and SFS approaches for ~~xxxx~~ July had been missing from the monthly report, CARBO replied this was due to his leave. He had prepared an overall report for July/Aug which would be in the Aug monthly.

c.d. CADROIT has not yet been able to get a copy of the Fahndungsbuch (VOFO). The possibility through two of Westphal's MA is still being pushed, but the chances are slim.

SECRET

SECRET

e. CARBO noted an arrest case which he has to follow up and report: a number of MA in Neubrandenburg had come to know each other as MA—one good MA had brought another into CADROIT until there were four. This was the exceptional case where there is a group, rather than singletons. No. 4 had been arrested earlier. No. 2, who was known to No. 4, refused to flee to West Berlin. No. 4 was not ~~disturbed~~ disturbed by the authorities until April 1955, when he was arrested. No. 1 and No. 3 were ~~not~~ warned upon their next visit and will remain in West Berlin. [] requested full report.

f. Discussed briefly a problem presented by some of the older, unmarried secretaries who might do something foolish if approached by a man carrying an SFS EEI. Frä. Liebig is a case in point (rather unattractive, known within CADROIT for her unbalanced reaction to minor flirtations, etc).

g. Reviewed briefly the ^{visitor} Control book procedure: When visitors enter the building they stop first at the guard's desk (Horn) to show Ausweis. Horn notes upon a list as follows, "Mueller, A 27, 1055 (time in); 1135 (time out)." A common name like Mueller might well also have the first initial of the first name. The visitor receives a slip saying "A 27" and passes on to the waiting room for the first interview with Heynen or Schmidt. When a MA visits, Horn enters merely "M" in the list. Horn maintains the list during the day. At the end of the day it is delivered to Heynen who adds it to his visitors files (under lock and key, etc as earlier described). A person standing near Horn's desk (enclosed in glass and wood ^{partition} ~~partition~~ similar to bank teller) could not see what Horn writes without making himself very obvious.

ML

2. [] tickled CARBO on discussing with LADELL a number of matters earlier discussed with CARBO:

a. Having Referenten ~~get~~ get completed the declaration by each MA that he has no contact with any other organization.

-5- ~~SECRET~~

b. Having the Referent get on paper on the ~~file~~ MA file or elsewhere what they know of any contacts the MA may have with other organizations. CARBO noted here that the MA are unwilling to give this info (if there is any overlap), but later felt that there might be info along this line in the heads of the Referent which had never been gotten on paper. In some cases an overlap is not learned until there is an arrest; these are rare.

c. Compartmentation chart to be prepared by each Referent for each MA for the MA file. To indicate who knows of the connection between the MA and CADROIT.

d. Report distinguishing "hard core" MA (fairly regular contact) from relatively inactive MA (no contact for periods exceeding six months).

##

This led to a discussion of the difficulties the Referent have to find time to do all of the above things. CARBO suggested that he prepare a cover sheet for the MA file which would call the attention of the Referent ^{getting} to the following items prior to each MA meeting:

1. Overlap with any other organization.
2. Who knows of MA's connection with CADROIT.
3. An assessment of how regular the MA is ("comes every four weeks", etc. [] agreed to this change from the six month's formula - the main thing is to get some guide line ~~which~~ to determine relative activity).

[] went along with CARBO's suggestion that he work up such a format, noting only that the matter should be discussed with LADELL, of course. Although the idea of a "tickler" cover sheet for the MA file for the Referent to complete may look ^{like an aid,} ~~good~~ it should also be remembered that, according to CARBO, the MA file is unavailable to the Referent before each meeting with a MA in approx. 60% of the cases. ~~It is not possible to determine~~ The typical pattern is for the Referent to be informed that MA Jones is waiting to see him; the Referent sends the secretary down to registry to get the file so that he can refresh his ~~memory~~ memory, etc; the file ~~is not available~~ has gone to

~~SECRET~~

It is probably in the hands of either LADELL, HENARD, CARBO, or some similar person. The sec'y does not usually have time enough to trace the file down; the Referent goes ahead with the meeting without the file. A problem common to many organizations.

3. The above discussion of items of operational interest which it would be well to get on paper ~~had~~ led to a discussion by CARBO of the difficulties encountered by Referents generally in getting done all of those things which they are supposed to do. ~~These difficulties~~ The Referents are very busy, do not have time to give as much care to MA Betreuung as they would like to. The Referent's interest in the substance of what the MA has to say often takes precedence over technical matters of handling typified by the items raised by above. ~~Approximately~~ Approximately only 10 to 12% of the typical Referent's time can be devoted to meeting/handling of MA. A rough breakdown of the typical Referent's duties and time demands would look somewhat as follows:

- a. Interviewing visitors. This is the biggest time eater in all cases.
- b. MA meetings.
- c. Preparation of Besucher Vermerke (contact reports on all meetings, both ordinary visitor and MA)
- d. Preparation of reports ~~on/for~~:
 - (1) The Bezirk newspaper.—goes to Press section for editing
 - (2) Radio reports. "
 - (3) Leaflets and professional publications "
 - (4) Freundeskreis "
 - (5) Special reports for HENARD
 - (6) Formal reports (monthly, etc)

CARBO noted that there is a ~~feeling that it is very important to publish~~ very understandable feeling that it is very important to publish, to affect public media of

SECRET

communication, etc. This is the project's job. But this means writing, which takes time away from MA handling. It is also to be noted that meetings outside of the CADROIT premises take much more to the Referent's time than ordinary meetings ~~inside~~ inside the building. This is the well-known conflict between efficiency and security, to which CARBO stated he saw no real solution in the present case which would be far different from the present one. At []'s suggestion that the staffers might be able to handle fewer MA better, CARBO emphasized the need for the info from the MA file as the grist for the project's propaganda work. Discussion along this line, as well as discussion re possibility of getting more Referent to ease the work load, was cut off by coming back to CARBO's job of working up a proposed cover sheet for the MA file for discussion with LABELL.

NEXT MEETING: 21 Sept 55, 1900, same place.

SECRET