

CONFIDENTIAL

ER 6-8772/A

APR 18 1955

*card*

Major General Arthur G. Trudeau  
Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2  
Department of the Army  
Room 2E464, The Pentagon  
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear General Trudeau:

Your letter of April 4th was brought to my attention immediately upon my return to the office today.

I agree with you that our cable summarizing the agreed text of the conclusions to NIE 100-4-55 should have mentioned the opinions dissenting thereto. A cable was sent out to all recipients of the original cable on April 5th setting forth the dissents in full and directing addressees to bring these to the attention of anyone who had seen or been briefed on the first message.

I have issued instructions that henceforth cable summaries of National Estimates will set forth the opinions of dissenters as fully as those of the IAC majority.

At the same time I should like to refer you to outgoing cable No. [redacted] from your office, dated 4 April 1955. Had that question been brought to my attention at the time, by telephone or otherwise, I would have then taken the action of 5 April in sending an amending cable.

Sincerely yours,

SIGNED

Allen W. Dulles  
Director

CONCUR:  
DD/I

DDCI

O/DD/I:RAMory, Jr.:vcl  
(8 April 1955)

Distribution:

- 2 - DCI
- 2 - DDI
- 1 - ER

*1-20C1*

*Army*

25X1  
25X1  
25X1

ER

9 April 1955

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

SUBJECT: Telephone - General Trudeau  
7 April 1955 - 9:55

1. General Trudeau called me following up my call to Colonel Reinecke of a couple of days ago in which I informed Colonel Reinecke that we had sent a cable setting forth the footnotes to NIE 100-5. General Trudeau thanked me and said there was no need to reply to his letter.

2. I took the opportunity to call General Trudeau's attention to the article on page 19 Issue February-March of the C-2 Intelligence Review on Soviet hydroelectric development. I said it was a good article in our opinion but that I felt it was the kind of thing that should have been coordinated with our people inasmuch as the subject matter fell primarily under our jurisdiction under DCID 15/1. He completely concurred and said he would see that his people were properly instructed to coordinate similar articles in the future.

3. In view of his cordial attitude on both items, I called General Cabell and recommended that for the sake of general amity we not reply further to General Trudeau's letter to the DCI of 4 April 1955.

  
ROBERT AMORY, JR.  
Deputy Director/Intelligence

25X1