

25 YEAR RE-REVIEW

60-6420
August 17-60.

To:

The Executive Offices
The White Houseand The Central Intelligence Agency, and
The Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Dear Sirs:

The Case of Francis Gary Powers
at the Court in Moscow, U.S.S.R.

In international matters to under estimate - is, generally fatal, and unless I do not "read" well, the Soviet Union is giving an exhibition to the world of man, of an apparent concept of the highest human dignity and justice.

In the world of realities we should always welcome a contender that may force us to maintain a constant development in the particular action.

"Spionage" is the Capital Political Crime, by which a human being becomes a hero to one nation, and a great delinquent to another. The word "spy" by itself is looked upon as "dishonorable".

Since in our age, all nations; large industries; all sorts of private organizations; even social ones, feel that they require all the possible information as to what "Tom-Dick and Harry" are doing, we ought to call the "spy" - an information agent, and both, by international and domestic ethics, we ought to give the information agent the dignity he or she deserves.

And by international accord, such as through the United Nations, we ought to reduce the penalty for this "crime" to a maximum of five years; in fact the only penalty any information agent ought to receive - is deportation.

To call "crime" to something that every single government believes it requires, is very unworthy of our present age, as it is not fair to charge the culpability of an entire government to an individual employed by it.

In this case, the cooperation shown by the Soviet Union to Mr. Powers parents and wife is truly worthy of note, and which no doubt the average person in the world takes notice of it.

That the Soviet Policy dignifies the human being is unquestionable, and it does not make a bit of difference whether they do genuinely or for "propaganda" - the evidence is that they do it; and this in contrast with the practices of our Foreign Service places us very low in the field of international human relations.

If we do not honor a family - What shall we honour? Here is the Soviet Union, a nation that by labels is supposed to be "godless", but that under demonstration it serves God, at a greater level than our Foreign Service.

Any nation that becomes an important power is constantly before the eyes of the world, and the world is every "Tom, Dick and Harry" into which minds is impossible to penetrate, as it does not make a bit of difference what we tell them - how much of it, the human being is willing to place into his mind, and how he looks at it - forever remains - the enigma.

(EXECUTIVE DECISION FILE F)

Thus far we have a failure in international human relations, and this is the most important field of all for a nation to maintain its world position. I am almost certain, that to day, and for as long as the trial at Moscow lasts, the case is fully displayed in all dailies of the world; and many may even carry pictures of the box set aside for Mr. Powers's parents and wife - Can you appreciate what human force that carries?

Consider that for the average, due to the manner in which "spies" have always been pictured- Mr. Powers is of the low type of humanity, for patriotism, he has committed the crime of crimes, in this case, as far as the Russians are concerned; and yet in spite of all this, the Soviet Union honors his dignity as a son, honors his parents, honors his wife.

But taking the world of man, as is, let us consider how Conan Doyle glorified Mr. Sherlock Holmes, not as an spy on crime, but as a great Detective. His Sherlock Homes, made the Detective to be a very honorable position, working always in the side of Justice ----- and many times for the British Empire; the envy of Scotland Yard.

And it is well to mention herewith that British Policy is also always ready to manifest in favour of human dignity. The British well versed in "intelligent" practices know that to dignify as much as possible a human being carries great force internationally.

It is due to our apparent impetency to recognize human dignity in our international relations that we are kept constantly in the defensive side, and seem to be unable to offer the world greater concepts of humanity.

See what I suggest in this case, in order to counteract, this international human gain that the Soviet policy is accumulating for their world prestige - We could suggest to the United Nations, that since all States of the world consider beneficiary for their governments to seek as much confidential information as possible, why do not acknowledge this as a political practice, instead of crime, and by it reduce tensions and animosities; and why allow what one nation may call a "patriot", to be called "criminal" by another, when both maintain very similar systems for the same purpose? If we offer something like this, we point directly to human dignity.

And we need to alter the practices of our Foreign Services. I have allowed it to "take me for a ride" at the Embassy of Habana with the purpose to be able to see in actual practice how this service operates in the field of human relations. With those practices we cannot be leaders of the world, as no human being could accept it. A nation has to lead the world ever at a human level, if it wishes to gain it and remain in it. On a political basis only, there is no nation in history that has gained.

Since I am writing to three offices that I assume readily can understand me, I like to issue an important warning: We are not operating internationally by a genuine American Policy - we are borrowing from two other very old and important policies in the world; however their nature is not compatible with ours, as each one of the two have different aims to attain, even though the ultimate result may be the same - to lead the world in their particular theory.

In the matter of "Foreign Policy" we need to be very exclusive to our own basic foundations, as from them and them only we can draw our strength. We cannot offer to the world, some of ours and some of others.

third page

For reasons that our level, you may well understand, I cannot go into the details of the other well known foreign policies, I can only say, that they will be always different to ours, because the aims are different even the methods to attain them, need to be different.

If we add, that for world purposes is relatively new - the Soviet Foreign Policy, we know we have to face - three other policies; that is, prevailing now, we have British Foreign Policy; Soviet Foreign Policy; ? Foreign Policy, and American Foreign Policy.

You may have noticed also one important detail of Soviet Foreign Policy: It detests secret meetings and conferences- and do you know why? Are they right or wrong?

In my teachings of international commerce, I always tell the student: "Do you think there is plenty of responsibility to run that store in that little village? Then you will agree more responsibility to run the same store in a large city; greater responsibility to supply a whole State, greater responsibility to supply the Nation, and so maximum responsibility to supply the world. Basically the customs of commerce are the same, but greatly magnified."

When we deal with the world, we are dealing with every conceivable thing that man might be able to demonstrate, hence the constant ? mark; and because of it, we can only expect a safe degree of success by peoples to peoples relations.

I will show in practice what this is: In one of my trips I had picked up from a tanner in Peabody a line of a new kind of leather, beautiful leather, to make the most attractive women's shoes, in one of the first countries I was visiting I found my accounts very skeptical, so I could not get any orders for this line. After I worked them with the other lines and took the normal number of orders, I found that I would have to leave the country without an order for a line that has enthused me greatly, so after I finished, I decided to stay one or two days longer and visit every little shop of the market place and the city, so shop by shop I went showing this leather. All the small shoemakers were as enthused as I was with it, and each one asked me - "are we going to be able to buy here?" I assured them they will be able. That market demonstration finished, I went to see my most important account, and I told them what I had done, and what reports I had. Right and there, I booked a very important order, when I thanked for it to one of the partners of the firm that signed, he said "you have earned it." That was the salvation of that line during the whole trip, I sold almost twice above my estimate.

All my business trips have always been very successful, many of my colleagues have asked me many times - what did I carry in my trips, any magics?" No magics, only a well calculated system of demonstration, and always direct demonstration in order to regenerate the greatest positive force.

In the final analysis, in the world, we always deal with the human being; and for success we need ever to say "His Majesty the Human Being". If by merits we conquer that, all else automatically is added to it - it is infallible.

Consider the "miracle" of Fidel Castro in Cuba for an outright lesson: For all practical purposes, he had nothing in the Sierra, many said that he was a second Don Quijote if he thought he could come down against the powers of Batista - well, he did, and conquered beyond the wildest expect-

tations - reason, a very positive and common one: He had conquered almost 80% of the human beings in Cuba, and so everything else was added to it. Which power or powers can stop that unified human thought?

Now consider or gauge by it - what is the most important branch of any Foreign Policy?

One ~~is~~ in one of the smallest countries of the world is causing our government, its greatest historical dilemma, as our government is unable to move in any direction, as any that has attempted to this date has shown to be very negative.

The reason is, that our Foreign Policy has not in its agenda, the human relations, and in spite that it saw in Cuba what it did see cannot comprehend that unless it conquers first the human heart, all in the long run fails to pieces.

In spite of the Cuban demonstration, we did not alter this negative policy, and in the meantime the name "Fidel Castro" has become like an image in the continent - hence the dilemma - What can we do now?

To get rid of him? Once a name becomes like an image, that will be the worst thing it could be done, let us consider the words of Wisdom of the Master about the ear of corn that it has "to die" falling out, in order to multiply greatly, as one ear, and only one ear, would multiply into thousands and thousands of ears.

We, more than anyone else until our conflict has been resolved satisfactorily need to protect Fidel's body to the utmost.

Can we solve the Cuban problem satisfactorily for all concerned? Why not - is there any problem in the world of man that cannot be solved? But how you are going to solved them unless you deal with human beings?

You cannot settle any problem and satisfy the wishes of every single human individual, as that you cannot do it, even in a family, but you can settle a problem to satisfy general principles, to which regardless of individual wishes, all concur - all general principles come under the classification of Human Dignity. Nobody is ever offended if his dignity has been honoured.

We started as a nation as "WE THE PEOPLE" and as we the people are to day 50 States and 180 million human beings - is not that sufficient evidence - Could any other "magic" exist above the human being?


Sincerely yours

[Redacted Signature]

STAT



Mr. Allan Dulles
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D. C.



STAT