

12 August 1958

MEMORANDUM FOR: DD/A

I am passing this on as requested by [redacted] General speaking, the standards set forth in the proposed new procedures are too minimal for our purposes. My own experience with personnel security cases has suggested two matters which we should consider in connection with this report, namely:

STAT

1. Recommendation on page 24 of the report that no one ever be hired subject to a security clearance is sound and should be adopted by this Agency. This would eliminate a great many of our difficulties with reject applicants.
2. If we could obtain some discretion (such as the Defense Department seems to have assumed, ~~judged~~ from its recommendations) with respect to avoiding loyalty hearings on applicants in cases where the US feels that it should be waived, it would be helpful. I have in mind two types of cases which have recently come to my attention, namely:
 - a. A person who twenty years ago belonged as a student to an Italian Society which is now on the Attorney General's list.
 - b. The case of academic people who subscribe to certain literature published by groups on the Attorney General's list. It is frequently the duty of an academician to be acquainted with such literature.

Stuart Hodder

Encl: Letter from Pres. Gremar to all
Executive Departments and Agencies
with copy of report on Federal
employee security programs prepared
by ICIS. Ltr dtd 7 August 1958.

12 August 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR: DD/A

I am passing this on as requested by [redacted] Generally speaking, the standards set forth in the proposed new procedures are too minimal for our purposes. My own experience with personnel security cases has suggested two matters which we should consider in connection with this report, namely:

1. Recommendation on page 24 of the report that no one ever be hired subject to a security clearance is sound and should be adopted by this Agency. This would eliminate a great many of our difficulties with reject applicants.
2. If we could obtain some discretion (such as the Defense Department seems to have assumed, judging from its recommendations) with respect to avoiding loyalty hearings on applicants in cases where IFS feels that it should be waived, it would be helpful. I have in mind two types of cases which have recently come to my attention, namely:
 - a. A person who twenty years ago belonged as a student to an Italian Society which is now on the Attorney General's list.
 - b. The case of academic people who subscribe to certain literature published by groups on the Attorney General's list. It is frequently the duty of an academician to be acquainted with such literature.

Stuart Hedden

Encl: Letter from Pres. Truman to all Executive Departments and Agencies with copy of report on Federal employee security programs prepared by ICES. Ltr dtd 7 August 1952.

Stuart Hedden
Orig + 1 - addressee
1 - signer

STAT

11 August 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR: Inspector General

FROM : Assistant to the Director


I am attaching for your information the President's memorandum of August 7th on Federal Employee Security Programs and the report which came with it.

In the light of our concern with the security hurdle to employment by CIA, you will be particularly interested in section 8 on page 23 and recommendation 5 as it is carried through on pages 29 and 30. You will note also that the Department of Defense takes exception to this recommendation on page VI largely along the lines of a more detailed spelling out of the bases for denial of employment. Finally, note paragraph "g" on page 35.

I have not been able to do anything on our statement for applicants during the past couple of weeks but will try to polish it off this week.

Will you please pass this material on to DD/A when you are through with it.

Attachment



STAT