

Classified by DCI  
21 Mar 52. DR

March 14, 1952

Executive Registry  
2-7211

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, PSB

SUBJECT: Your meeting with General Smith this afternoon

I am attaching a memorandum for your signature dealing with [redacted] which I suggest that you hand to General Smith this afternoon. In addition, I recommend that you cover the following points with him:

1. The Security Violation. In view of [redacted] participation in other PSB panels, I think that you should discuss with General Smith the question of whether the present violation is sufficiently serious to require a position that [redacted] should be excluded from the other panels. CIA has had considerable experience in dealing [redacted] and I am sure that General Smith has an informed judgment as to whether, from CIA's standpoint, at least, it would be necessary for someone to request Mr. Harriman to exclude [redacted] from this area. It seems to me that if anybody takes this step, it should be the General who does it.

25X1  
25X1  
25X1  
25X1

2. MSA Assistance in Drafting Proposed Legislation for Escapee Programs. The main facts here are as follows:

- (a) The strong view given Mr. Dulles by the House Foreign Affairs Committee that escapee matters be handled through amendments to the Mutual Security Act and the request by them for guidance as to the substance.
- (b) The Bureau of the Budget's proposed bill containing a mixture of immigration and escapee matters. You have suggested to the Bureau of the Budget that the escapee matters be handled not through that bill, but through amendments to the Mutual Security Act of 1952. Copy of your comment is attachment A.
- (c) The agreement by all hands at Wednesday's staff meeting that this guidance should be supplied by developing informally a draft which would be handed informally to the Committee.

With this in mind, I suggest that you remind the General of these facts, and suggest that MSA assistance in preparing

NSC review(s) completed.

Handwritten notes: 0177, DCI/PSB

SECRET

the language is required and discuss the manner of obtaining it. Since the legislative people in CIA, Defense and State will be working on the draft, the logical person in Mr. Harriman's office would be their counterpart, Eichholz. However, in view of [ ] monopoly an ordinary request for help would likely result in reference of the request to him. The alternative seems to be the following:

25X1

(a) Informal staff call to Eichholz asking his participation. In doing this, we should be prepared to accept [ ] if the call is referred to him.

25X1

(b) A call by either the General or yourself to Mr. Harriman, giving the background need for amendments to the Mutual Security Act covering escapees and the Kersten amendment, the manner of handling it, and, finally, a request for Eichholz's assistance. This would likely raise the [ ] question.

25X1

The tactics here would seem to depend upon the handling of the security question. If the General takes this up with Mr. Harriman, he might just as well cover the second problem as well. On the other hand, if he does not, or if there is to be delay in his decision, then my suggestion would be that either Pforzheimer or [ ] try to work informally with Eichholz.

25X1

3. MSA Representation in PSB Work. Collateral to the above is the general question of MSA and Harriman's office participation in PSB work. I am not referring to the "membership" question but to the issue of whether Mr. Harriman's office is the right place for us to look exclusively for the integration in our work of the resources under the control of MSA.

As you know [ ] has taken the position that he is the exclusive point of contact for both MSA and ODMS. There is a strong growing feeling in MSA that since the programming and operations for a good deal of our foreign economic activity is accomplished in MSA, rather than in ODMS, staff representation on such plans as [ ] for example, should come from the former rather than the latter; and that the [ ] monopoly should be broken.

25X1

25X1

25X1

It seems to me that you might pass this sentiment along to the General as a matter of general information. It might be that a parallel solution to our problem would be to encourage John Kenney at the right time to arrange with Mr. Harriman that Mr. Kenney's office should provide the bulk of our MSA representation on PSB panels.

25X1

[ ]  
3/14/52

CONFIDENTIAL

March 12, 1952

Mr. Roger Jones  
Assistant Director for  
Legislative Affairs  
Bureau of the Budget  
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Jones:

I have examined the draft act entitled "The Special Migration Assistance Act of 1952" and have the following comments in response to your request of March 7, 1952.

As you are aware, the Psychological Strategy Board is directly concerned only with Title II of the act which provides for the care and utilization of escapees. The comments which follow are, therefore, made from the standpoint of this interest.

As a general comment, I seriously question the advisability of using this act as a means of obtaining the legislative authorization required for the future care and utilization of escapees. I, therefore, recommend, first, that Title II be dropped from this act and, second, that necessary amendments be provided in the proposed Mutual Security Act of 1952 for this purpose.

These suggestions are made for several reasons. In the first place, since the PICME Program for the transportation and resettlement of migrants is already provided for in the Mutual Security Act legislation, it would be most logical to include in the same bill legislation covering the directly related escapee program. In the second place, the escapee program is a foreign affairs matter which would presumably be considered by the House Foreign Affairs Committee. The immigration program in Title I of the proposed act is, on the other hand, a matter which would come before the Judiciary Committees. Although we have every reason to believe that the House Foreign Affairs Committee would be most favorably inclined to the escapee legislation, we understand that there is good reason to anticipate opposition to the Title I provisions, particularly in the Senate Judiciary Committee. If this is so, the Committees would be acting at cross purposes and the legislation might not be enacted.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Finally, the House Foreign Affairs Committee has already been thoroughly briefed on the program outlined in Part I, Title II, and in expressing strong support for this program, has urged that it be provided for in the Mutual Security Act.

If this action is taken, I suggest, for obvious reasons, that the language concerned with the resettlement of escapees in the United States be included in Title I of the act.

We are now considering with representatives of the interested agencies the substance of the legislative authorization which should be sought to cover the future escapee programs, and I hope that within the next week agreement will have been reached on this question. In the meantime, I suggest that, in any legislation in which it might appear, the substance of Part II, Title II should be drafted in more general language than in the proposed bill. This change would be for the purpose of providing the necessary authority and at the same time avoiding a commitment to programs in such specific terms. As you are aware, these programs are now under review in connection with Phase B of this Board's planning efforts, and it will be some time before any realistic estimate can be made as to the most desirable form which they should take. However, I believe that within the next week or ten days, the agencies concerned will have agreed on suggestions as to the authorizing language which should be proposed.

Sincerely yours,

Director

25X1

C  
3/12/52

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

2-8974

25X1

ADDRESS OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS TO  
THE DIRECTOR OF  
PSYCHOLOGICAL STRATEGY BOARD  
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

PSYCHOLOGICAL STRATEGY BOARD  
WASHINGTON

MAY 6 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR: General Walter Bedell Smith  
Director of Central Intelligence

NOTED IN  
DCI LOG

SUBJECT: Organization of Panels "H" and "I"

After informal discussion between Mr. Taylor of my Staff and the Panel members concerned, I have decided to designate [redacted] (The Principal CIA Member of Panel "I") as Chairman of Panel "I" and Mr. Richard H. Sanger (The Principal Department of State Member of Panel "H") as Chairman of Panel "H". Captain Richard N. Antrim, USN and Colonel Paul C. Davis, AUS, are the respective Executive Secretaries. Mr. Taylor has discussed these assignments with [redacted] Mr. Sanger, who have quite willingly accepted the chairmanships.

25X1

25X1

While I consider this arrangement most suitable for the expeditious development of the South East Asia and Middle East Plans, I should like to emphasize that I do not believe this action should be looked upon as establishing a precedent for the assignment of the chairmanship of all PSB Panels. I have found in the past that since I can make members of my staff free to devote practically their full time to planning panels, and since they are in a position to be intimately familiar with the role, procedures and objectives of PSB, as well as with the background leading to the establishment of planning requirements, it is in many cases best to designate a PSB Staff member as chairman.

I am happy that in the present instance [redacted] Mr. Sanger will be able to devote an appropriate share of their time to the accomplishment of the respective planning tasks.

25X1

25X1

[redacted signature box]

Director

cc: Mr. Allen Dulles

[redacted stamp]

25X1

25X1



FEB 29 1952

25X1



Central Intelligence Agency  
2430 E Street, N.W.  
Washington 25, D. C.

25X1



Task Panel "C" of the Psychological Strategy Board, of which you were a member, has now completed its work, and I have accordingly dissolved the panel, effective February 25, 1952.

With your capable assistance, this panel prepared two psychological operations plans of fundamental importance to the national interest. These plans were prepared under conditions requiring political forethought and a high degree of special skill, as well as flexibility in the resolution of the many points of view brought to the panel members by different departments of the Government.

These plans have now been approved by the Psychological Strategy Board.

I appreciate your devotion to the task and congratulate you on the successful result of your efforts.

Sincerely,



Director

25X1

cc: Director of Central Intelligence

*Wes Smith* ✓



25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

*DOB / DCI*

UNCLASSIFIED      RESTRICTED      CONFIDENTIAL      SECRET

(SENDER WILL CIRCLE CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM)

**CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP**

TO		INITIALS	DATE
1	[Redacted]	AF	5 Mar
2	E.I.R.		
3			
4			
5			
FROM		INITIALS	DATE
1	E.O. D.D.P.	CFA	
2			
3			

- |                                      |   |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION | <input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION      | <input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY           | <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT     | <input type="checkbox"/> PREPARATION OF REPLY   | <input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE | <input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION         | 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILE |

REMARKS:

SECRET      CONFIDENTIAL      RESTRICTED      UNCLASSIFIED

25X1

P41 7385

Approved For Release 2008/04/08 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003300190031-6

UNCLASSIFIED RESTRICTED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET


(SENDER WILL CIRCLE CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM)

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

67313

25X1

TO	
2	
3	
4	
5	
5	
FROM	
1	
2	
3	



- APPROVAL
- ACTION
- COMMENT
- CONCURRENCE
- INFORMATION
- DIRECT REPLY
- PREPARATION OF REPLY
- RECOMMENDATION
- SIGNATURE
- RETURN
- DISPATCH
- FILE





REMARKS: DD/P was advised by DCI that instead of raising these points with Mr. Harriman as suggested in attached memo that DCI had asked PSB to assist us in screening material which B will see

SECRET CONFIDENTIAL RESTRICTED UNCLASSIFIED

10 232

Approved For Release 2008/04/08 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003300190031-6

MAR 28 11 05 AM '52  
OD/NE



Approved For Release 2008/04/08 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003300190031-6

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

ROUTING SLIP

FROM: THE DIRECTOR DATE

*[Handwritten signature]*

TO:

Mr. Dulles	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Wisner	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Wolf	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Becker	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Hedden	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Col. Hansen	<input type="checkbox"/>
Secretary	<input type="checkbox"/>

FOR:

Action	<input type="checkbox"/>
Information	<input type="checkbox"/>
Draft reply for my signature	<input type="checkbox"/>
Direct reply	<input type="checkbox"/>
Recommendation	<input type="checkbox"/>
See me	<input type="checkbox"/>

Remarks:

*I told Mr. Allen to let this sleeping lion alone for the time being.*

25X1

### ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

INSTRUCTIONS.—Officer designations should be used in the "TO" column. Under each comment a line should be drawn across sheet and each comment numbered to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Each officer should initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing. This Record and Routing Sheet should be returned to Registry.

FROM:

*Py/Lr*

NO.

DATE

*2-8974* *By/8184*  
*9 May 1952*

TO—	ROOM NO.	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. <i>ER</i> <i>DD/CIA / D...</i>		<i>5/13</i>	<i>5/13</i>	[Redacted]	25X1
2. <i>ER</i> <i>DD/P</i>		<i>14</i> <i>May</i>	<i>5/14</i>	[Redacted]	
[Redacted]					
4. <i>Ex Reg</i>	<i>file</i>		<i>5/15</i>		
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					