

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1

28 September 1951

MEMORANDUM FOR:

[Redacted]

O/PC

SUBJECT:

Comments on 26 September Meeting with G-2

As I see the problems raised by this meeting they are as follows:

1. Is OC/Pay War legitimately in this field or is the function exclusively one for O/PC?
2. In the light of the answer to No. 1, is the coordination on the planning side, especially between OC/Pay War, O/PC and J3PD, satisfactory--both through the "Representatives" and at the working level?
3. Are the arrangements for intelligence contributions, advice or participation in the selection of general and specific targets satisfactory to the planners?
4. What should be the respective roles and the relationship of the military planning done at headquarters in Washington and that done in the theater?
  - a. Does the normal military pattern apply to unconventional operations?
  - b. Does the military answer apply to O/PC?
5. Based on the answers to No. 4, what should be the respective roles of headquarters and field with respect to intelligence of the detailed operational nature required?
6. What procedures should be instituted to achieve the maximum permissible exchange of intelligence here in Washington?

The first four questions would appear to be appropriate for discussion in the "Representatives" group. The last two cannot be completely disposed of except in the light of the answers to the others.

25X1

[Redacted]  
Office of Intelligence Coordination

MORI/CDF

S-E-C-R-E-T