

S-E-C-R-E-T
Security Information
IAC-M-76
2 July 1952

INTELLIGENCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of Meeting held in Director's
Conference Room, Administration Building
Central Intelligence Agency, on 2 July 1952

Director of Central Intelligence
General Walter Bedell Smith
Presiding

MEMBERS PRESENT

- Mr. Fisher Howe, acting for Special Assistant, Intelligence,
Department of State
- Brigadier General John Weckerling, acting for Assistant Chief
of Staff, G-2, Department of the Army
- Rear Admiral R. F. Stout, Director of Naval Intelligence,
Department of the Navy
- Brigadier General John B. Ackerman, acting for Director of
Intelligence, Headquarters, United States Air Force
- Dr. Malcolm C. Henderson, acting for Director of Intelligence,
Atomic Energy Commission
- Brigadier General Richard C. Partridge, Deputy Director for
Intelligence, The Joint Staff
- Mr. Meffert W. Kuhrtz, acting for Assistant to the Director,
Federal Bureau of Investigation

ALSO PRESENT

25X1

Mr. Loftus E. Becker, Central Intelligence Agency
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency

Mr. Robert Amory, Jr., Central Intelligence Agency

25X1

[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency

[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency

Mr. William P. Bundy, Central Intelligence Agency

[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency

[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency

Mr. William C. Trueheart, Department of State

Colonel O. B. Sykes, Department of the Army

Captain Ray Malpass, USN, Department of the Navy

Colonel Edward H. Porter, Department of the Air Force

Colonel Charles F. Gillis, Department of the Air Force

Lieut. Colonel C. D. Sullivan, Department of the Air Force

Colonel S. M. Lansing, The Joint Staff

[redacted]
Acting Secretary

25X1

JUL 24 1952
NE-ZAC

S-E-C-R-E-T
IAC-M-76
2 July 1952

S-E-C-R-E-T
Security Information
IAC-M-76
2 July 1952

Approval of Minutes

1. Action: The minutes of the last meeting, 26 June 1952 (IAC-M-76), were approved.

Consequences of the Termination, under the Terms of the Battle Act, of US Aid to Denmark
(SE-31)

2. Action: The estimate was approved as modified.

3. Discussion: There was some discussion of the feeling, particularly held by the Air Force, that the estimate presented an overpessimistic view and that some course of action might be found which would minimize the dire consequences that were predicted. It was explained, however, that most of the paper was based on the assumption that all aid had already, in fact, been cut off without any indication or intention that it would be resumed. It was acknowledged that a better estimate, at least in the matter of form, might have been produced if more time had been available.

S-E-C-R-E-T
IAC-M-76
2 July 1952