

*hoyes
changes
made*

S E C R E T

18 November 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR: DI/IRO

FROM:

[Redacted]

25X1

HRG/CSI/O/EXDIR

SUBJECT:

Coordination of Document from DCI
Record Files, Job No. 85S00362R, Box 7
[HRP No. 89-2]

REFERENCE:

HRG Memo of 17 June 1992 on
Job No. 85S00362R, Box ~~22~~ 7

1. We appreciate your coordination of 45 documents submitted to you under Referenced memorandum. We are submitting to you an additional document in this job, document 92, which we propose to release in sanitized form and which the DCI/IRO has recommended that DI review.

3. Please indicate your agreement or disagreement with our determination by checking the appropriate boxes on the new HRP Cover Sheet and signing it.

[Redacted]

25X1

Attachments

UNCLASSIFIED
WHEN SEPARATED
FROM ATTACHMENTS

S E C R E T

S E C R E T

CSI/HRG/O/EXDIR/KMP

18 Nov 1992

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee, w/a

1 - C/HRG

1 - HRG/Chrono

1 - HRP Case File

1 - FSM

1 - KMP

1 - JSC

CRB #472

S E C R E T

~~SECRET~~

Date: 18 Nov 92

CIA
HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM
DOCUMENT COVER SHEET

HRP No.: 89-2

Job: 85 500 362R
Box: 71/8
File: _____

IDENTIFICATION OF DOCUMENT(S):
No. Date Pages Title or Description

HRP
Recommen- Other
dations Coordination

92 16/9/47 3 IAB #5 appointment SAN DCI, DSDT
of scientific attacks

REL = Release in full; SAN = Sanitize (all deletions recommended by HRP are highlighted in yellow); DIF = Deny in full

COMPONENT REVIEW DETERMINATIONS:

- Approved as recommended
- Denied in full
- Divergences (please bracket appropriate passages in red and list by document and page number below):

see no need to delete the material
highlighted on page 3.

for DI/IRO: Paul Ryan

Date of Review: 12/1/92

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

1

I.A.B. #5

COPY NO. _____

16 September 1947

Rut

INTELLIGENCE ADVISORY BOARD

APPOINTMENT OF SCIENTIFIC ATTACHES

Memorandum by the Secretary

Pursuant to a request by the Director of Central Intelligence, the enclosed memorandum is circulated herewith for consideration of the Intelligence Advisory Board at an early meeting of that Board.

J. S. EARMAN
J. S. EARMAN
Secretary, N.I.A.

I.A.B. #5

- 1 -

SECRET

92

SECRET

ENCLOSURE

DRAFT

APPOINTMENT OF SCIENTIFIC ATTACHES

1. NIA Directive No. 7 (Coordination of Collection Activities) failed to allocate the responsibility for the collection of scientific intelligence. It left to each agency that part related to its respective needs. Committee and Intelligence Advisory Board discussions during the preparation of the program indicated that future attention would be given to the scientific and economic fields.

2. Since adoption of NIA Directive No. 7, the Atomic Energy Commission and the Joint Research and Development Board have designated the Central Intelligence Group as the agency to furnish their intelligence support.

3. Although the Intelligence Division, War Department General Staff, and A-2, Air Forces, have informally stated their capability of making satisfactory collection of scientific information within their own respective fields, all the intelligence agencies have recognized the urgent need for improved collection in the broad field of scientific information related to national security. The impending dissolution of MEB, London, threatens to reduce the effectiveness of the Army's field collection agencies in matters concerning nuclear energy.

4. The new responsibilities of the Central Intelligence Group cannot be met with the existing facilities for the collection of scientific intelligence even though they may be considered as adequate to certain agency needs. Solution of the problem is necessary.

a. The appointment of Scientific Attaches to U. S. Missions in countries having the greatest scientific potential appears to be essential.

I.A.B. #5

- 2 -

ENCLOSURE

SECRET

SECRET

b. It is believed that such Attaches should be furnished by one of the agencies. The services performed would be for the common benefit of all agencies.

c. Scientific Attaches would be attached to U. S. Embassies (Legations) and function in a similar manner to other attaches.

d. These Attaches would work in close collaboration with the other attaches who would pass to them all requests for information on scientific matters beyond their capabilities to handle.

5. From informal inter-agency conferences, it is believed that the following countries have the greatest scientific intelligence potential:

Russia	Belgium
Poland	Holland
Roumania	Denmark
Bulgaria	Norway
Yugoslavia	Sweden
Czechoslovakia	Finland
Hungary	England
Austria	India
Germany	Japan
Switzerland	China
Italy	Mexico
Spain	Brazil
France	Argentina

6. The Director of Central Intelligence therefore requests a discussion of the problem and adoption of an over-all policy at an early meeting of the Intelligence Advisory Board so that the details of a program can be developed.

I.A.B. #5

- 3 -

ENCLOSURE

SECRET