

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2006

*Also see me where you have time. M*  
1000 1100

CARETIRE/2

29 July 65

Agent's office

NA

30 July 65

Liaison contact

April 65

MEETING ARRANGEMENTS:

I telephoned the agent on 28 July and made arrangements for the above meeting. No date is set for the next meeting. I will telephone again before going probably in 2 months.

OPERATIONAL:

The purpose of the meeting was merely to keep up a contact with the agent. There was nothing of an operational nature to be discussed other than the checking of 3 names which I gave the agent. All 3 names were taken out of a telephone book. In this connection, merely giving the agent made-up requests to keep our contact hot will start getting a bit old hat after too many more meetings. Taking names out of the phone book is alright, except there is never any bio data to give which makes most tracing by agent meaningless. If he is smart enough, which I think he is, he will begin to suspect something. I don't think this is necessarily anything to worry about inasmuch as we have official backing, but I do think that the rapport that has been built so far will eventually start declining. I therefore suggest that we have RINGBAUER do a batch of EMAs from different areas on people taken from address books, telephone books, etc and that these be kept in reserve and fed to the agent over the months when we have no legitimate requests to make.

During the meeting the agent brought in a colleague, whose name I was unable to catch, who is interested in attempting to establish a system, such as our inspection sticker system, for Germans so that a policeman could tell at a glance whether or not the individual were insured. In any case both the agent and his colleague thought our stickers indicated insurance validity. I explained the registering of cars, etc. to them and they were most interested and thought maybe they could establish some sort of sticker system, similar to ours for insurance. I told them to contact Heidelberg for further info. During this discussion the agent's secretary, Frau Dihle, returned the police checks which ~~MM~~ I had brought. The agent, while his colleague was present, gave me the results of my requests. I would therefore strongly recommend that the channel of C/2 never be used for anything which is particularly sensitive. I have the feeling from his conversations and actions, that he is fully convinced that this is what it is supposed to appear, a completely up and up liaison arrangements. C/1 was much more conscious of the security aspects. If it ever becomes necessary for us to use C/2 on a frequent basis it might be advisable for CARAVEL to have a talk with C/2.

PERSONAL:

The agent is going on vacation in 2 wks and will be gone until the first part of Sept. He stated that he had to return before his 4 wks were up as he had to prepare for another Tagung which is to be held in October. These usual last several weeks and policemen from all over the world come to the sessions.

FINANCE:

Nothing to report. This is a strictly liaison relationship.

SECURITY:

Nothing to report other than that mentioned above.