

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Date of Report: 9 July 1973

PHOTO COMPARISON ANALYSIS RESULTS:

1. (U) Summary of request: (Date received: \_\_\_\_\_)

a. Please compare the attached 4 pre-capture photographs of Capt. Gary H. Fors, USMC with the post-capture photographs #61 in DIA unidentified book, and related 3 still extracts from same movie sequence

b. The exact images to be compared have been identified as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

2. (U) Summary of comparison performed:

a. The following photographs were compared: pre-capture \_\_\_\_\_; post-capture \_\_\_\_\_

b. 2 technicians working independently of each other analyzed the identifiable features listed below.

3. (CONFIDENTIAL) Results of analysis:

a. (U) Quality of pre-capture photographs submitted: Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recognizable features.

b. (U) Quality of post-capture photographs submitted: Adequate/inadequate for analysis recognizable features.

c. (C) The following features were considered similar:

(1) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) \_\_\_\_\_

-1-

Declassified by 050375  
& NOV 1977

Approved for Release  
Date 6 NOV 1977

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

- (3) \_\_\_\_\_
- (4) \_\_\_\_\_
- (5) \_\_\_\_\_
- (6) \_\_\_\_\_
- (7) \_\_\_\_\_
- (8) \_\_\_\_\_
- (9) \_\_\_\_\_

d. (C) The following features were considered dissimilar:

- (1) \_\_\_\_\_
- (2) \_\_\_\_\_
- (3) \_\_\_\_\_
- (4) \_\_\_\_\_
- (5) \_\_\_\_\_

e. (OUO) Conclusion:

- (1) In view of the similarity in general appearance and significant number of similar features, \_\_\_\_\_ could be the subject of the questioned photographs.
- (2) In view of the significant number of differences in distinguishable features, \_\_\_\_\_ probably is not the subject of the questioned photographs.
- (3) In view of the quality of/photography and the small number of distinguishable features which could be compared, no conclusion can be reached.

See "G"

f. (U) The same image has been compared with pre-capture photographs of \_\_\_\_\_ Air Force, \_\_\_\_\_ Navy, \_\_\_\_\_ Marine, \_\_\_\_\_ Army, and \_\_\_\_\_ civilian personnel.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

g. Comments:

Unidentified photo #61 is too blurred to permit meaningful comparison with precapture photos. Moreover, there is a suggestion of swelling in some of the features. Therefore it is not possible to make any reliable judgment concerning apparent differences and similarities.

4. (OUO) WARNING: This photo comparison analysis was performed utilizing the best available techniques; however, the quality of the photographs in question precluded positive identification. There may be other overriding factors concerning the individual's case which could confirm or invalidate the photo comparison analysis.

Attachments:

- (a) Post-capture photographs, with overlay or other exact identification of image to be compared: \_\_\_\_\_
- (b) Pre-capture photographs: \_\_\_\_\_

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~