

~~SECRET~~

Scala

To: 7408.

Memo No. 118.

From: 7404/7417's Sec.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE: JUL 2004 19th March, 1945.

CREEP

Your Memo No. 474 of 13th March and our Memo No. 116 of 18th March.

1. I am sorry that there was some confusion about last week's consignment of Creep 65. Our records show the situation to be as follows:

2. On 12th March the following tins were sent to you:

C.65/121A-150A
C.65/152A-160A
C.65/31B-32B

3. Of this, the following were received here only on the morning of 12th March, and unfortunately no covering letter to you was written concerning them:

C.65/121A-123A
C.65/135A-150A
C.65/152A-160A
C.65/31B-32B

4. Creep 151A is being sent to you to-day (see my Memo No. 117, para. 1).

5. I think this explains everything except for the following two points:

- (a) C.65/152A & 154A. In para. 2 of your Memo No. 474 you say that you did not receive these. According to our records, these were sent to you on 12th March.

~~SECRET~~

NND 921119-917

~~SECRET~~

-2-

Memo No. 118.

(b) C.65/161A-164A. These four tins are being sent to you to-day (see my Memo No. 117, para. 1). In para. 2 of your Memo No. 474 you say that C.65/161A and 163A were not sent to you (which is correct) but that you had received C.65/162A and 164A. I cannot understand this latter statement, as all these four tins did not arrive in Stockholm until 17th March and were not packed up for you until to-day.

4. I am sorry that this is all so complicated, but I hope this letter has helped to clear the matter up. Perhaps you would let me know about Para. 5 (a) and (b), above?

5. With regard to para. 4 of your Memo No. 474. As long as H.Q. number their Creep operations in this way I think it would be better, from the point of view of our records here, if we were to continue to refer to the items by their individual numbers.

~~SECRET~~

NND 921119-918