

APPROVED FOR RELEASE □ DATE:
10-Nov-2008


(b)(6)
(b)(3)
(b)(2)
(b)(1)

12 February 1968


Memorandum For : Chairman, DD/P, Special Panel
Suggestion Awards Committee

Subject : SP-68-3

1. Pursuant to your instruction, subject suggestion has been reviewed to determine both the short and long range benefits.



The TSD/Suggestion Awards Panel after reviewing this case, considering the fact Mr. Caranci is a technician and therefore modification of equipment used by other individuals is clearly beyond his usual job expectancy, should be eligible to receive an award. Therefore, as DD/P, Special Panel Advisor, it is my recommendation that a suitable certificate and a cash award not to exceed \$50.00 be made.



~~SECRET~~

18 August 1967

Memorandum For : Chairman, DD/P, Special Panel
Suggestion Awards Committee

Subject

: [REDACTED]
(SP-68-3)

1. Forwarded herewith as enclosures to this memorandum is subject suggestion and evaluation prepared by [REDACTED] C/TSD/ [REDACTED]

2. [REDACTED] has prepared a most accurate and critical evaluation of subject suggestion that I am unable to fault.

3. Since this suggestion does not enhance the capability of the [REDACTED] and has no tangible benefit I must agree with Mr. [REDACTED] recommendation that no cash award should be made. However, it is my recommendation that the suggester be presented with a Certificate of Appreciation for this suggestion.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Enclosure:

1. Suggestion
2. Evaluation

~~SECRET~~

[REDACTED]

EVALUATION OF EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION
(Submit in duplicate)

SUGGESTION NO.
SP68-3

TO: Executive Secretary
Suggestion Awards Committee

FROM: Technical Services Division

INSTRUCTIONS

IN ORDER TO GUIDE THE SUGGESTION AWARDS COMMITTEE IN MAKING FINAL DETERMINATION, YOUR EXPLICIT COMMENTS ARE NECESSARY. "ACTION RECOMMENDED" SHOULD BE COMPLETED. **IF TANGIBLE BENEFITS ARE INVOLVED, AN ANALYSIS OF THE ANTICIPATED FIRST YEAR'S SAVINGS SHOULD BE SHOWN.** IF TANGIBLE BENEFITS ARE DERIVED, PLEASE INDICATE THE EXTENT OF BENEFIT AND DEGREE OF APPLICATION AS DEFINED IN THE GUIDE ON THE REVERSE SIDE.

ACTION RECOMMENDED

ADOPT FOR USE (Date adopted or to be adopted)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FURTHER STUDY REQUIRED (Expected date of completion)
ALREADY IN EFFECT BUT THIS SUGGESTION MAKES ADDED CONTRIBUTION		REFER SUGGESTION TO (Component)
DISAPPROVED FOR ADOPTION		ACTION TAKEN AS A DIRECT RESULT OF THIS SUGGESTION (Specify below)
ALREADY IN EFFECT AND NO PART OF THIS SUGGESTION MAKES ADDED CONTRIBUTION		OTHER (Specify below)
ALTHOUGH NOT ADOPTED, STIMULATES AN IMPROVEMENT (Specify below)		

REASON FOR RECOMMENDATION

Some fundamental considerations must be kept in mind in realistically evaluating this suggestion.

Even though [] to which this suggestion applies are at various locations in the field, they are still considered to be prototypes and hence subject to further development. So while the suggestion would result in a minor improvement, it is probably only one of several related improvements which will ultimately be incorporated into subsequent [] as more experience is gained in their use. This is typical of the normal development process.

Also, whereas the suggestion does constitute an improvement to [] present form, it is not necessarily the only way in which the effect which this improvement produces can be achieved. [] may be the best overall method to reduce the possibility of [] error, or there may be a better way. A comprehensive design study would have to be made to make this determination.

Finally, the suggestion does not add any new capability to [] It may reduce the likelihood of [] error but only if the operator is not paying full attention to what he is doing. A careful operator should experience no difficulty in [] in its present form. In my opinion, the saving in [] time claimed for the suggestion is inconsequential in view of the total time required [] There would undoubtedly be some reduction in manufacturing cost as the suggestor claims, but the amount may or may not amount to \$500 as stated.

Whereas this suggestion will be considered for adoption on subsequent [] I do not feel it is of sufficient value to warrant recognition in the form of a suggestion award.

DATE
9 August 1967

SIGNATURE OF