

AIR

EGMA-30094

~~SECRET~~

Chief of Station, Germany

25 November 1957

Chief of Base, Munich

INFO: EE

Operational/CART/CASTONE

CASTONE-4/Technical Interrogation

Forwarded herewith is the report of Subject's technical interrogation, conducted at MOB on 15 November 1957, in accordance with the request of Chief, CART, MOB, and case officer,

Approved:

Attachment:  
TI Report h/w

Distribution:  
3 - COS/G w/att  
2 - EE w/att

MEK:php

DECLASSIFIED  
PER DOJ 70-17

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED  
PER DOJ 70-17

Declassified and Approved for Release  
by the Central Intelligence Agency  
Date: 2005

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

EXEMPTIONS Section 3(b)

- (2)(A) Privacy
- (2)(B) Methods/Sources
- (2)(G) Foreign Relations

~~SECRET~~


TECHNICAL INTERROGATION REPORT

Operational/CART/CASTONE

Subject: CASTONE-4

1. Subject was afforded a technical interrogation on 15 November 1957 at MOB in accordance with the request of Chief, CART, MOB, and case officer, [ ] [ ] Subject had been previously tested on 15 June 1955 (EGMA-16057), 13 February 1953 (EGMA-03710), and on 28 October 1952 (EGMA-01168).
2. Subject is presently the senior member of the MOB, CART, surveillance team. The present examination was in conjunction with CART, MOB provision for an annual or semi-annual routine security check of team members. The test was conducted in the German language by the undersigned examiner.
3. Questions, Answers, and Analysis:

Below are listed the relevant questions asked Subject. Norm and control-type questions are omitted where their function is primarily to assist the examiner in the technical analysis.

Phase I:

This phase consisted of norm and control-type questions only. No abnormalities in Subject's response pattern were observed which would adversely influence test interpretation.

Phase II:

9. Do you now have secret connections with West German defense or intelligence organizations?

Answer: No.

No deception indicated.

Prior to actual testing, when the above question was read to Subject, the question was interpreted for Subject to include such organizations as UPSWING, BfV, and LfV. Subject responded to question 9 on the initial presentation. During subsequent test charts, the response is completely lacking or substantially diminished, which in this case appears to indicate no deliberate deception to this question. This analysis also appears to be corroborated by the lack of significant responses to questions 9a, 9b, and 9c listed below.

- 9a. Do you now have any secret connection with BfV?

Answer: No.

No deception indicated.

9b. Do you now have any secret connection with LfV?

Answer: No. No deception indicated.

9c. Do you now have any secret connection with UPSWING?

Answer: No. No deception indicated.

11. Do you have any secret connections that you would not want to tell us about?

Answer: No.

Subject responded to this question on initial presentation. During subsequent charts, after discussing the possibilities with Subject and confronting him with his response to question 11, the response diminishes. Subject appears to have something on his mind here that he may not care to discuss. Since the response diminishes, particularly after interrogation on this point, it appears that there is no serious deception.

12. Do you now have any means of income that we do not know about?

Answer: No. No deception indicated.

Phase III:

15. Do you now have any secret connections with any Western intelligence services other than your work with us?

Answer: No. No deception indicated.

Subject responded to the above question; however, in comparison with control-type questions, there appears to be no deception indicated.

16. Have you ever given us falsified reports?

Answer: No.

Subject responded to the above question on initial presentation. Subject indicated that it would be foolish for him to attempt to falsify reports since the accuracy of the reports could be so easily checked by the other members of the surveillance team. (It was known to the case officer that Subject had in fact exaggerated certain details and omitted information, although not to a serious extent, on his surveillance reports.) Subject, after detailed questioning, maintained that he had reported factually and, therefore, had nothing to conceal on the question. Subject's response to the control question, "Do you exaggerate in your reports?" was strong and clearly indicates the area of Subject's concern.

18. Do you now have any secret connections with any Eastern or Communist intelligence organizations?

Answer: No. No deception indicated.

20. Are you now being blackmailed by anyone?

Answer: No. No deception indicated.

Phase IV:

24. Do you keep copies of your reports at your house for your own use?

Answer: No.

Subject responded to this question strongly on first presentation during testing. Subject stated that he has no copies of his reports at home and keeps nothing except a notebook on current cases for his personal use. It appears that Subject may be withholding information here; however, the response diminishes on subsequent charts and Subject appears to be less concerned after the matter of reports was discussed during interrogation.

26. Have you ever passed on information about your job to any unauthorized person?

Answer: No. No deception indicated.

No significant response was shown to the above question.

28. Have you ever given us deliberately falsified receipts?

Answer: No. No deception indicated.

Subject appears to be completely clear here.

29. Do you now have connections with persons that you would rather not tell us about?

Answer: No. No deception indicated.

Subject responded to the above question on one occasion. When questioned about his response, Subject stated that he could think of no relationship with individuals that he would wish to hide from the Americans. Subject indicated that when the above question was asked during the test he, for some reason, thought of Enil DVORAK and Major (fmu) BARTSCH, the latter of

which apparently has some connection with UPSWING according to Subject. Subject indicated that all he knows of these two individuals is known to this organization. The above question was originally intended as a control question by the undersigned. Subject's comments are reported primarily for the interest of the case officer.

4. Conclusions:

- a. Subject, being a strong reactor, produces chart patterns that are for the most part clear and readily interpretable. Subject responded strongly to control-type questions during testing which lends the test substantial validity. Subject does not appear to be practicing deception to questions relating to present secret contacts or connections with other intelligence services. Subject apparently is concerned about questions dealing with the accuracy of his reports and he appears to be practicing deception to a degree in this area. The responses here appear to be due more to a fear or embarrassment of admitting that he has embellished or exaggerated his reports than a deliberate attempt to falsify. There is some evidence from other CASTONES that Subject is guilty of mild fabrication.
- b. Subject strongly desired to create the impression during interrogation periods that he was a man of high ideals, and a strong sense of honor. He on two somewhat obvious control questions determined to answer with an unqualified "yes" or "no" and found himself reacting strongly. Subject insisted to the end that he had withheld nothing and could therefore not explain his responses except that they are probably responses caused by the challenge to his honor and reputation.
- c. No new information was gleaned from Subject as a result of testing that appears to warrant further interrogation at this time.

[ ]