

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2E
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2005

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SECRET

ROUTING	
1	4
2	5
3	6

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : BONN

ACTION: C/EE 3 (EE NOTIFIED AND COPY SLOTTED AT 0825 15 JUL LMG)

INFO : DCI, D/DCI, DDP, ADDP, C/CA 2, C/CI, C/CI 2, C/CI/INT 2, RF 2

SECRET 151100Z

PRITY DIR FRAN [] ONLY INFO PRITY MUNI [] ONLY

CITE (BONN 4865 C [] ACTING)

RYBAT UPHILL

RE A) DIR 53921

B) FRAN 0347 (N 79675)

1. GREATLY APPRECIATE REF A GUIDANCE SUBSTANCE OF WHICH PASSED VERBALLY TO AMB THIS MORNING.
2. FEAR HOWEVER REF A CONTENT DID NOT WHOLLY SATISFY AMB WHO PRESSING HARD FOR DIRECT ANSWERS WHICH HE PROPOSES TO USE IN FURTHER DISCUSSING MATTER WITH UPTHURST. FOLLOWING POINTS MADE BY AMB:
 - A. UPTHURST SAID BOTH ASCHAM AND [] ASSURED HIM OF UTILITY'S PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE AND ABILITIES IN CLANDESTINE INTELLIGENCE FIELDS. AMB QUESTION IS THIS A FACT?
 - B. VIEW FACT UPHILL OUR CREATION WE CANNOT ESCAPE OUR RESPONSIBILITY IN ITS SECURITY FAILURES.
 - C. ANY INVESTIGATION UPHILL WILL REVEAL OUR PAST ROLE. AMB ASKS WHAT IS RPT IS OUR CURRENT EVALUATION OF UTILITY?
3. THOUGH AMB MOST CORDIAL WITH [] HE CONVINCED FELFE CASE, "WILL REVEAL AN INTELLIGENCE DISASTER" AND NO AMOUNT OF "DOUBLE TALK" CAN HIDE FACT KUBARK RESPONSIBILITY ORGANIZING, SUPPORTING AND GUIDING UPHILL EARLY ACTIVITIES. FEELS THIS WILL BE EVENTUALLY

BEST AVAILABLE COPY
15 JUL 1953

SECRET

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWN-GRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

MOST EMBARRASSING TO PBPRIME. HE REPORTING HIS OPINION TO ODACID.

4. RE REF B PARA 2⁸ APPRECIATE OFFER BUT DO NOT FEEL AMB SEEKING
OVERALL BRIEFING ON UPHILL AT THIS TIME. WHEN SUGGESTED
SUCH HE SAID HE HAD IT IN WASH AND WHEN ¹⁰
RETURNS WILL BE PLEASED TO REVIEW IT AGAIN; HOWEVER, WHAT HE IS
INTERESTED IN^NOW ARE ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS CONTAINED PARA 2 AND
3 ABOVE.

5. APPRECIATE YOUR FURTHER VIEWS ON ABOVE.

SECRET

S/C NOTE: PORTION GARBLED BEING SERVICED.

C/S Comment: *Requested KUBARK(7) evaluation of UTILITY (5)
as well as KUBARK (7) position on UTILITY's (5) possible dismissal.
**Forwarded Hqs views on UTILITY (5). Question
whether UTILITY (5) should remain as head of service is one
exclusively for Fedrep decision.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY