

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

<b>DISPATCH</b>	CLASSIFICATION <b>S-E-C-R-E-T</b>	PROCESSING ACTION <input type="checkbox"/> MARKED <input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED <input type="checkbox"/> ONLY QUALIFIED PERSONNEL CAN JUDGE INDEXING <input type="checkbox"/> MICROFILM	
TO	J		
INFO			
FROM	Chief, SB via Chief, EUR		
SUBJECT	REDBLOCK/LCIMPROVE/Operational Reports of Private Investigators on Eerik HEINE		
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
Reference: DIR 02511, dated 6 May 1966			
Action : Pass reports to SMABOVE			
<p>1. Forwarded herewith are two sets of the private investigators' reports on Eerik HEINE, one set for SMABOVE, the other for [redacted] files. There are seven reports in each set. A set of these reports is also being furnished to ODENVY by Headquarters.</p> <p>2. The following information on these reports may be passed in its entirety to SMABOVE: When RAUS' attorneys accepted his case, they advised him and us that as a matter of routine they would employ their own private investigators to collect information on HEINE for possible use during the expected trial. This would have to be done despite the existence of any information in our possession, since such information could not be produced in a court of law, <del>under existing rules of evidence.</del> They intended, therefore, to use a local lawyer-investigator of proven reliability and discretion to interview a number of people who might have significant information on HEINE. These interviews were in fact initiated just prior to HEINE's arrival here for the deposition on 27 February 1965, and the first series of interviews continued during the several days required for taking of the deposition. As will be noted, leads continued to be developed during the course of the interviews, one person suggesting another. Further, the HEINE deposition itself provided a source of other names of individuals who were alleged to have knowledge of portions of HEINE's biography, and a number of these leads were followed up. The results of the investigations were transmitted to RAUS' attorneys in a series of seven written reports between March and November 1965.</p>			
		(continued: next page)	
Distribution: Orig. & 2 [redacted] with attachments			
CS COPY			
13 JUN 1966			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
OS 5024	OCOW-5849	6 June 1966	
CLASSIFICATION		HQS FILE NUMBER	
S-E-C-R-E-T		J	
DISTRIBUTION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - RID 1 - SB/O/AC 1 - SB/CI 1 - CI/OPS/SOVSAT OGC E/BC/C SB/O/AC IND	ORIGINATING	EXT.	
	OFFICE	TYPE	
	SB/O/AC	1ka	4205
	COORDINATING		
	OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE	OFFICER'S NAME
	SB/CI C/SB/CI		
C/SB CI/OPS/SOVSAT OGC E/BC/C E/EUR	8 JUN 1966		
OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE	RELEA	
ADDP			

FORM 53 USE PREVIOUS EDITION

(40)

**DISPATCH**

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
 SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
 DATE 2006

CONTINUATION OF  
DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER

~~S-E-C-R-E-T~~

OCOW-5840

3. We will not comment in detail on the content of these reports except to note that the investigators apparently made an honest effort to speak to all possible sources of information, including people who were known to be friendly to HEINE. It should also be noted that the "predication" which constitutes the first part of the initial report contains an obviously erroneous and distorted summary of HEINE's biography, apparently reflecting the meager information available to the investigators before the taking of the HEINE deposition.

4. We have classified these reports ~~SECRET~~ since the sum total of them provides a considerable body of biographic information not only on HEINE, but on each of the individual Estonian emigres interviewed, many of whom have relatives still living in Soviet Estonia. The classification is not intended to inhibit SMABOVE from conducting their own thorough investigation of HEINE, as discussed between ( ) and ( ) on 9 June, and we hope that the information in these reports will be of some use to them in this regard. As mentioned in paragraph 3 of DIR 04011, we continue to feel that HEINE's finances should be carefully checked, and this aspect was also covered in the ( ) ( ) discussion. From the deposition and from the investigators' reports the impression remains that HEINE has been spending more than his modest income as a foreman in a small Toronto plant would permit, and we urge that his finances be examined in detail.

Attachments:

Private Investigators' Reports  
on Erik HEINE (2 sets)

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

CS COPY

CLASSIFICATION

~~S-E-C-R-E-T~~

PAGE NO.

2

3a

USE PREVIOUS EDITION.



CONTINUED