

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

DATE 272 MJ/JM/MCB
18 NOV 57

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING	
1	4
2	5
3	6

TO : DIRECTOR
 FROM : BERLIN
 ACTION: ES 6
 INFO : CDS, CI, CI/OPN, FI, FI/OPN, *FI/RI*, SR 4, S/C 2

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
 SOURCES METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
 DATE 2006

NOV 19 0157Z 57

ROUTINE

IN 36098

TO MUNI INFO FRAN DIR CITE BRN 4660

UPSWING TO IMPROVE HOEHER

REF BRN 4639 (IN 35421)

1. 1600 NOV 17 FRAU HOEHER'S SON DIETER AGE 17 REQUESTED MOTHER'S PERMISSION GET FRESH AIR GARDEN NEIGHBORHOOD OF HOUSE AND FAILED RETURN. WE FIRST LEARNED HIS WHEREABOUTS 2200 WHEN EAST BERLIN AUNT CAME TO WEST BERLIN AUNT WITH STORY HE AT HER APARTMENT AND REFUSES RETURN WEST BERLIN. STATED HAD GONE TO EAST BERLIN POLICE REVIER AND CALLED FATHER IN LEIPZIG. FATHER NOT IN TALKED GRANDMOTHER LEFT MESSAGE FOR FATHER DIETER WAITING FOR HIM EAST BERLIN AUNT'S APARTMENT. VOPOS TOOK HIS AUSWEISS AND ALLOWED HIM TO GO HIS EAST BERLIN AUNT'S TO AWAIT FATHER'S ARRIVAL. ALTHOUGH STATED TO VOPOS WANTED TO RETURN TO FATHER REAL REASON IS 16 YEAR OLD GIRL FRIEND IN LEIPZIG.

2. EAST BERLIN AUNT SENT BACK TO ATTEMPT PERSUADE HIM RETURN. SHE RETURNED 2400 STATING HE CONTRITE BUT WILL RETURN LEIPZIG TO FINISH SCHOOL YEAR WILL THEN REJOIN MOTHER IN WEST GERMANY WITH GIRL FRIEND. AUNT INSTRUCTED MAKE ANOTHER ATTEMPT INDUCE HIM RETURN STRESSING FACT HE COMPROMISED SELF BY GIVING INFO HIS FATHER'S ACTIVITIES ON BEHALF SOVIETS AND THAT LETTER TO FATHER ALREADY SENT. AS OF 1200 NOV 18 NO WORD FROM AUNT.

S-E-C-R-E-T

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

DATE

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING	
1	4
2	5
3	6

TO

FROM

ACTION:

INFO

PAGE 2

[Empty routing box]

[Empty routing box]

IN 36098
BRLN 4660

TO

INFO

CITE

3. WEST BERLIN AUNT HAS BOB LOW SECURITY NUMBER AND WE EXPECT TO MONITOR FURTHER ACTION SON AND FATHER'S REACTION THRU AUNT'S EAST BERLIN AND LEIPZIG. WITHOUT DOUBT THIS ACTION IS UNPREDICTABLE TEEN AGE ACT. SON WILL MOST PROBABLY REJOIN MOTHER EVENTUALLY. HE VIOLENTLY ANTI DDR AND COMPLETELY UNSYMPATHETIC TO FATHER.

4. NOW IMPOSSIBLE SEND LETTER TO HOEHER THRU SON'S GIRL FRIEND SINCE SON WILL BE IN POSITION TO INTERCEPT IT. HOWEVER DO NOT THINK SON'S RETURN TO EAST PREJUDICES ARGUMENT IN LETTER. IN FACT MAY STRENGTHEN WHEN FATHER LEARNS EXTENT OF MOTHER'S DEBRIEFING WHICH WITNESSED BY SON.

5. DISCUSSED WITH [] AND UPSWINGERS AND DECIDED SEND LETTER WITH POST SCRIPT STATING DID NOT FORWARD LETTER TO SON'S GIRL FRIEND BECAUSE DID NOT WISH ENDANGER. WE USING PFEIFFER MAIL DROP IN LEIPZIG WITH RETURN ADDRESS FATHER'S NORD HAUSEN AGENT. BELIEVE THIS WAY LETTER WILL REACH HIM SAFELY AND OUR ACTION WITHHOLDING FIRST LETTER MAY SERVE CONVINCING HIM OUR GOOD WILL.

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT: *REPORTED FELT EXCELLENT CHANCE EXISTS TO LURE SUBJ'S HUSBAND WITH LETTER MAILED TO SON'S GIRL FRIEND.

S-E-C-R-E-T