

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. OSBW 6663
TO INFO	[]		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. []
FROM Chief, WE			DATE 7 MAY 1959
SUBJECT [B] VERUS Evaluation of Peter Report			RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE)
			<input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING
			<input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED
			<input type="checkbox"/> INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY
ACTION REQUIRED			
REFERENCES)	BRUS 2827		
<p>Attached [] are KUMONK and GRACID evaluations of the report cabled in under Reference. Both evaluations are unfavorable to the format, terming it incoherent and confused. The report was sourced to an "Individual in fairly frequent social contact with Hungarian officials in Belgium (C)."</p>			
<p>6 May 1959</p> <p>Attachments: 2 (in dupl)</p> <p>Distribution:</p> <p>2 []</p> <p>2-WB-C</p> <p>1-RI</p> <p>WE-2/EWS/jls</p>			
<p>DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2006</p>			
<p>CS COPY</p> <p>3 COPY</p>			
FORM 10-57 53 (40)	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	<input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUED

POOR QUALITY DOCUMENT
SEE [] FOLDER FOR HARDCOPY

TOP SECRET

SECRET

Nightingale *of Agency* *Belgium* *Hingley* DATE FORWARDED
INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION REPORT EVALUATION 15 April 1959

TO: (Evaluating Agency) OCI	REPORT NO. TDCSDB 3/639,966
FROM:	FIELD NO. 8BB-4816

Venus

VALUE OF THIS REPORT	ACCURACY OF CONTENT	IMPORTANCE OF RECEIVING FURTHER REPORTS ON THIS SPECIFIC SUBJECT
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FILLS A PRIORITY NEED <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OF CONSIDERABLE VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. OF VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. OF SLIGHT OR NO VALUE <input type="checkbox"/> 5. ALREADY SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6. NO DATA PERMITTING ASSESSMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. CONFIRMED <input type="checkbox"/> 2. PROBABLY TRUE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. POSSIBLY TRUE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. DOUBTFUL <input type="checkbox"/> 5. PROBABLY FALSE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6. CANNOT BE JUDGED	<input type="checkbox"/> 1. OF SPECIAL IMPORTANCE <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OF CONSIDERABLE IMPORTANCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. OF IMPORTANCE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. OF SLIGHT IMPORTANCE <input type="checkbox"/> 5. NO INTEREST; NO FURTHER DISSEMINATION DESIRED ON THIS SUBJECT

(PLEASE EXPLAIN BASIS FOR YOUR EVALUATION IN SECTION III BELOW)

(II) COLLECTOR'S QUESTIONS TO EVALUATOR

(III) EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS (Use additional sheets as necessary)

The Hungarian desk of OCI cannot evaluate TDCS DB 3/639,966 for the following reasons:

(1) The reportorial style is unnecessarily labored, repetitious and confusing. As a result, it is impossible even to determine what the report intends to say.

(2) The source of the report is described first as "a Hungarian official in Belgium," and, second, as "the intelligence officer."

(3) OCI cannot conceive of any situation in which Hungarian emigres in Belgium could be "mobilized in a given situation dis-regarding the intentions of such people and the question of volunteers" to come to the aid of the regime in Budapest and, yet, that seems to be the underlying idea of the TDCS, at least as we read it. Furthermore, were there to be a general European conflict, it is doubtful that the incumbent Hungarian regime would have time or men or materiel to devote even part of its defensive or offensive efforts to emigre incursions.

(IV) EVALUATOR'S FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS OR GUIDANCE TO COLLECTOR ON SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT.

FORMER REQUIREMENT IS [] FUTURE []
 BEING FORWARDED []
 SPECIAL REQUIREMENT []
 NOT BEING FORWARDED []

GEN DIV/EA 20 April 1959

TOP SECRET [] SECRET [] CONFIDENTIAL []

OBOW-6663

BT
OK

