

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET /0041 37988 0041

INSTRUCTIONS: Use officer designations in the "TO" column. Number each comment to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Draw a line across the sheet under each comment. Each officer should date and initial (check mark insufficient!) before further routing. This Routing and Record sheet should NOT be removed from the attached RECORD document.

| | | | | | |
|-------|----------|----------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| FROM: | | | | DOCUMENT NUMBER | EGBA-37988. |
| | | | | DOCUMENT DATE | 9 Jan 1957 |
| | | DATE | | OFFICER'S INITIALS | COMMENTS |
| TO | ROOM NO. | RECEIVED | FORWARDED | | |
| 1. | | 23 | JAN 1957 | <p>NOTE: If a copy or attachments are removed from the attached document, note the location of the copy and/or attachment hereon. If this <u>RECORD COPY</u> is to be retained in the files at the Desk, call the Central File Section for permanent loan.</p> <p align="center">ICC BRN MIS. LEADS LAECHERT</p> <p align="center">DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2E NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2008</p> <p align="center">MICROFILMED</p> <p align="center">FILE TITLE HILDEGARDE L. E.</p> <p align="center">FILE NUMBER</p> <p>ENCLOSURE</p> <p>DATE PROCESSED</p> | |
| 2. | | | | | |
| 3. | EE/P | | | | |
| 4. | WL | | | | |
| 5. | NS | | | | |
| 6. | CE | | 6 FEB 1957 | | |
| 7. | | | | | |
| 8. | | | | | |
| 9. | | | | | |
| 10. | | | | | |
| 11. | | | | | |
| 12. | | | | | |
| 13. | | | | | |
| 14. | RI/F | | | | |

per

VIA: ATR
(SPECIFY AIR OR SEA POUCH)

D. CH NO. EGBA-37988

SECRET
CLASSIFICATION

TO : Chief, EE

DATE: 9 January 1957

FROM : Chief of Base, Berlin

INFO: COS

SUBJECT: GENERAL— Operational/BEDCX

SPECIFIC— LCFLUTTER Test of Hildegarde L.M. Læschert

1. Subject was tested on 21 December 1956 in a BOB safehouse for the purpose of determining whether or not she was withholding any information regarding her recruitment by the UB. The test was requested by [] who was present during the initial part of the interview, which was conducted in subject's native German.

2. At the very initial stage of the interview subject admitted that she had failed to mention to [] that the UB had given her a third mission in addition to the two already stated involving Munich and Hamburg. This third mission which appears to have been ill-defined and vague was to penetrate the American headquarters in Heidelberg and "determine the disposition of nuclear weapons". This omission appears to have been due to memory failure rather than to deliberate withholding of information since the statement was made freely and without any interrogational pressure, and subject apologized for having forgotten it on the previous day's interrogation. }?

3. The first part of the testing was taken up with experimenting that ran along two lines, (1) to determine whether or not subject's background and experiences had made her incapable of feelings of remorse, honesty and dishonesty; and (2) to determine whether or not subject was able to produce reactions and readable patterns. After it was found that subject was capable of producing clear patterns and deception reactions in a number of instances involving her wartime SD activities, and after subject had been forced to admit that she had answered a number of questions falsely in this respect, the actual testing proceeded.

4. During this testing it was found that subject did not produce significant reactions to the following questions that were answered as indicated below:

Did the UB try to recruit you for intelligence work? Yes

Did you always turn these approaches down? Yes

Approved PKR
EMH:jbe
2 - EE (DIRECT)
2 - COS

SECRET

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

EGDA-37988

Page 2

Did you ever sign an agreement to work for the UB? No

Have you ever had any connection with the SSD? No

Did you come here direct from Poland? Yes

Did the UB give you any West German contacts? No

Are you hiding any UB missions from us? No

Have you told us the truth about Richard KRAJEWSKI? Yes

NOTE: This question caused an initial reaction which was partially cleared up after subject somewhat reluctantly and blushing explained that KRAJEWSKI actually had proposed marriage to subject after having expressed his admiration for the manner in which she had refused to cooperate with the UB in spite of severe beatings. Subject went on to explain that KRAJEWSKI had stated that he would defect to the West in spring and then contact subject and marry her.

5. In view of the significant control reactions and readable patterns produced by subject during the testing, the results of this test can be considered accurate. There is no indication that subject is withholding essential information regarding her relationship with the UB.

[]

SECRET

SECRET