

SECRET  
(WHEN FILLED IN)

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET /0111 23884 0111

INSTRUCTIONS: Use officer designations in the "TO" column. Number each comment to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Draw a line across the sheet under each comment. Each officer should date and initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing. This Routing and Record sheet should NOT be removed from the attached RECORD document.

FROM:				DOCUMENT NUMBER	EGLA-23884.
				DOCUMENT DATE	29 May 1957
TO	ROOM NO.	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. [initials]		10 JUN 1957		[initials]	<p>NOTE: If a copy or attachments are removed from the attached document, note the location of the copy and/or attachment hereon. If this <u>RECORD COPY</u> is to be retained in the files at the Desk, call the Central File Section for permanent loan.</p> <p>1 cc LAECHERT (ALPHA)</p> <p>3P</p> <p>1 copy made EE/P</p> <p>MICROFILMED JUL 4 1 1963 DOC. MICRO. SER.</p> <p>90</p> <p>LAECHERT, HILDEGARD LUISE</p> <p>INDEX</p> <p>CS COPY</p>
2.					
3. EE/P				[initials]	
4.					
5. EE/P	MEB	11 JUN 1957		[initials]	
6. HS					
7. ES			18 JUN 1957	[initials]	
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
				FILE TITLE	LAECHERT, HILDEGARD LUISE
				FILE NUMBER	
				ENCLOSURE	[initials]
				DATE PROCESSED	6 JUN 1957
				ABSTRACT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
				INDEX	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

SECRET  
COPY

MICROFILMED  
JUL 4 1963  
DOC. MICRO. SER.

23884  
EGLA-23869

COURIER

: Chief of Base, Berlin

29 May 1957

: Chief of Base, Pullach

INFO: EE, COS, CLS

: Operational/UPSWING/BEDOX

: Transfer of Hildegard LAECHERT to UPSWING

REF: 1. EGBA-41653  
2.- STUT-3889

1. Contact between a representative of UPSWING and LAECHERT was planned for 22 May, but since it was learned that she had been transferred to the refugee camp near Heidelberg, UPSWING did not meet her until 24 May. UPSWING headquarters has not yet received written reports of the meeting, with the result that only the barest details are presently available.

2. The following data are meanwhile of interest: the individual who contacted her on or about 10 May and presumed by LAECHERT to be an UPSWINGER was a (fnu) LUDWIG of the Ulm Landesdurchgangslager's statistics department. He attempted to recruit her for unspecified intelligence purposes. However, since he did not have the agreed on recognition device nor the introductory verbal statement, she declined any further contact with LUDWIG. UPSWING states categorically that he is not their man. The question then arises as to whom he represents. Since ODOPAL has been involved in this case before, it may be that LUDWIG was acting in an authorized capacity for them, or was otherwise unaware of ODOPAL's agreement to drop interest in LAECHERT. CLS is requested to bring this to ODOPAL's attention in the hope that this aspect of the story can be clarified.

3. When I told @ GREGOR, UPSWING headquarters desk officer, that LAECHERT was no longer (?) pregnant, he was as surprised as we were on receiving EGBA-21647. Apparently the solution to this more troublesome phase of LAECHERT's life had not yet been communicated to UPSWING headquarters. At any rate, we hope to learn in the near future just how her once "visibly pregnant" condition has been so altered as to conform with the findings of the good doctor of Ulm.

4. The most interesting item to be reported by @ GREGOR was the fact that KRAJEWSKI wrote LAECHERT again some time this month and

INDEX

CS COPY

DIST: 2 - BOB  
2 - EE ✓  
2 - COS  
2 - CLS

SECRET

29 May 1957

informed her of his intention to come to Berlin sometime in June. We will provide more specific details as soon as @ GREGOR gets them. Under the circumstances, it may be wisest for LAECHERT not to inform him of the fact that he is not to be a father after all. Incidentally, UPSWING concludes from this latest KRAJEWSKI letter, as well as from the first one, that he is a man of little education, even possibly a very simple type person. Also noted was the fact that the return address given on the envelope was in different handwriting than that seen in the text of the letter.

5. One final note regarding the transfer: the UPSWING agent handler received a "very good impression" of LAECHERT.

[ ]

Approved by:

[ \_\_\_\_\_ ]

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

29 May 1957

[ ]