

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

29 May 58

SUBJECT: PPG Decision on AECAMBARO/1 (Churgin)

1. The question of AECAMBARO/1's future with the agency was discussed at the SR PPG on 29 May 1958. [] [] were present from SR/2.
2. [] [] SR/7, said that they had no job for him, because they did not consider him to be rugged enough for use as an AEREADY trainer. [] [] for SR/6, said that they could keep him busy at least part time with translating letter intercepts, but that they considered it up to SR/2 to decide whether it was worthwhile to spend the money necessary for translating and processing Latvian letter intercepts.
3. The conclusion of the group, as summarized by [] [] is that there is no permanent career for A/1 with the agency and that for the present the only job that is available is the job in Frankfurt. [] further suggested that we explain to A/1 that the problem of an available job will come up ~~with~~ ^{as} with each contract renewal, that his chances for career status are slight because of his limited skills and background as a Latvian specialist. He said that we should offer to A/1 the possibility of a year's salary if he spends that time ^{+ the money} in preparing himself for a job outside of the agency.
4. The same day of the meeting [] [] SR/COP/CE and former case officer of AECAMBARO/1, talked to me about the decision of the PPG. He said that the decision that it made was inevitable, because they didn't know more of the capabilities of A/1, whom [] personally believes to be of staff caliber. If you go on the assumption that he is of staff caliber, then we should consider giving him training, including Russian language, to make him

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3020
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2008

more generally useful. I told him that we would take this into consideration, but that of the two Latvian contract agents that we were now employing, I considered the other, [](p), to be more of staff caliber.

[]