

SECRET

Usage
File

CA/AF

MAS-A-840

Pls call

Chief, Foreign Division M
Attention: []
Chief of Station, Vienna

1 October 1951

Operational

Father Superior Hugo Count MONTJOYE (S.J.)

Reference: MAS-A-809

1. Attached is a copy of an operational memorandum submitted by USAGE covering the [] Father MONTJOYE meeting described in reference. The meeting caused quite a stir in the USAGE empire. There are discrepancies which [] will comment on below.
2. We believe that the excitement created and USAGE's feeling that the American IS is sabotaging his efforts will be valuable in the appraisal of USAGE. We regret that we did not clear the one-shot visit by [] with [], but the correspondence we had seen to date (May 1951) indicated that Father MONTJOYE (ESI) was to be dropped following thorough debriefing on his French IS connections. (Incidentally, this report on the French IS has never been turned in.)
3. The note of introduction from USAGE to [] give Father MONTJOYE's correct title as:

Fater Superior Hugo Graf MONTJOYE, S.J.
Sporgasse 21 a, Graz

- 2 - Wash w/incls.
- 2 - Vien
- 2 - Salz

Original to FDM - Austrian disk

(3)

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 3828
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2008

8 4 10

SECRET

MAS-A-840

☐ - Comments:

The following discrepancies in order of appearance were noted in the attached USAGE report on the ☐ - MONTJOYE meeting:

- a. No KORNFIELD or KRONFELD was mentioned in connection with Hungarian operations run by USAGE. When MONTJOYE stated that USAGE had several good sources in Graz, ☐ commented that he had heard of a certain (fma) KRONBERGER, a retired police official, who he believed worked for USAGE in Graz and furnished information on the Graz local picture.
- b. MONTJOYE and not ☐ mentioned USAGE's Austrian-born wife and MONTJOYE explained that he had met USAGE through his wife.
- c. ☐ did not say that the results of USAGE's Hungarian operations were very poor. He only stated that the U.S. was still very much interested in Hungarian affairs and that USAGE alone could not be expected to supply all the information needed, especially as far as OB was concerned.
- d. ☐ did not attempt to take over MONTJOYE "directly" or to recruit him in any way. ☐ merely said that any comments MONTJOYE might have on Hungarians in Graz would be appreciated. ☐ certainly did not offer any funds to MONTJOYE, neither in dollars nor in any other currency, but merely mentioned that he was known to have worked on the defection of Soviet officers in 1947.

* ☐ did not ask Montjoye to attempt new defections of Soviet officers,

③

SECRET
COPY

MASA-00840/01
26 Sep 51

~~SECRET~~

NOT SUITABLE FOR MICROFILM

JUN 27 1961

DOCUMENT MICROFILM SERVICE

September 26, 1951

G-39

SUBJECT: Alleged US Intelligence Competition

1. In the evening of September 20, 1951, EE 1 had rushed from GRAZ to my Salzburg office, where he could only speak to XX 1, whom he informed on the following:

2. On Wednesday, September 19, in the early afternoon, EE 1 was visited by an alleged American,

personal description: approximately 5'8", shabby dress believed to be 32 years old, slightly Semitic, stout, brown hair, wearing horn-brimmed spectacles, exceptionally large hands, spoke fluently German,

who informed him that he came from a leading American intelligence (military) organization in SALZBURG, for whose branch at MUNICH also I (he gave full name) was working. He claimed that I was running a Hungarian intelligence line together with a certain ~~KORNFEELD~~ or ~~KRONFEELD~~ and that the results were very poor. He further claimed that I was a German citizen and my wife an Austrian.

3. He claimed that EE 1's reputation with US intelligence was very high, and that he was therefore interested in taking over EE 1 directly.

He wanted EE 1 to become active in getting Russian defectors (and claimed that US intelligence was informed that EE 1 for such work which had become known to the Soviets had had to leave VIENNA). He offered any necessary amount in dollars to EE 1, and wanted to give a deposit in dollars immediately to EE 1.

EE 1 blankly refused.

He now informed EE 1 that he would no more return to GRAZ, but would leave for the US via Sweden within the next month. He hoped that EE 1 would change his mind.

③

~~6-6-8-7/10~~
MITSU-00840/0
26 Sep 51

4. EE 1 returned to GRAZ on September 21. He was anxious that his name would be drawn into intelligence affairs.

When I called my Salzburg office on Friday afternoon, XX 1 informed me that he thought it necessary for me to interrupt my leave, because an urgent matter had come up. I arrived at Salzburg on Friday night, where I was informed by XX 1, called EE 1 by telephone to calm him and promised that I would try my best to have this matter investigated.

5. I would appreciate your help on this matter.

(3)