

10

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION C O N F I D E N T I A L	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. EGMA-56591
TO Chief, IO (Attn: <input type="checkbox"/> INFO EE, COS/G <input type="checkbox"/>		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. 200-124-39/3 FIELD FILE: RLS/559	
FROM Chief, Munich Operations Group		DATE 27 October 1961	
SUBJECT CAMOG/DTDORIC/QKACTIVE/Operations Transmittal of PBCHORD Papers		RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE)	
ACTION REQUIRED For your information		<input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING	
REFERENCE(S)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
<p>Forwarded under separate cover are several papers which <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>believes may be of interest to Headquarters.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Attachments: (UNDER SEPARATE COVER) Miscellaneous papers</p> <p>Distribution: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - IO w/atts 1 - EE w/o atts 2 - COS/G w/o atts</p>		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"> DOC FORWARDED W/O S/C BY RID/AN NOV 6 1961 </div>	
		DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 382 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007	
FORM 10-57 53b (40) USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.		CLASSIFICATION C O N F I D E N T I A L	PAGE NO. <input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUED

200-124-39/3

CS COPY

HQ COPY

HQ COPY

CONFIDENTIAL

RGMA-15512

Chief, IG (Attn: [])
[]
[]

200-124-39/3
FIELD FILE: HLS/319

FROM: Chief, Manish Operations Group

DATE: 27 October 1964

SUBJECT: GAMOG/DIA/DORIC/OPERATIVE/Operations
Transmittal of PENCHORD Papers

RE: []
[]
[]
[]
[]
[]

For your information

Forwarded under separate cover are several papers which [] []
believes may be of interest to Headquarters.

Attachments: (UNDER SEPARATE COVER)
Miscellaneous papers

Distribution:
2 - IO w/atts
1 - EE w/o atts
2 - COS/G w/o atts

CONFIDENTIAL

C. Bertrand

September 20, 1961. *gml*

Minutes of discussions with Mrs. Dupuy
(Mr. Bertrandias)

Mrs. Dupuy and Mr. Bertrandias discussed at some length the problems of adequate content reporting by Munich to New York. The problems faced by Munich in this regard, particularly in times of crises and fast-breaking news were spelled out by Mr. Bertrandias and ways and means were considered whereby the headquarters need could be satisfied with minimum strain at the Munich end. It was felt that RLD Munich's current daily reports from the News Department, Russian Desk and Research Department conveyed a good picture of what Moscow was or was not saying and what RLD was doing about it. It was agreed that the Research Department Daily USSR Summary will continue to include at the beginning a short run-down on omissions and distortions. It was also thought that if the reporting elements could continue as they are now on a completely up-to-date basis, and if the weekly program analysis report, which is being developed by Mr. Van Der Haer and Mrs. Dupuy, can be developed to the satisfaction of all, some consideration should be given to abolishing RLD's contribution to the weekly airgram report. It was recognized that the weekly airgram report by and large represents duplication of what has already been reported. It merely appears in a more generalized form under a breakdown in categories. (It should be noted that all other Committee elements reporting in the weekly airgram do not submit daily reports, as does RLD.)

Initial efforts to produce a weekly program analysis report for the Russian Desk looked promising. It was recommended, however, that all portions of the daily program be included in the analysis with the exception of the news itself. This, then, would mean that all segments including the daily press round-up, weekly news review, Panorama, analysis, commentary, etc., would be regarded as broadcast features and therefore reflected in the statistical breakdown and accompanying comment. It was agreed that upon her return, Mrs. Dupuy would undertake to produce these weekly program analysis reports from the reporting material available to her. If this procedure proved profitable, NYB would then assume responsibility for production of such reports.

With consideration for Mr. Sargeant's daily interest in RL's output, Mr. Bertrandias suggested that NYB experiment with a brief (possibly a single page) summary of Moscow's line and RL achievement.

It was generally agreed that RLD very clearly required a more effective reports capability and that this should be considered a high priority as soon as budgetary conditions permit.