

SECRET-SECURITY INFORMATION

25 February 1952

To: []
Fr: [] Follow-up
Sj: Detailed report on Carriage Tests of CAMUSO I & II today.

1. This report is for your full operational use; no formal report will be issued and dissemination of this report as issued is permissible. CAMUSO I & II were tested specifically to ascertain their security. Reports are combined with extra copy for separate file.

2. Reliability Estimate: For Camuso I the results are estimated to be "reliable", and for Camuso II "fairly reliable" in the scale of "reliable", "fairly reliable", and "unreliable".

3. Results for CAMUSO I:

Subject has apparently answered the following questions truthfully as shown:

Is it your main purpose to liberate your homeland from the Communists? Yes.

Do you know the true names of [] No. (Note: Subject stated he knows [] name from school days.)

Since the last test, have you told anyone that you are going to *****? No.

Since the last test, have you written any letters? Yes.

Since last September, have you written any letter that [] did not read? No.

Do you intend to become Dictator of *****? No.

On the Sunday you visited Alex, did you meet a Communist agent? No.

Since last September have you met a Communist agent? No.

Since you have been studying in school, have you had anxiety attacks? No.

Was your father a mechanic? Yes.

Did you betray your undertaking to anyone? No.

Are you married? No.

Questions which disturbed the subject:

Do you remember the year 1941? Yes.

Did Galvekis compile a list of persons to be shot? Yes.

Was your name on the list Gulevskis compiled ~~xxxx~~ of persons to be shot? Yes.

These questions caused sufficient sensitivity on the part of the subject to indicate some mild degree of deception.

[] concurs in the view that this is probably a case of exaggeration. Subject was not pressed on the point because of the morale factor involved.

4. Results for CAMUSO II:

Subject has apparently answered the following questions truthfully; as shown:

Is it your main purpose to deliver your fatherland from the Communists? Yes.

(Note: In the earlier tests last September subject was very sensitive to this question. This sensitivity has now disappeared to a very great degree. At the time subject alleged he was sensitive because he felt a great desire to accomplish this; be that as it may, the subject no longer disturbs him. (Note following question also.)

file ent

[]

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2008

SECRET-SECURITY INFORMATION

Do you intend to do something in ***** that you want to hide from me? No.

Subject does not appear to cherish such intentions at the moment.

Do you know the true names of [] No.

Since the last test, have you told anyone else that you are going to ***? No.

Since the last test with this machine, have you written letters? No.

Since last September, have you ~~written~~ sent out a letter that

[] did not read? No.

(Subject, apparently truthfully, denies all correspondence.)

Do you intend to become Dictator of *****? No.

On the Sunday that you visited Alex, did you meet an agent of the
Communists? No.

As far as you know, since last August, have you met an agent of the
Communists? No.

Did you betray this undertaking to anyone? No.

Is your father dead? Yes.

Were you in a partisan group under general K? Yes. (Note below).

Questions which disturbed the subject:

Was the K. partisan group against the Bolsheviki? Yes.

The questions related to this group caused a mild sensitivity which appears focussed on the point of the actual political views of this group. The subject gave some indications of doubtfulness; no indications of major deception on the point.

Since you have been studying in our school, have you had
attacks of anxiety? No.

Have you ever had a nervous break-down? No.

Subsequent to the test the subject asked whether we could tell anything about a person's nervous condition with ~~the operation~~ of this machine. We stated sometimes we can. Subject said he was somewhat concerned with the state of his nerves. Subject appears able to follow a moving finger with his eyes, but not smoothly; outstretched hands show moderate tremor. Pupillary reflexes are normal but slightly delayed. The subject was still tense during the tests but not to the extent that he was concerned on his first test. Subject clearly has some doubts about his nerves. His pattern shows a great lack of suggestibility. Subject stated his eyes were not too good (on question).

5. Remarks & Recommendations: Re: CAMUSO I; should be run after return from mission. CAMUSO II: it might be well to arrange some kind of pressure-situation to determine how this man stands up under sudden nervous strains.

6. Summary of Test Results: Both subjects appear to have adhered to security procedure and to have no serious security violations on ~~their~~ their consciences regarding the period of time between the first and second tests.

[]

SECRET-SECURITY INFORMATION

*Basque files
Rtd.*