

DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION S-E-C-R-E-T	PROCESSING		
		PRO-POSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
TO	Chief, Munich Liaison Base		MARKED FOR INDEXING	
		X	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
INFO.	Chief of Station, Germany; Chief of Base, Berlin; Chief of Base, Frankfurt		ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
FROM	Chief, EE		ABSTRACT	
			MICROFILM	
SUBJECT	Operational DIZTAG/UPHILL Fall MONOGRAM			
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES				
ACTION: As indicated				
REFERENCE: A. EGMA-53881, 13 March 1961 B. EGMA-54318, 4 April 1961				
<p>1. We read with interest your synopsis of the Fall MONOGRAM case and welcome additional details as they become available.</p> <p>2. In view of the information contained in Reference A, unless further clarifying information is available, we are inclined to question GRAM's sincerity in cooperating with UPHILL and also his loyalty to the West German government. We are basing our position on GRAM's denial that he visited a cemetery in Hamburg and also on the discrepancy in the number of envelopes which he stated he received from the unidentified case officer during the meeting in Zurich in January 1961. Concerning GRAM's visit to the Hamburg cemetery, the UPHILL report did not indicate if anything was found at the site. Did the UPHILL surveillance team check to determine if anything was deposited or removed from the vicinity of the gravestone after GRAM's departure? Is the surveillance team certain that it was indeed GRAM who they were surveilling? How does UPHILL reconcile GRAM's denial against the evidence produced by the surveillance team?</p> <p>3. In Paragraph 4 of Reference A mention was made of GOTTLAROVA's wish to emigrate to West Germany with her family. It is unlikely that the Czechs would passively monitor such a conversation or permit such a move to take place unless it would prove beneficial to them. They may indeed plan to use GOTTLAROVA as an illegal resident, as suggested by UPHILL.</p> <p>4. It is possible that GOTTLAROVA is gleaning information from KLOSE concerning his job with the Landesgerichtsrat, especially his access to information regarding changes in statutes affecting the occupation authorities in West Berlin. As you will recall, KLOSE was first mentioned in this case in March-April 1959 when it was believed that he was the person referred to by GOTTLAROVA when she stated that she and "EICHMANN" traveled to West Berlin on Rosenmontag to visit a judge. (See MUNI 9286 and MUNI 9287 dated 28 March '60 and EGNA-16905, dated 14 April '59).</p>				
Distribution: (continued)				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ 2 - MLBw/a 2 - COS/G wo/a 2 - BOB wo/a 2 - FOB wo/a 				
Attachment: 1 - Photograph				
CROSS REFERENCE TO		<i>H. Schoedel</i> <i>Hans</i>		
CLASSIFICATION S-E-C-R-E-T		DATE TYPED 22 June 1961	DATE DISPATCHED 26 JUN 1961	
		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER EGMW-10835		
		HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER		

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2008

CONTINUATION OF
DISPATCHCLASSIFICATION
S-E-C-R-E-TDISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER
EGMW-10835

5. In an attempt to identify the case officer who met GRAM in Zurich (See Paragraph 3 of Reference B) we scanned photographs of Czech officials currently assigned to Switzerland. The person who appeared to fit the description best was Vaclav SMISEK [] born 9 June 1927, Attache at the Czech Legation in Bern and a suspected intelligence officer. [] Information concerning SMISEK was brought to your attention earlier in connection with the surveillance of Karel MARIK in Zurich in October 1960. (See ESZA-2114, 14 October 1960). A picture of SMISEK without glasses was included in the photo album (#494) of Czech officials which was forwarded to MLB as attachment to EGOA-14333 on 4 May 1961. We are forwarding a current photo of SMISEK with glasses and suggest that it and several others be shown to the UPHILL personnel who took part in the 7 January 1961 surveillance. If the UPHILLers cannot identify SMISEK, a similar spread can be shown to GRAM.

6. Has UPHILL discussed this case at all with the Swiss? Since the Swiss are actively involved in surveilling Czech intelligence officers in Switzerland, they may be able to provide identifying data on the participants in the 7 January 1961 meeting in Zurich. If UPHILL has not already discussed the case with the Swiss, [] I can make appropriate, discreet inquiries on behalf of UPHILL if requested to do so.

7. In Munich's discussion of the MONOGRAM case with UPHILL, it is suggested that it be re-emphasized that we are in a position to assist in identifying Czech intelligence officers and that we can also provide pertinent comments on methods of operation used by the Czech IS. We have already demonstrated this to UPHILL in the MONOGRAM case. It is hoped that this assistance will encourage UPHILL to provide us with more detailed and timely information on their findings in the Czech intelligence field.

Lack of time

FORM
5-60 53a
(40)

USE PREVIOUS EDITION.

CLASSIFICATION
S-E-C-R-E-T CONTINUED

PAGE NO. 2