

SECRET

FORM-421

SR/3

Chief, PE (ATTN: Chief, SR)

1 AUG 1953

Chief of Base, Munich

REFS: AERODYNAMIC/CAP/ILM/Operational

Conflict within The ZCHOUN

Transmitted herewith are two documents pertaining to ferment within the ZCHOUN. Attachment A represents a photo-copy of the original language text of a lengthy letter sent by Stepan BANDPRA to ZCHOUN members in February 1953. Attachment B, in both the original-language text and English translation, is a broadside distributed among ZCHOUN members by the anti-BANDPRA and anti-STETSKO opposition.

[ ]

1 August 1953

Attachments: As stated above

Distribution:

- 2 - SR (w/2 atts.)
- 2 - ME (w/o atts.)
- 3 - COH (w/1 att.)
- 2 - MOB (w/1 att.)

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE/METHOD/EXEMPTION 3828  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

*File  
Carstairs 2*

COPY

[ ]  
*gc*

Neither Goshen, Thon, J. Sanders comment? The "KREPPINS" have tried for a long time to convince us that there is centralization, decay, and disorganization in all Ukrainian parties and that only in their party all is in best order, all is perfect and that only they flourish. Good, good Ukrainian citizens, all that is to be said without prejudice and without malice toward that group. Forget for a moment, as you read, all the evils caused by this group to you or your relatives. Do not take into consideration the criticism—sometimes very critical, but correct—remarks about this political move. Read it quietly and think over every sentence. Then you will find the answer why there is evil among us, what is the cause of the many misfortunes, failures and unused opportunities. This information comes from a man who not long ago was a great proponent of the "KREPPIN" movement. The following materials were collected during three months from the outstanding members of OUN who are now out of "OUN" and out of "A".

The Organization of the Ukrainian Nationalists headed by Stepan B. BANDERA has been worked on for some years and rolls down furiously to destruction. The OUN, which at first in the emigration distinguished itself by great energy, dynamism and systematic work, today fades and draws to an end. All this goes along in the presence of great internal clashes, expulsions, intrigues, calumnies, voluntary resignations, and removals. Once upon a time, all the members of the organization, united, their idealism stiffly tight, their ideology, had great faith in their beliefs in the correctness of their self-led line; their commander was honest and worthy imitation; they observed all regulations of discipline. And today? Internal hate, depressed spirits, unwillingness to work, lack of party discipline, insolence; everywhere and in all respects, members talk about the tragedy in the organization and in this way locate the pain in their hearts.

But what is the reason for this evil, this disaster, who caused it? Let us turn to the past. In the spring of 1942 Yaroslav STANISLOWSKI left for Czechoslovakia from Vienna, in order to meet some liaison personnel. His car was fired on by an American soldier and he was seriously wounded. During the operation the surgeon removed STANISLOWSKI's genitals. The patient was transported to London. There, Mrs. BANDERA as a nurse, instructed herself into STANISLOWSKI's affairs from the very beginning, she aimed at winning STANISLOWSKI for herself. The end of the operation had just been there would be no children, Mrs. BANDERA agreed to marry STANISLOWSKI with the proviso that she take a great political interest, try to be on the world political chessboard, and that she occupy the second place in the organization after STANISLOWSKI.

Here is the beginning of the organization's tragedy. From the very beginning, STANISLOWSKI met vehemently opposition from the ranks. Mrs. BANDERA is a person who has no sense for her duty as it is; physically not beautiful, with miserable talents, unfamiliar with the rules of polite behavior, capable of the worst, she intrigues, gossips, invents various untrue stories, in this way forcing all people to oppose her, disuniting the whole organization she

Security Information

SECRET

SECRET

demands that SPARKO remove all those who are against her. SPARKO does it. If SPARKO sometimes oppresses MURIA, she threatens that she will reveal that she carried him not from love, but because he promised to make a great "politician" of her. SPARKO is between hammer and anvil; he gives in to MURIA. This has been taking place for a long time. MURIA is ill accepted by all members; there are even cases where she is driven out of the room and kicked at the backside. She is never asked to sit down in the office. She is called mean, a female Cain, stupid, dull and the like. The terrible atmosphere grows more and more. There is no stop to it. SPARKO also slanders RANVIRA before each member, saying that MURIA is nothing but an ordinary technician and driver; SPARKO is, allegedly, a politician of a higher calibre. One day, she said once to Mr. ... who dealt with the compensation to political prisoners: "You should trouble yourself most that my husband receives money—others can wait. What are all of them, together with MURIA, worth, if there is no money among you?" After such and similar remarks, the members answer her: "Mrs. MURIA! You are an ordinary woman, uneducated, a poor, a jewel." All this was said to her face. In the beginning they spoke in a civilized and serious way, but it did not help and did not influence her. Nobody is able to offend MURIA.

She is to become a great "politician", and she will attain that goal over dead bodies. The organization has little cash. However, this does not prevent SPARKO from taking MURIA with him on trips he makes to Europe, and to Canada and the USA. The members, having learned that SPARKO is going to take a trip to Canada and the USA, protest, saying that there is no money and that all the members suffer want; that in addition to this nobody wants that MURIA represent the organization and speak in the name of the organization. RANVIRA could not prevent MURIA from going because SPARKO maintained that MURIA threatens that she would make known publicly that she did not marry him from love and SPARKO agreed upon MURIA's going but he forbade her to speak on behalf of the organization. From Canada SPARKO wrote to RANVIRA: "allow MURIA to speak because she threatens us...."

And now what MURIA has done? She was occupied with her material affairs. She sought among the members people who would send parcels to her and SPARKO, people who would take dollar collections and of other things to be sent directly to her. She continues to propagandize against all the OUN members in Germany and USA who are a hindrance to SPARKO—and there are many of such. In the USA alone, among them are outstanding members such as ...; Mr. ...; ...; ...; ...; and others. On the other hand MURIA indulges herself too much with foreigners. The demoralization is too great.

She supports ... and ... (he lived in Austria and used to visit them). In the organization ... has a reputation of a dull, stupid man, a swindler. ... told ladies in ... in the office of the committee at ... street, that he was the OUN commandant for Poland and demanded that the ladies stand at attention before him. Among the OUN members ... has a reputation of a man who is not able to fulfill the duty of a squad leader, and ... made him the OUN organizational officer. The members reacted to this with honour. M. DEBART-

SECRET

UKIP-SOVY in England is UKHNA's second support. He diligently informs the members about the situation in England and strongly supports UKHNA and STETSKO; he is one of those responsible for the current state in the organization. (He is the territorial leader in England.)

Besides, there is Oleks H. KALINSKY. This poor beggar as he is called in the organization. Once he threw UKHNA out of the room, but today he needs STETSKO and therefore supports him. The organization is against KALINSKY because he economizes SUU ("Union of Ukrainians Youth") and has distorted its purpose. It would be worth while to have a talk with such outstanding members of SUU as VALON, H. H. IAB, Mykola HAVAC and M. KOVAD (the last one in Belgium). A year ago STETSKO ordered KALINSKY to again elected head of SUU because KALINSKY is, in STETSKO's opinion, a great orator, a strong intellectual, and besides this, an Easterner. If necessary, STETSKO will be able to set KALINSKY against VALINSKY and Mykola HAVAC in entire political rivalries.

Other lackeys of UKHNA are Mr. YANOVICH and Volodymyr KESKO. STETSKO relies completely on them, naturally on two leaders, thereof UKHNA and MUDRYK. STETSKO attracts them by large sums of money. However, some people think that the SUU officers support UKHNA and STETSKO not only because of money but primarily because of lack of political experience and because of plain stupidity. Recently a division of informers has been organized in the organization. Everyone who says anything against UKHNA, STETSKO and TITOVKA falls down on the informers are promoted. UKHNA himself is chief of this division of informers. In August 1952 in Munich cafes general sessions of the ZCHOUK provided were held; STETSKO invited only faithful and obedient lackeys, who supported him, voted for him and "predetermined" matters. Editor Roman HEMINSKY was removed from the ATU, and Dr. Bohdan KORDEK from the "Ukrainky Samostilnyk". STETSKO was against the suggestion of one of those present that the following outstanding and energetic members of the organization be invited to the session: Roman H. HEMINSKY, Mr. Yaroslav DZINDRA and Stepan PROBYK. The history of this event was as follows: STETSKO, leaving for Canada, appointed H. HEMINSKY his representative in the ANU, Dr. DZINDRA he names secretary and Dr. KORDEK, director of foreign policy. All of them soon realized that there was no order in the ANU. There was neither a statute nor any book of regulations, nor any protocols; there was but a hotepatch never seen before by anybody. They started to work to clean house, to organize from the bottom. Thereat they published in the book of regulations that UKHNA was not allowed to be an employee of SUU in the good interest of the organization. UKHNA, having returned from her trip, for four hours attacked and spat at H. HEMINSKY, threatening him in an unheard way, for removing her from A. H. She said: "If you succeed in removing me, I shall destroy your whole life, I shall take revenge on you and your children for your whole life". And she took revenge. HEMINSKY and Dr. KORDEK had to leave.

Dr. DZINDRA protested a few times orally and in writing against the improper removal of H. HEMINSKY and Dr. KORDEK. He wrote a big letter to STETSKO who was still in Canada, in which he described the state of affairs and advised STETSKO to get finally rid of UKHNA and drive her away from the

Secur

organisation. He advised that [redacted] resign from the post of [redacted] provided because [redacted] is not qualified for that post because he has no talent; is poorly educated; has small knowledge; and has a weak character. [redacted] tried to mend everything in the organisation. He made various intelligent and sound proposals to liquidate the pigsty created by [redacted], [redacted] and [redacted]. But all this was rejected by [redacted] and [redacted]. [redacted] was a great idealist and exemplary citizen, an experienced organizer, a great personality and a sincere man. Above all, he was very disciplined. Seeing the hopelessness of his work, his endeavors, not believing in an improvement in the situation, [redacted] put an end to his life by a shot from a revolver on December 13, 1952. A great fighter for freedom, truth and justice—one of the greatest followers of [redacted]—died in a tragic way. And why? Because [redacted] must be a great "politician" and [redacted], completely heaped, must eternally be the head of something.

During [redacted]'s stay in Canada there were negotiations between the members of [redacted] and the so-called opposition with regard to the liquidation of the quarrel. Some members of the opposition criticised vehemently [redacted] for the lack of order in the organization. Mr. [redacted] fervently defended [redacted]—perhaps against his own conviction—and at the same time [redacted] and [redacted] gave secret oral instructions to [redacted] members in Canada and USA to write [redacted] and to declare that they would stop selling the [redacted] newspaper if the [redacted] did not remove Mr. [redacted] from the editorial office of that newspaper. [redacted] was accused of being an opportunist. Such are [redacted]'s and [redacted]'s morals. Zanon [redacted], during his stay in London, became aware of the fact that the [redacted] is a great free, but that [redacted] had bungled it, did nothing or rather did very little. He learned there that if one had already once talked with [redacted], that party did not want to speak to him for the second time. [redacted] contributed most to such a situation by her behavior (diplomatic efforts) she made already a good reputation for the [redacted], the [redacted] and [redacted]. In London [redacted] wanted to arrange for a meeting between [redacted] and a minister. Since [redacted] was already known there, the porter did not want to admit her to the minister. [redacted], taking advantage of a moment when the porter was speaking to newcomers, sneaked through and ran upstairs. However, the porter caught up with [redacted] and drove her out behind the gate. And once [redacted] came to an American dignitary in Munich and also wanted to arrange a meeting with [redacted]. The new dignitary, having heard about [redacted], did not want to receive her. But persistent [redacted] found out that he had gone to the toilet; and so she waited until he came out. As soon as the dignitary appeared, [redacted] told him that she "is the wife of [redacted], president of the entire Ukraine and president of the [redacted]". At that moment the dignitary interrupted her speech: "Excuse me, madam, I cannot shake hands with you, because I still did not wash my hands after having concluded my physiological functions", and added "goodbye".

People write from Canada and the USA that [redacted] told that there, everywhere, that Jaroslav [redacted] and [redacted] are the greatest deceivers in the organizations; that they steal the last penny. [redacted] prevented the [redacted] and the "opposition" from coming to an understanding; her argumentation before

SECRET



Secur  
SECRET

Att. to <sup>6</sup>OSMA-6551

Who in fact is MUKHA? The name of this great "individual" is Evhenia MUKHOMEL. She is a native of the Eastern territories (we do not name the place on purpose). We are not going to write much about her suspicious past in the Homeland, but we state the sad fact that, being the daughter of a poor peasant, who, if he is still alive, does heavy work and suffers under the Bolsheviks, she here destroys all the achievements of OUB(R) and paralyzes the whole movement. Such is the story of MUKHA. You see, what a fine "music" is played by Mrs. Evhenia MUKHA.

Ivan KANDIT

Secur  
SECRET