

VIA AIRMAIL
EXCEPT AIR OR SEA ROUTE

DISPATCH NO. 44-32877

CLASSIFICATION

TO : Chief, [redacted]

DATE: 13 March 1958

FROM : Chief of Base, Munich

Info: 00

SUBJECT: ~~GENERAL~~ - Operational/OPS. 1. 2/12. PROVA/INDELS

~~SPECIFIC~~ - Gottlob BEMER

MICROFILMED
~~1 MAR 21 1958~~
DOC. MICRO. SER.

reference: 44-32125, 12 February 1958 *re: attached to top 25 Feb - F: No filing*

1. You will recall that ~~the arrest of Gottlob BEMER~~ most interesting aspects resulting from ~~the arrest of Gottlob BEMER~~ were indications of his contacts with ~~Ludwig WOLFF~~ (born 4 August 1908, Pabianice/Polen), currently employed at UPSwing's D St 12 in Munich. In addition to several personal meetings between BEMER and WOLFF in Munich for discussions on the Bandera Organization, ~~BEHMER~~ reported that BEMER and WOLFF had been in letter correspondence and that there were indications of the use of microdots.

2. We finally persuaded ~~BEHMER~~ to show us the two letters written by WOLFF in Munich to BEMER in ~~Wiesbaden~~ near Stuttgart in June and September 1957. Both letters were seized in BEMER's apartment during a house search immediately following his arrest in early December 1957. You will note how in each case a portion of the lower left side of the envelope is torn away. The envelopes had been opened along the entire left side in a rather crude fashion as explained in the UPSwing analysis (also attached). The question is what was BEMER doing and why did he not destroy the envelopes afterwards.

3. During his interrogation still in progress, BEMER asserts that only he opens mail addressed to him and when he demonstrated how he opened his mail i.e. along the top flap and with a letter opener if available. When asked to explain why he opened the WOLFF letters in this unusual fashion, he flew into a huff and made some irrational remarks and then went into a silent sulk.

4. You will note that the content of the letters is innocuous and you can also observe how UPSwing tested the letters for SW, i.e. in a rather haphazard fashion. We have suggested they also check the lining of the envelope for SW. The lining incidentally is light grey in color. Concerning the torn fragment of the envelope, we can only theorize that it either may have contained a crude microdot or a film strip. We cannot explain why it was necessary to use microdot communications within west Germany and why WOLFF would use his correct return address on an envelope containing a microdot. We are at a further loss to explain why BEMER would save the envelope after retrieving a microdot.

Attachments:

A - 2 cc UPSwing analysis

E - 1 roll film

Distributions:

1 - ~~US~~ w/att A (1cc) and att B
2 - ~~US~~ w/att A (1cc)

AG/rbo

FORM 51-28A

CS COPY

SECRET
CLASSIFICATION

INDEX

13 March 1958

[]

SECRET

USA-32877
Page 2 ---

5. We are now speculating that the answer to our question might lie in an understanding of the secret communications methods of SS officers. You will recall that ~~BLANK~~ is a former SS General and ~~WOLFE~~ a Lieutenant Colonel who served with ~~USARMC~~ during the war. Perhaps Headquarters can enlighten us on possibilities we have overlooked. We would appreciate their comments.

Approved by

[] [] []

SECRET

13 March 58

[]

SECRET