Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 (b)(3) NatSecAct

> Central Intelligence Agency Inspector General

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION





NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions

DISSEMINATION CONTROL ABBREVIATIONS

NOFORN-PROPIN-ORCON-

REL ...-

Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals Caution-Proprietary Information Involved Dissemination and Extraction of Information Controlled by Originator This Information has been Authorized for Release to...

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

· · I	NTRODUCTION1
ç	SUMMARY1
· I	SACKGROUND5
·]	PROCEDURES AND RESOURCES6
I	FINDINGS7
	(SI/NF) Gul Rahman's Capture, Rendition and Detention7
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	(SHNF) MANAGEMENT AND CONDITIONS AT
	(SHINF) POLICY FOR CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS AT THE TIME OF RAHMAN'S DEATH14
ا میں است ا	(SHNF) RESPONSIBILITY FOR RAHMAN'S INTERROGATION
	(S//NF) RAHMAN'S TREATMENT DURING DETENTION AND INTERROGATION
	(S//NF) RAHMAN'S LAST THREE DAYS41
(b)(1)	(U// FOUO) The Investigation by the DO Investigative Team50
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f)	(C) OTHER TECHNIQUES EMPLOYED OR APPROVED BY
	(SIINF) NOTIFICATIONS OF RAHMAN'S DEATH TO CONGRESS
	(U) APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS AND POLICIES
	CONCLUSIONS61
F	RECOMMENDATIONS64
	(b)(3) NatSecAct
	SECRET /NOFORN//MR

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET/

(b)(3) NatSecAct

APPENDIX Chronology of Significant Events

Subject:

(b)(3) NatSecAct

EXHIBIT

- Gul Rahman:

Chronology of Events

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct

	ii	
SECRET/		NOFORN//MR
(b)(3) N	latSecA	ct

(b)(3) NatSecAct

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

(S//NF) DEATH OF A DETAINEE IN (2003-7402-IG)

(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct

27 April 2005

(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct

INTRODUCTION

(b)(1) 1. (S//NF) On November 2002, an individual detained by (b)(3) NatSecAct the CIA in Gul Rahman, died. On November, the Deputy Director for Operations (DDO) informed the Deputy Inspector General that the DDO had dispatched a team to investigate the death. In January 2003, the Office of Inspector General (OIG) initiated an investigation. This report reviews the events leading to Rahman's death.

SUMMARY (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct

2. (S//NF) Rahman, a suspected Afghan extremist associated with the Hezbi Islami Gulbuddin (HIG) organization, who was approximately 34 years old, was captured in Pakistan (b)(1)October 2002.1 on (b)(3) NatSecAct On November 2002, aircraft rendered Rahman from (b)(1)(b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct SECRET, /NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1) (b)(3) NatS	SecAct Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecA	
	in security guards reportedly found
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecA	Rahman dead in his cell on the morning of
	3. (S//NF) Between and November 2002, Rahman
•	underwent at least six interrogation sessions by Agency personnel.
	The interrogation team included the Site Manager,
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct	an independent contractor (IC)
(b)(3) NatSecA	psychologist/interrogator, the Station's
(b)(6)	and an IC linguist,
(b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f)	
	before his arrival in in July 2002. However, he acquired
	some on-the ich training and experience during the $m_{(b)(1)}^{(b)(1)}$ he
	had been $(b)(3)$ NatSecAct rior to Rahman's death. $(b)(3)$ NatSecAct
(b)(3) NatSecA	Act 4. (S/ Rahman was subjected to sleep deprivation
()()	sessions of up to 48 hours, at least one cold shower, and a "hard
	takedown" termed "rough treatment" as reported in pre-death cables
	addressing the progress of the interrogation. In addition, Rahman
(b)(1)	reportedly was without clothing for much of his time at
(b)(3) NatSecA	Despite these measures, Rahman remained uncooperative and
	provided no intelligence. His only concession was to acknowledge
(b)(1)	his identity on November 2002 and subsequently to explain what
(b)(3) NatSecAd	^{ct} village he came from; otherwise, Rahman retained his resistance
/I \ / / \	posture, and demeanor. The cable from on November 2002
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecA	ct eporting that Rahman had admitted his identity stated, "Rahman
	spent the days since his last session with Station officers in cold
	conditions with minimal food and sleep." A psychological
b)(1) _	assessment of Rahman, prepared by and reported in a cable on
b)(3) NatSecAc	
. L	psychological resilience and recommended, in part, "continued
	environmental deprivations." (b)(1)
	(b)(1) $(b)(3)$ CIAAct
	(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct
	(b)(6)
.	(b)(7)(c) ² (U//FOUO) Not all members of the interrogation team were involved in every $intc(b)(7)(f)^{L}$ session.
	SECRET/

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct

<u>5. (S//NF)</u> On the afternoon of November 2002, when guards delivered food to Rahman, he reportedly threw his food, water bottle, and defecation bucket at the guards. In addition, he reportedly threatened the guards and told them he had seen their (b)(1)faces and would kill them upon his release. When (b)(3) CIAAct was (b)(3) NatSecAct informed of this incident, he approved or directed the guards to (b)(6) . . shackle Rahman's hands and feet and connect the shackles with a (b)(7)(c)short chain. This position forced Rahman, who was naked below the (b)(7)(f)waist, to sit on a cold concrete floor and prevented him from standing up.

(b)(1)
 (b)(3) NatSecActRahman was slumped over in his cell. The ambient temperature was recorded at a low of degrees Fahrenheit. Rahman was still in the
 (b)(1) "short chain position," wearing only a sweatshirt.
 (b)(3) NatSecAct

> 8. (S//NF) On 22 January 2003, the General Counsel informed the Inspector General (IG) that Rahman died as a result of the conditions at a facility substantially controlled by Agency officers. OIG initiated an investigation into the circumstances surrounding this incident and reported the death to the Department of Justice

> ³ (U) Hypothermia is subnormal temperature within the central body. The term hypothermia is used when an individual's body temperature is below 95 degrees Fahrenheit. This will occur , when the loss of body heat exceeds heat production.



(D)(3)	NatSecAct
--------	-----------

<u>ي</u> ... د

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET// NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

(DoJ) by letter on 13 February 2003.⁴ On 29 December 2003, the Chief of the Counterterrorism Section, DoJ reported by memorandum that DoJ would not pursue a federal prosecution of criminal charges (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct regarding Rahman's death. The matter is under review by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Virginia. (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6) 9. (S//NF) At the time of his assignment in was (b)(7)(c)operations officer who had no training or a first-tour experience to prepare him to manage a detention facility or conduct (b)(1)interrogations. At the time of Rahman's death, had not (b)(3) CIAAct received interrogation training and was operating the facility with a (b)(3) NatSecAc modicum of Headquarters guidance and (b)(1)Station direct (b)(6) supervision. (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(7)(c)(b)(7)(f)10. (S//NF) This OIG investigation concludes that (b)(1)(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecActplacing Rahman in the short chain position while naked below the (b)(6)waist in near freezing confinement conditions and this directly led to (b)(7)(c)Rahman's death by hypothermia. exhibited reckless (b)(7)(f)indifference to the possibility that his actions might cause injuries or result in Rahman's death. (b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct 11. (S//NF) OIG found that Rahman did not receive a physical examination during his detention at and concludes that did (b)(1)not attend to Rahman in the same manner and with the same (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)(b)(7)(f)⁴ (S//NF) This referral is a requirement of Title 50 United States Code (U.S.C.), § 403q(b)(5) that mandates OIG to report information concerning possible violations of federal criminal law to DoJ. The General Counsel had orally advised the Chief of the Criminal Division, DoJ, of the circumstances of Rahman's death on 24 January 2003. SECRET / NOFORN//MR

(b)(3) NatSecAct





Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET/ / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

FINDINGS

(SHNF) GUL RAHMAN'S CAPTURE, RENDITION AND DETENTION (b)(3) NatSecAct

19. -(S/ Rahman was a suspected Afghan extremist from Lowgar Province, who was associated with the HIG organization.⁷ CTC identified him as a close associate of Gulbuddin Hekmatyar and Abu Abd Al-Rahman Al-Najdi, an alleged member of Al-Qa'ida.⁸ Rahman was an ethnic Pashtun who spoke Pashtu, Dari, and Farsi and was approximately 34 years old.

	(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	
(b)(1)	(b)(3) NatSecAct	
(b)(3) NatSecA	ct 21. (8/, Rahman was apprehended in Islamabad,	• •
·	Pakistan, on October 2002, during an early morning raid	····
	(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	•
· (b)(3) NatSecAct	
	7 (S/) During an interrogation session after he admitted his true identity, Rahman said he was from Kolangar Village, Pol-E-Alam Region, Lowgar Province. Lowgar Province is immediately southwest of Kabul.	
		·
•		
	(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	
	-CECDET (b)(3) NatSecAct	• • •



¹² (U//FOUO) The Department of Defense defines an "enemy combatant" as an individual who, under the laws and customs of war, may be detained for the duration of the conflict. (Letter from William J. Haynes II to Senator Carl Levin, 26 November 2002.)

	8	•	
SECRET/		NOFORN//MR	
(b)(3) N a	tSecAct	. ·	



(b)(3) NatSecAct Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 NOFORN77MR SECRET / / (b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1)was not insulated and had no central air 29. (S//NF) (b)(3) NatSecAct conditioning or heating; an Agency-purchased generator supported its power requirements. When received its first detainee in September 2002, by many accounts the temperature was hot and (b)(1)remained generally hot or warm until November 2002.¹⁵ Individual (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecActells were designed with a recess for electrical space heaters; (b)(6)however, electrical heaters were not placed in the cells. (b)(7)(c)(b)(7)(f)estimated there were between six and 12 30. (S//NF) gas heaters in the cellblock at the time of Rahman's death. officer who participated in the DO Investigation Team, reported there were five gas heaters in the detainee area of the (b)(1) facility before Rahman's death. (b)(3) NatSecAct 31. (S//NF) According to the customary practice at was to shave each detainee's head and beard and conduct a (b)(1)(b)(3) CIAAct medical examination upon arrival. Detainees were then given (b)(3) NatSecAct uniforms and moved to a cell. Photographs were taken of each (b)(6) detainee for identification purposes. While in the cells, detainees (b)(7)(c)were shackled to the wall. The guards fed the detainees on an (b)(7)(f)alternating schedule of one meal on one day and two meals the next (b)(6)] day. In anticipation of the cold weather, directed (b)(7)(c)(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct -(S//NF) According to the door had to be opened to deliver water bottles and access (b)(6) the excrement bucket. ¹⁵ (U) .In November 2002, the temperature $\binom{11}{(b)(1)}$ (b)(7)(c)ranged from a high of o a low of (b)(7)(f)degrees Fahrenheit. (b)(3) NatSecAct 10 SECRET/ NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET/ / /NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct







Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / NOFORN / MR

(b)(3) NatSecAct

 $\begin{array}{ccc} & & & & & & \\ (b)(1) & & & & & \\ (b)(3) & CIAAct & & & \\ (b)(3) & NatSecAct \\ (b)(6) & & & & \\ (b)(7)(c) & & & \\ (b)(7)(f) & & & \\ \end{array}$

(b)(1)

(b)(3) NatSecAct

CTC often relied on talented young officers—such as to take on responsibilities beyond their training and experience. In case, he was asked to take on enormous responsibilities at principally because of his and relative maturity, which qualified him better than most for this entirely new DO mission.

(SHNF) POLICY FOR CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS AT THE TIME OF RAHMAN'S DEATH

42. (S//NF) Prior to the time of Rahman's death, CTC and OGC disseminated policy guidance, via cables, e-mail, or orally, on a specific case-by-case basis to address requests to use specific interrogation techniques. Agency management did not require those involved in interrogations to sign an acknowledgement that they had read, understood, or agreed to comply with the guidance provided; nor did the Agency maintain a comprehensive record of individuals who had been briefed on interrogation procedures.

> (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)

_____14 _SECRET/_____NOFORN//MR

-(b)(3) NatSecAct



(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct	(b)(3) NatSecA	iot .		
			• .	
(b)(5)				
(b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f)				
L	- SECRET/,	NOFORN//MR		

(b)(3) NatSecAct



Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713

(b)(1) (b)(3) I	NatSecAct		oproved for Release: 2016/0 ECRET / NOFO (b)(3) NatSecAct	06/10 C0654 RN//MR	
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecA (b)(5) (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f)	_{ct} arrived in in kill the detaine	on ees, but	ccordingly, when November 2002, fo reportedly advised you can handle the not apparent to	. "Y	ou cannot harm or





Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct



Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET// (b)(3) NatSecAct

9)(1) 9)(3) CIAAct 9)(3) NatSecAct 9)(6) 9)(7)(c) 9)(7)(d) 9)(7)(f)			
)(7)(1)			

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1) .(b)(3) CIAA (b)(3) NatS .(b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAc (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	(S//NE) RAHMAN'S TREATMENT DURING DETENTION AND INTERROGATION (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct 61. (S//NF) said he did not specifically recall Rahman's treatment upon arrival at stated that Rahman's clothes would have been removed early in his detention, and most of the time Rahman was naked or would have been wearing only a diaper.
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecA	62. (S7/NF) said that Rahman was either in his cell or in a sleep deprivation cell when he was not being interrogated. ³⁵ did not know exactly how much time Rahman spent in the sleep deprivation cell but estimated it was about 50 percent of the time contended that no sleep deprivation was conducted on Rahman and added there would have been no point in continuing it then because Rahman was not being interrogated. ³⁶ According to Rahman arrived at in a diaper and it was removed at some point. He was robably put back in a diaper when he was put in a sleep deprivation cell. ³⁷ However said there would have been no reason to use a diaper when Rahman was not in a sleep deprivation cell.
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	63. (S//NF) characterized Rahman as stoic and very stubborn, unlike the other detainees. He was the most stubborn individual they detained at the facility. ³⁸ Although most of the other detainees were "compli(b)(1)almost immediately, Rahman was hard- (b)(3) NatSecAct ³⁵ (S//NF) As mentioned earlier, of the 20 cells at These cells could be used to force the
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	detainee to stand during sleep deprivation sessions. 36 (S//NF) 37 (S//NF) During the OIG visit to on and May 2003, two detainees were

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET/

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)	core Pashtun. He had been a combatant all his life and had been
(b)(3) CIAAct	·
(b)(3) NatSec4	wounded many times. Rahman did not complain and simply said,
(b)(6)	^{Act} Thanks to God, all is well." When reminded that in his videotaped
b)(7)(c)	19 December 2002 interview with the DO Investigative Team,
b)(7)(d)	stated that Rahman complained incessantly, said he just
	recalled Rahman being stoic.
	(b)(3) NatSecAct
•.	
	64. (S/ According to cables reporting Rahman's
	interrogations, he did complain about conditions. After the first two
(b)(1)	days of interrogation, reported that Rahman "complained
(b)(3) NatSec	Action poor treatment, complained about the violation of his human
	rights, and claimed inability to think due to conditions (cold)." The
	subsequent cable reporting Rahman's interrogation sessions
)(1)	described impression that Rahman "continues to use 'health
)(3) CIAAct	
)(3) NatSecAc	and welfare' behaviors and complaints as a major part of his $(b)(1)$
)(6)	resistance posture. "
)(7)(c)	
)(7)(f)	65. (S//NF) The DO Investigative Team interviewed
	guard commander four days after Rahman's death.
	According to the guard commander, Rahman wore pants for
	approximately his first three days at
	$(\mathbf{D})(1)$
	remainder of his detention without pants. (b)(3) NatSecAct
)(1)	
	66. (S//N F)
)(3) NatSecAc	would have been removed at the interrogators' direction. The guards
)(6)	would not have removed them without direction. According to
)(7)(c)	Rahman was without his clothes more than he was with them.
ý)(7)(d) └	The interrogators gave Rahman some clothing after he admitted his
)(7)(f)	
	identity on November 2002.
	(b)(3) NatSecAct(b)(1)
	(b)(3) CIAAct
	(b)(3) NatSecAct
	(b)(6)
	(b)(7)(c)
<u> </u>	(b)(7)(d)
	(b)(7)(f)
	·
ł	SECRET/ NOFORN//MR
l	(b)(3) NatSecAct

ere exam



(b)(3) NatSecAct

"fine" when asked about his condition. The only concession Rahman made was to admit his identity when it was clearly established and irrefutable.

> (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)





(b)(3) NatSecAct

detainees were cooperative with the medical personnel regarding their health and welfare except for Rahman, who simply stated, "Thanks to God, all is well."⁴⁴

	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec/ (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	Act	
(b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	44 (C) stated that he provided with some of the information that appeared in this cable. 45 (S) As reported previously, Rahman arrived there on November 2002.] .
	26 SECRET/ NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713	

	(b)(3) NatSecAct	FORN//MR	
		· .	·
	(b)(1)		
· 、	(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct		
	(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)		
	(b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)		
and the second	(b)(3) NatSecAct	(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	;
and a constant		·	·.
	80. (S/ Reports of Rahma rst cable report of Rahman's interroga	tion was issued three days	
a	fter his rendition to It repor	ted that and had	
/	nterrogated Rahman over a 48-hour pe sychological and physiological pressu		
$(\Omega \wedge A)$	nlikely to make Rahman divulge signi		
) NatSecActu			
) NatSecActu))(c)	·	· · ·	
) NatSecActu))(c)			
) NatSecActu))(c))(f)		·	
) NatSecActu))(c))(f) (b)(1) (b)(3) Cl4		·.	
) NatSecActú))(c))(f) (b)(1)		·	
) NatSecActu))(c))(f) (b)(3) Cl (b)(3) Na (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)		·	
) NatSecActu))(c))(f) (b)(3) Cl/ (b)(3) Na (b)(6)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
) NatSecActur))(c))(f) (b)(3) Cl/ (b)(3) Na (b)(3) Na (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d)	tSecAct	ORN7/ MR	•

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 -SECRET// /NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecActted that, although the other detainees who had been brought to dropped their resistance within 48 hours, Rahman remained relatively unchanged. It added, Despite 48 hours of sleep deprivation, auditory overload, total darkness, isolation, a cold shower, and rough treatment, Rahman remains steadfast in maintaining his high resistance posture and demeanor. (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) 81. (5/ A second, post-rendition cable was sent from (b)(3) NatSecAct November 2002. It reported that Rahman to on appeared to be physically fatigued but defiant during interrogations. (b)(1)It sought material to employ as psychological pressure and requested (b)(3) NatSecAct prepare a videotape of (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct 82. (8/) sent a third post-rendition cable on (b)(6) November 2002, "Subject: Gul Rahman Admits His Identity." It (b)(7)(c) reported that interrogated Rahman (b)(7)(f) November 2002, and that Rahman had spent the days since his on last interrogation session in cold conditions with minimal food and sleep.⁵⁰ It further reported that Rahman was confused for portions of the interviews due to fatigue and dehydration.⁵¹ The cable reported that Rahman provided his true identity and biographical information but provided fictitious and rehearsed responses about his (b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecActelationship with reported that Rahman was afforded improved conditions and would be November 2002. reinterviewed on (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) 49 (S//NF) There is no indication that met this request. (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(7)(c) 51 (S/ As previously reported, the November 2002 cable reported the Station's (b)(7)(d) medical support to detainees. The cable cited that, during the to November 2002 medical (b)(7)(f) assistance visit to (b)(1) it was determined that all detainees were receiving sufficient hydration. (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct SECRET / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

		Approved for Re	elease: 2016/06/10 C065417	713		
•	(b)(1)	SECRET/	NOFORN//MR	(b)(1)		
	. (b)(3) Na	tSecAct	(b)(3) NatSecAc	t (b)(3) NatSecAct		
(b)(3) NatS	SecAct			- · ·		
(-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	83. (S //	sen	t a fourth cable on	November 2002.		
	That cable was p	repared by	and reported a n	nental status		
/L \ / 4 \	examination and	a recommend	ed interrogation plar	n for Rahman. ⁵² It		
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct	reported that Rah	ıman had dem	ionstrated a rigid and	d intractable		
(b)(3) NatSecAc	t resistance postur	e and would n	ot be affected by con	tinuing		
(b)(6)	interrogations. The cable recommended continuing environmental					
(b)(7)(c)	deprivations and instituting a concentrated interrogation regimen of					
(b)(7)(f)	18 out of 24 hours. It also recommended that the Station $(b)(6)$					
, <i>•</i>	collaborate with the interrogation team to achieve the optimum $(b)(7)(c)$					
	balance and note	d it was reasor	nable to expect two o	r more weeks of		
	the regimen befor	re seeing any <mark>j</mark>	progress. Finally, it r	ecommended		
	using the newly t	rained interro	gators from Headqu	arters' recent		
(b)(1)	training class. (b)(3) NatSecAct	· ·	(b)(3) NatSecAct		
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAc		· ·		(D)(J) Nalbechci		
(-,(-,)	84. (S //		orted day of Rahma	n's death,		
	November 200		a cable to the DDO,	– Gul		
		0,	s." It reported that R	* *		
(b)(1)			rogators but had rep	5		
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecA	threatened	v -	previously, vowing to			
	nave mem kineu	v	release. ⁵³ This was c			
1		-	strained with hand a			
(b)(1)	restraints in his c		-	last saw		
(D)(3) Natsech	ctahman on the a		November 2002, and			
	=		g of November 20			
		-	determine the cause			
	death without an		cable did not include	e the information		
(b)(1)		(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSe	(b)(1)			
			(b)(3)	NatSecAct		
(b)(3) CIAAct		· ·		·		
(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	52 (ST The ment	al status exam was r	equested by CTC/UBL on	November 2002.		
(b)(7)(f)	CTC/UBL noted "[Headquarters] UBL is motivated to extract any and all operational information					
			nd] achieving Rahman's coop to create circumstances in w			
	z a ⁻ ·	rtedly heard from		D2 that Rahman sensed		
	the quards were	and threatened to		never witnessed the		
	guards mistreat Rahman		, (
(b)(1)			man was constantly restrain that Rahman's hand restrain			
	t November 2002.	same capie reported	um nauman s nanu restram	is were removed on		
]					
	• ·					
		SECRET/	NOFORN//MR	· .		
(b)(3) NatSecAct						

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

that Rahman was naked below the waist or that a series of chains and restraints (the short chain position) was used on Rahman that forced him to sit bare-bottomed on the concrete floor of his cell.⁵⁵

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)

(b)(3) NatSecAct

⁵⁵ (S/ ______ This cable was the basis for the information provided in the 29 November 2002 Congressional Notification on Rahman's death. It was not until a second Congressional Notification was made on _____ May 2003, three months after the DO Investigative Team's report was issued, that CIA informed Congress that Rahman was naked below the waist and shackled in the short chain position that prevented Rahman from standing upright.

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) 30 SECRET / NOFORN/7MR

(b)(3) NatSecAct

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)

> (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct








(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAA (b)(3) NatSe (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)		
	(b)(3) NatSecAct	
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecA	100. (5/ In December 2002, less than one month after Rahman's hypothermia-induced death, reported the following regarding another (b)(1) detained (b)(3) NatSecAct	
	[The detainee] was submitted [<i>sic</i>] to sensory deprivation, cold, and sleep deprivation within the parameters of [a referenced cable] When moved to the interrogation room for interrogation sessions [the detainee] was stripped and had to earn his clothing with cooperation and information. When he demonstrated resistance, [the detainee] was left in a cold room, shackled and stripped, until he demonstrated cooperation.	
,		
	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	
· ·		
		•
	35 SECRET/NOFORN//MR	

(b)(3) NatSecAct





Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

stated that when a detainee was uncooperative, the interrogators accomplished two goals by combining the hygienic reason for a shower with the unpleasantness of a cold shower.

> (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)

107. (S//NF) Hard Takedown. During the course of Rahman's autopsy, the Agency pathologist noted several abrasions on the body.⁶⁷

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)

⁶⁷ (S//NF) The Final Autopsy Findings noted "superficial excoriations of the right and left upper shoulders, left lower abdomen, and left knee, mechanism undetermined."

(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct

> 37 SECRET/_____NOFORN//MR

> > -(b)(3) NatSecAct-

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / / NIOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)(b)(7)(d)(b)(7)(f)(b)(1)(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)109. (S//NF) According to the hard takedown was employed often in interrogations at as "part of the atmospherics." It was the standard procedure for moving a detainee to the sleep deprivation cell. It was performed for shock and (b)(1) psychological impact and signaled the transition to another phase of (b)(3) NatSecAct the interrogation. He said that the act of putting a detainee into a diaper also could cause abrasions if the detainee struggles because the floor of the facility is concrete. (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct 110. (S//NF) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) This was (b)(7)(c)accomplished by running him up and down the corridor. As (b)(7)(d) Rahman was being moved down the corridor, he fell and got a scrape (b)(7)(f) did not remember where else Rahman on his shoulder. received injuries. explained that the scraping was not (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)(b)(7)(d)38 (b)(7)(f)SECRET / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1) (b)(2) CIAAct	Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET/
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecA (b)(6)	ct (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	expected to be part of the process, and he was displeased with the results because Rahman was injuredasserted that he had no interest in hurting the detainees. He observed that abrasions cause management problems because there is a need to summon the
(b)(1)	physician to the facility to tend to the detainees' wounds to prevent infection. ⁷⁰ stated that neither he, Station management,
	or anyone else involved with the program ever authorized or encouraged anyone to hit, slap, or intentionally inflict pain on a
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct	detainee. $111. (S//NF)$ stated that this hard takedown was the
(b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d)	only time Rahman could have received the abrasions on his body. He recalled only one instance when the hard takedown was used on
(b)(7)(f)	Rahman. According tothe reference to rough treatment in theNovember 2002cable refers to the hard takedown, as well asthe insult slap given to Rahman by71
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct	112. (S//NF) noted there was an alternative to the hard
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct	takedown that he called the "gentle takedown." It was reserved for detainees who had been cooperative and were being transferred from In those instances, the detainee is advised what to expect
(b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d)	in advance and instructed to lie on his stomach and not resist _{(b)(1)} (b)(3) NatSecAct 113. (S//NF) stated he did not discuss the hard
(b)(7)(f)	takedown with Station managers; he thought they understood what techniques were being used at(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct
	(b)(6)
	(b)(6) (b)(7)(c) 70 (S//NF) If treated Rahman for those abrasions, it was not reported to OIG during the contact with the three medical care providers present during Rahman's detention.
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecA	Act (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d)
L	39 - SECRET / N OFORN//M R (b)(3) NatSecAct

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET/ NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct



Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713



	Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713
	SECRET / NOPORN7/M R (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSe (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	
	(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct
)(1))(3) NatSecAct	122. (5//NF) November 2002. The November 2002 chronology cable reported:
(1) (3) NatSecAct 9)(1) 9)(3) NatSecAct	The last time Rahman was seen by officer prior to his death was on the afternoon of Monday November 2002. At that time Rahman was assessed to be in good overall health. Station noted that Rahman had small abrasions on his wrists and ankles as a result of the restraints. His ankle restraints were loosened and his hand restraints were removed when Rahman was returned to his cell. ⁷⁴
	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)
	(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3)	/NF) This is the only passage in the cable that addressed the events of November 2002. would have made this assessment of Rahman's health. CIAAct NatSecAct c)
(b)(7)(¹	SECRET //NOFORN//MR
	(b)(3) NatSecAct Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECKE1// INOFORN77 MLK (b)(3) NatSecAct



(b) (b)	(1) (3) NatSecAct Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct	the commander to replace [<i>sic</i>] Rahman's hand restraints to prevent this from reoccurring, or prevent him from undertaking any other violent actions. ⁷⁶
(b)(3) NatSec (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	Act 127. (S//NF) The guard(s) reported that Rahman had been acting violently and had thrown his food and defecation
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecA (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	bucket at the guards. Rahman had also threatened the guards, noting that he had seen their faces and would kill them when he got out of the facility confirmed it is likely that Rahman had seen the guards' faces, because they were sometimes lay about using their
(b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	
r .	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecA (b)(6)	(b)(1) ct(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f)	⁷⁶ (C) This is the only passage in the cable that addresses the events of November 2002. It has been established that the term "station" in this paragraph means 44 SECRET/

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713
SECRET/

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)

(b)(1) 131. (S/MF)stated he did not know what might have (b)(3) CIAAct prompted Rahman to act in this manner. He was the only detainee (b)(3) NatSecAct who had ever threatened the guards or thrown food at them. As a (b)(6) ordered the guards to shackle Rahman's result of this conduct (b)(7)(c) was not certain who proposed the idea to short chain (b)(7)(d) hands. (b)(7)(f)suspected the guard(s) recommended it and he Rahman. approved. Regardless of the origin, acknowledged that he would have authorized Rahman's short chaining on November (b)(1) 2002. (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)
(b)(3) CIAAct132. (\$//NF)explained that the short chain was(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(6)necessary to prevent Rahman from throwing things.77(b)(6)
(b)(7)(c)reasoned if only Rahman's hands had been shackled together, he still
would have been able to throw objects. That is, manacling one hand
to the other still permitted the limited range of movement that would



Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 -SECRET / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) CIAAct(b)(3) NatSecActallow Rahman the ability to throw something.⁷⁸ In view,(b)(5)(b)(6)(b)(6)(b)(7)(c)(b)(7)(d)(b)(7)(f)(b)(7)(f)(b)(7)(f)(b)(7)(f)(c)(7)(f)

(b)(1)

(b)(7)(d)

(b)(7)(f)

(b)(1)

(b)(5)

(b)(6)

(b)(7)(c)

(b)(7)(d)

(b)(7)(f)

(b)(3) CIAAct ((b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)133. (S//NF) According tothe short chaining was not(b)(3) CIAActthe result of the verbal threat to the guards.did not have any(b)(3) NatSecActfirsthand knowledge of the threat; the guards told him about it. They(b)(5)did not appear very worried or frightened by the threat.(b)(6)found this surprising because

Rahman had reportedlythreatened the guards previously.did not recall Rahmanbeing punished for the previous threats;thought he wouldrecall if Rahman had been punished.thought he would

(b)(1) 134. (S//NF) stated it never occurred to him that short (b)(3) CIAAct chaining Rahman while wearing no pants would have consequences. (b)(3) NatSecAc said he can see there were problems caused by In retrospect, (b)(5) that action. At the time, he viewed short chaining as just a (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) mechanism to safely secure Rahman. did not think he had (b)(7)(d) crossed the line in ordering the short chaining. It was not done to (b)(7)(f) induce pain or suffering. His only thought at the time was to make Rahman immobile. stated they are not in the punishment game at (b)(1) is the second secon

⁷⁸ (S//NF) Despite this view, there was no need for the guards to enter the cell to deliver food. The doors for each cell were constructed with a small slot near the bottom of the doors. The purpose of the slot was for the safe delivery of food to the detainee without opening the doors. The same slot was used by the guards to inspect the cell and monitor detainees during security checks.

⁷⁹ (S//NF) Four of the officers who responded to Rahman's cell on November 2002 said they did not see or smell urine or excrement in or around the cell. (b)(1)

(b)(3) NatSecAct

SECRET / NOFORN//MR

(b)(3) NatSecAct

•	Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct
	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(5) (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAc (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d)	t 137. (S//NF)
(b)(7)(f)	The original technique involved chaining both the hands and the feet to the wall. The wall hook was less than two feet from the floor. The detainee would have to sit on the floor of the cell with his arm elevated and bent. ⁸¹ (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3)	138. (S/November 2002.The (b)(1)November 2002ctchronology cable reported:(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3)	and $(b)(1)$ (b)(1)
(b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAd (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	(b)(3) NatSecAct ct ⁸¹ (S//NF) The difference between the two techniques is that, with the original technique, the detainee is chained to the wall, and there is no third chain connecting the hands to the feet.
· · ·	(b)(3) NatSecAct

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET// NOFORN//MR
(b)(3) NatSecAct

	(b)(1)	cell. Rahman was visually inspected through the door cell slot but	
	(b)(3) NatSec	his eyes open, seated in his cell at 0800 hours on November	
)(1))(3) NatSecA 	another individual were notified by guards that Gui-	
(b)	(1)	Rahman was sleeping in his cell but there was some problem. These officers were escorted to the cell by the guards. These	
• •	(3) NatSecAd	ct officers realized Rahman was deceased and they subsequently requested via secure radio that Station medic visit the facility.	
		Officers reported that a small amount (palm-sized pool) of dried	
		blood was present in and around the mouth and nose of subject. Rahman was observed still shackled, and slumped over in the seated position	
		At approximately 1030 hours, Station medic arrived at the location. The Station medic inspected the body and noticed no obvious	
		contusions, abrasions, marks, swelling, or other indications of	
		specific cause of death. He noted that the blood in evidence was dark, not in keeping with a wound to the nose or mouth area. The	
	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAcl	medic's notes on Rahman's condition are filed at Station. His estimation was that Rahman had been dead less than a few hours.	. •
	(b)(3) NatSeo (b)(6)		· · ·
	(b)(7)(c)		
	(b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)		•
		(b)(1 (b)(3)) NatSecAct
(b) (b)		140. (S//NF) stated he was unaware that Station	
	(3) CIAAct (3) NatSecAc	officers tried to contact him on the morning of November 2002	
	(6)	when Rahman's death was discovered. He indicated the radio w not always on. said he was not certain where he was at the	
	(7)(c) (7)(d)	not always on said he was not certain where he was at the time Rahman's body was found thought perhaps he was at the same set of the same set	
(b)	(7)(f)	the Station , but he acknowledged that had he bee	
		the Station and the trio called, someone would have located him. $(b)(1)$	
(r)(1)	(b)(3) NatSecAct(b)(7)	I) ·
(k	o)(3) CIAAct	⁸² (S//NF) None of the personnel, including who were preser(b)(3	
	o)(3)	A _{Ct} td became aware of Rahman's death that date could account forwhereabouts throughout the morning when Rahman's death was reported to the Station.	
(k	o)(7)(c)		
	o)(7)(d) o)(7)(f)	SECRET/ NOFORN//MR	
Ì	/	(b)(3) NatSecAct	

(b)(1) (b)(3) N	Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / NOFORN//MR atSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec4	When the officers subsequently returned to the Station fromthey informed selected Station personnel of Rahman'sdeath. One of them, identity unrecalled, informedthey hadthey hadthey hadthey hadthey hadthey hadthey had
(b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d)	was already aware of Rahman's death. ⁸⁴ (b)(3) NatSecAct 141. (S7 acknowledged that the account of the
(b)(7)(f)	guards checking on Rahman at 2200 and 2300 and 0400 hours, as reported in the cable, was odd and inconsistent with the policy of the rounds conducted every four hours. He maintained, however, that
(b)(1) (b)(3)	this was what the guards told him said he thought it was unusual that the guard commander was not present at ^{ACt} when Rahman's death was reported. Other officers also cited that this absence appeared unusual. (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAc (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	142. (S/, From what he heard, said he was confident Rahman died of hypothermia. Being on the bare floor was likely a factor. stated he had no more experience than the average person with hypothermia. From life experience
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAc (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	that other detainees did not die because they were more warmly dressed. Rahman was the only prisoner short chained in his cell at the time; he was different from the other prisoners. When asked if he thought Rahman would have been alive on November 2002 if he had cooperated, responded that if Rahman had been to cooperative, he would probably still be alive. (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct
	83 (S//NF) When interviewed by the DO Investigative Team three days after Rahman's death, stated he learned of the death from confirmed this during his OIG interview. 84 (S//NF) No photographs were taken of Rahman or the condition of his cell. The only ct ^h hotographs of Rahman were the photographs taken in conjunction with the autopsy on November 2002. (1)

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / / NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6)(b)(7)(c)(b)(7)(d)(b)(7)(f)(U//FOUO) THE INVESTIGATION BY THE DO INVESTIGATIVE TEAM (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct 144. (S//NF) Station reported Rahman's death in an (b)(3) NatSecAct November 2002, the day of cable to the DDO on Rahman's death. Shortly thereafter the DDO dispatched three (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct on a to investigate the circumstances of the death.⁸⁵ The DO Investigative Team, consisting of who was the senior security officer assigned to (b)(1)(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct conducted interviews, and the (b)(6)pathologist performed an autopsy of Rahman.86 (b)(7)(c)145. (S//NF) advised the DO Investigative Team that detainees were examined and photographed upon their arrival to kara at awa (b)(1)(b)(3) CIAAct protect the Agency . (b)(3) NatSecAct However, when , on (b)(6)January 2003, two months after Rahman's arrival in (b)(7)(c)requested the identity of the medical officer, the results of Rahman's (b)(7)(f)medical examination, and copies of the rendition photographs did not produce them. reported that no medical documents were retained from the renditions, and the Station did not retain medical documentation of detainees. said he could not (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(1)(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6)(b)(7)(c)(b)(7)(f)50 SECRET / 'NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713



(b)(3) NatSecAct



SECRET/ NOFORN//MR

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(5) (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f)



151. (S//NF) The autopsy indicated, by a diagnosis of exclusion, that Rahman's death was caused by hypothermia.⁸⁸ The Final Autopsy Findings reported the cause of death as "undetermined," the manner of death as "undetermined," and the clinical impression as hypothermia.

152. (S7/NF) The DO Investigative Team concluded:

- There is no evidence to suggest that Rahman's death was deliberate.
- There is no evidence to suggest that Rahman was beaten, tortured, poisoned, strangled, or smothered.
- Hypothermia was the most likely cause of death of Rahman.
- Rahman's death was not deliberate but resulted from incarceration in a cold environment while nude from the waist down and being shackled in a position that prevented him from moving around to keep warm. Additionally, this kept him in direct contact with the cold concrete floor leading to a loss of body heat through conduction.
- Rahman's actions contributed to his own death. By throwing his last meal, he was unable to provide his body with a source of fuel to keep him warm. Additionally, his violent behavior resulted in his restraint, which prevented him from generating body heat by moving around and brought him in direct contact with the concrete floor leading to a loss of body heat through conduction.

⁸⁸ (U) A diagnosis of exclusion in a death case is one where all other causes of death are excluded and the clinical environment in which the victim was found is examined along with the immediate history developed during the investigation. However, no definitive tests or findings establish that diagnosis.



	Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713	
(b)(1)	SECKET// NOFORN//MR	i
(b)(3) CIAAct		
(b)(3) NatSec	cAct	1
(b)(6)	(C) OTHER TECHNIQUES EMPLOYED OR APPROVED BY	
(b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d)		`
(b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)		
	(b)(1)	
	(b)(3) CIAAct	
	(b)(3) NatSecAct	
	(b)(6)	1
	(b)(7)(c)	
	(b)(7)(d)	
	(b)(7)(f)	· · ·
í .		
		•
		ł
		. I
		. (
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
,		en e
(b)(1)	155 (S//NF) Four other officers and ICs who were	
(b)(3) CIAAct	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec (b)(6)		
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec, (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec, (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act Mearing about one of them. ⁸⁹	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(1)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act Act Act Act Act Act Act Act	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(1)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act Act Act Act Act Act Act Act	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f) (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSec.	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act Act Act Act Act Act Act Act	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSec.	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act Act Act admitted the participated in a "mock 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock admitted the first detainees arrived. He contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec, (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSec (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act earing about one of them. ⁸⁹ 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock admitted that he participated in a "mock tecAct cution" at when the first detainees arrived. He contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to shake them up quickly explained he discharged a firearm in a	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSec.	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock ct ecAct ecAct when the first detainees arrived. He contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to shake them up quickly. explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an officer lay on the floor and	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec, (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSec (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act earing about one of them. ⁸⁹ 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock admitted that he participated in a "mock the contended in a "mock of the detainees when the first detainees arrived. He contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to shake them up quickly. explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock ct ecAct ecAct when the first detainees arrived. He contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to shake them up quickly. explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an officer lay on the floor and	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSec (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act aring about one of them. ⁸⁹ 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock ct ct ct ct ct contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to shake them up quickly. explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an officer lay on the floor and (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct *8' (5//NF) It is difficult to determine how many mock executions were staged during this	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSec (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act aring about one of them. ⁸⁹ 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock ct ct ct ct ct contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to shake them up quickly. explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an officer lay on the floor and (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct *8' (5//NF) It is difficult to determine how many mock executions were staged during this	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSec	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act hearing about one of them. ⁸⁹ 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock ct admitted that he participated in a "mock bcAct when the first detainees arrived. He contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to shake them up quickly. explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct explained he at the floor and (b)(3) NatSecAct explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct explained he at least two.	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSet (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act Act hearing about one of them. ⁸⁹ 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock ct cct when the first detainees arrived. He contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to shake them up quickly. explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct cct admits to participating in only one.	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec, (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f) (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAc (b)(3) NatSec (b)(6)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act hearing about one of them. ⁸⁹ 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock ct admitted that he participated in a "mock bcAct when the first detainees arrived. He contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to shake them up quickly. explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct explained he at the floor and (b)(3) NatSecAct explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct explained he at least two.	
(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSec. (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f) (b)(7)(f) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f) (b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSet (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)	interviewed admitted to either participating in such an incident or Act Act hearing about one of them. ⁸⁹ 156. (S//NF) admitted that he participated in a "mock ct cct when the first detainees arrived. He contended the detainees were there only one day, and he hoped to shake them up quickly. explained he discharged a firearm in a safe manner while an (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct cct admits to participating in only one.	

.



(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)

(b)(1)

(b)(1)

(b)(1)

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / / /NOFORN//MR (b)(1) (h)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct

cable to the DDO. (See Exhibit.) On November 2002, Station Medical Support to Detainees in remorted (b)(3) NatSecAct to the DDO. This addressed the medical care provided to detainees in general along with a comment about the medical treatment provided to Rahman. (b)(3) NatSecAct

On 29 November 2002, the Director of 161. (S/ Congressional Affairs (D/OCA) provided the Chairman and ranking member of each Intelligence Committee and the Chairman and Ranking Member of the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on Defense a background paper entitled "Death of Detainee Gul Rahman." The paper identified Rahman as "an Al-Qa'ida operative and Hezbi-Islami Gulbuddin/Hekmatyar associate who was also a close contact of senior Al-Qa'ida facilitator Abu Abdul Rahman Al-Najdi." It reported CIA was sending a team of officers to to conduct an inquiry into Rahman's death, including an autopsy to determine the cause of death. The (b)(3) NatSec/ background paper reported, "Rahman arrived at the detention facility on November [2002] and was given a physical examination which indicated no medical issues or preexisting medical (b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct conditions."91

> On 23 January 2003, the IG reported to the DCI 162. (S/ by memorandum that the General Counsel had informed the IG on 22 January 2003 of the death of Gul Rahman. Further, the IG stated that the OIG was investigating the issue. On 30 January 2003, the DCI forwarded the IG's memorandum to the Congressional oversight committees and reiterated the DCI had notified the committees of this matter by formal notification on 29 November 2002. The DCI's letter added that the DO Investigative Team's report was nearing

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct . (b)(3) NatSecAct

91 (S/) The first portion of this statement appears to be drawn from the November 2002 cable reporting the death of Rahman. As explained earlier, this information is inaccurate. There is no evidence that Rahman received a physical examination upon his arrival at or at any time following his arrival in It cannot be determined where the Office of Congressional Affairs obtained the information that Rahman did not have any medical issues or a preexisting medical condition because that conclusion was not reported in either the OT November 2002 cables. (b)(1)(b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct 56 SECRET/ 'NOFORN//MR

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713

(b)(3) NatSecAct

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET/ NOFORN//MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

completion and CIA would be sending the committees a follow-up notification in the near future.

On 2 May 2003, the D/OCA provided an 163. **(**\$/) update to the Intelligence Committees of Congress and Chairman and Ranking Member of the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense in the form of a background paper entitled "Death of Detainee Gul Rahman." The background paper, "Investigation by the Directorate of Operations," which included an autopsy and toxicology, disclosed that Rahman's death was accidental and most likely resulted from hypothermia."92 The background paper reported that Rahman was nude from the waist down and that "an autopsy disclosed several surface abrasions which he obtained within the first few days of his incarceration."93 The background paper reported, "During his incarceration, Rahman threatened several times to kill guards.⁹⁴ ... At 1500 (b)(3) NatSecAct [nours] on November 2002...Rahman again threatened to kill the guards and threw his food, water bottle, and waste bucket at the guards." Finally, the background paper reported, "As a result of his violent behavior, and following procedures recommended by the U.S. BOP, Rahman was shackled to the wall in a short chain position which prevents prisoners from standing upright."95

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(1)

	⁹² (S// As reported above, in actuality, the autopsy reported the cause of death as "undetermined," the manner of death as "undetermined," and the clinical impression as hypothermia. The investigative report concluded, "There is no evidence to suggest that Rahman's death was deliberate."	
	93 (S/) The initial report to Congress on 29 November 2002 did not report that Rahman was naked below the waist and chained in a position that forced him to sit on the concrete floor. The autopsy did not address the age of the abrasions. As explained earlier, the pathologist opined to OIG that the abrasions to the shoulders and hips occurred from one to three days, at most, before Rahman's death.	
(b)(3) NatSecAct	94 (S/1 According to Rahman reportedly threatened the guards two times only, during the week of November and on November.	
	95 - (57) As reported previously <u>advised OIG that he did not recall punishine</u>	
	Rahman for the first alleged verbal threat.	
(b)(3) CIAAct		(b)(1)
(b)(3) NatSecAc	t	(b)(3) NatSecAc
(b)(6)		(b)(6)
(b)(7)(c)		(b)(7)(c)
(b)(7)(f)	57	^d (b)(7)(d) (b)(7)(f).
	SECRET/ NOFORN//MR	
	(b)(3) NatSecAct	• • • •

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(U) APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS AND POLICIES

164. (U) Title 18 U.S.C. §112, Manslaughter, provides in pertinent part:

Manslaughter is the unlawful killing of a human being without malice. It is of two kinds:

Voluntary – Upon a sudden quarrel or heat of passion. Involuntary – In the commission of an unlawful act, not amounting to a felony, or in the commission in an unlawful manner, or without due caution and circumspection, of a lawful act which might produce death.

165. (U) Title 18 U.S.C. §2441, *Torture*, provides penalties for "who[m]ever outside the United States commits or attempts to commit torture." The statute defines the crime of torture, in pertinent part, as:

an act committed by a person acting under the color of law specifically intended to inflict severe physical or mental pain or suffering (other than pain or suffering incidental to lawful sanctions) upon another person within his custody or physical control.

166. (U) Title 18 U.S.C. §2441, *War Crimes*, provides penalties for "whomever, whether inside or outside the United States, commits a war crime" wherein "the person committing such war crime or the victim of such war crime is a member of the Armed Forces of the United States or a national of the United States." The statute defines a war crime as any conduct defined as a grave breach of the Geneva Conventions [or any protocol to such convention to which the United States is a party].⁹⁶ The proscribed conduct includes the following

⁹⁶ (U) The United States is not yet a party to either of the two "Protocols Additional to the Geneva Conventions."



(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) NatSecAct

relevant offenses: willful killing, torture or inhuman treatment, including biological experiments, willfully causing great suffering to body or health.⁹⁷

167. (U) On 7 February 2002, President Bush issued a memorandum noting that the "provisions of Geneva will apply to our present conflict with the Taliban" [in Afghanistan] but would not apply to Al-Qa'ida.⁹⁸ Neither the Taliban nor Al-Qa'ida would be entitled to enemy Prisoners of War status, however. Nonetheless, the President ordered, "As a matter of policy, the United States Armed Forces shall continue to treat detainees humanely and, to the extent appropriate and consistent with military necessity, in a manner consistent with the principles of Geneva."

168. (S//NF) On 24 January 2003, the General Counsel orally informed the Chief of the Criminal Division, DoJ of Rahman's death. On 13 February 2003, OIG reported Rahman's death in detention to the U.S. DoJ by memorandum.

169. (S//NF) On 29 December 2003, the Chief, Counterterrorism Section, Criminal Division, DoJ, reported by letter that it declined to pursue a federal prosecution of criminal charges in this matter. As of April 2005, the matter is under review by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Virginia pursuant to the direction of the Attorney General.

⁹⁷ (U) Grave breaches are defined in the Fourth Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Persons in Time of War are listed in Article 147. (Article 130 of the Third Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War lists these same offenses as "grave breaches.")

⁹⁸ (U) Memorandum from the President to the Vice President, Secretary of State, Secretary of Defense, Attorney General, Chief of Staff to the President, Director of Central Intelligence, Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, "Humane Treatment of al Qaeda and Taliban Detainees," dated and signed 7 February 2002.

170. (U//FOUO) Agency Regulation 13-6, Appendix I, Standards for Employee Accountability provides:

- a. Consequences will follow an employee's failure to comply with a statute, regulation, policy or other guidance that is applicable to the employee's professional conduct or performance.
- b. The lack of knowledge of a statute, regulation, policy or guidance does not necessarily excuse the employee. However, lack of knowledge may affect the level of employee responsibility and the extent to which disciplinary action is warranted. Therefore the following factors will be considered prior to holding an employee accountable for a particular act or omission:
 - (1) Agency efforts to make employees aware of the statute, regulation, policy or guidance;
 - (2) The extent of employee awareness of the statute, regulation, policy or guidance;
 - (3) The importance of the conduct or performance at issue;
 - (4) The position or grade of the employee.
- c. Any finding of deficient performance must be specific and may include omissions and failure to act in accordance with a reasonable level of professionalism, skill, and diligence.
- d. Determinations under the above standard will be based in part on whether the facts objectively indicate a certain action should have been taken or not taken and whether the employee had an opportunity and the responsibility to act or not act.
- e. Managers may be held accountable in addition for the action(s) or inaction of subordinates even if the manager lacks knowledge of the subordinate's conduct. Such accountability depends on:

(1) Whether the manager reasonably should have been aware of the matter and has taken reasonable measures to ensure such awareness.



(b)(3) NatSecAct Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 -SECRET/

(2) Whether the manager has taken reasonable measures to ensure compliance with the law and Agency policies and regulations.

CONCLUSIONS

171. (S//NF) CIA had not issued any applicable custodial interrogation guidelines by the time of Rahman's detention. The (b)(1)practice at that time was for interrogators to propose interrogation (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct echniques to CTC for pre-approval. did not take this step prior to the interrogation of Rahman. Further, a CTC legal (b)(6)(b)(7)(c)advisor said Headquarters would not have knowingly approved (b)(7)(f)several of the techniques that employed, including cold showers, cold conditions, hard takedowns, and the short chain restraint.

(b)(1)172. (S//NF) treated Rahman harshly because of (b)(3) CIAAct Rahman's alleged stature, his uncompromising reaction to the (b)(3) NatSecAct interrogation and lack of cooperation, the pressure on to (b)(6) "break him," and lack of experience with a committed (b)(7)(c)(b)(1) (b)(7)(f)interrogation resister. (b)(3) NatSecAct

173. (S//NF) On November 2002, ordered or approved the guards placing Rahman in the short chain position (b)(1) whereby he was compelled to sit on the concrete floor of his cell. (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct Rahman was only clothed in a sweatshirt. This act directly led to (b)(6) Rahman's death by hypothermia. was fully cognizant that the (b)(7)(c)had fallen sharply in November. Two temperature in (b)(7)(f)individuals said that they raised the subject of the cold temperatures with November, directed that actions be taken to On help other detainees ward off the cold. Other officers and contractors in November 2002 stated they recognized it was present at (b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct very cold and some detainees were inadequately protected against the cold. They stated they were personally aware of the possibility of hypothermia, but some said they assumed it was the responsibility of someone else to address.



(b)(1)	Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713
(b)(3) CIAAct	
(b)(3) NatSec	
(b)(6)	(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f)	174. (S//NF) exhibited reckless indifference to the
(b)(7)(f)	
	possibility that his actions might cause injuries or result in Rahman's
	death. There is no indication that intended that Rahman
. (h)(d)	should be severely harmed or killed.
(b)(1)	
(b)(3) NatSec	ACT
<i>i</i>	175. (S//NF) The initial account of guards that
	Rahman died in the mid-morning of November 2002 is unreliable
(b)(1)	and self-serving. It is likely that Rahman died during the night and
(b)(3) NatSecA	cthe guards waited until Station officers were present at to
	report his death. Nonetheless, there is no evidence that the (b)(1)
	guards assaulted or independently mistreated Rahman. (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1)	
(b)(3) NatSe	
	176. (S//NF) Rahman did not receive a physical examination
(b)(1)	following his rendition from or at any time while detained
(b)(3) CIAAct	
(b)(3) NatSecA	
(b)(6)	the physician's assistant at that time, reported that
(b)(7)(c)	he examined all the other detainees held at he did not
(b)(7)(f)	examine Rahman. allowed Rahman's statement that all was
	well to supplant a physical examination. (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct
•	(b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1)	
	177. (S//NF) who was in during the first
(b)(3) ULAC	ays of Rahman's detention, did not attend to Rahman in the same
	manner and with the same standard of care as the other detainees.
(b)(6)	
(b)(7)(c)	was aware of the cold conditions; indeed the temperature in
(b)(7)(f)	had reached a low of 31 degrees the day before he departed
	on November. he should have
. L	advocated more humane treatment for Rahman that would ensure
/b\/d\	$(\mathbf{D})(\mathbf{D})$
(b)(1)	his health and safety. (b)(7)(c) (b)(1) (b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(3) NatSecA	
	178. (S//NF) Station's reporting of the details of
	Rahman's detention and death in Station cables contained false
(b)(1)	
(b)(3) NatSecA	statements and material omissions. Consequently, the Congressional
	notification drawn from the cable information bore inaccuracies and
	material omissions. The inaccurate reporting obscured or minimized
	the circumstances of the death, the involvement of in the
	mistreatment of Rahman, and the absence of adequate supervision by
(b)(1)	A follow-up report to the Congressional oversight
b)(3) CIAAct	
(b)(3) NatSecAc	it in the second s
b)(6)	6 2
b)(7)(c)	SECRET/ NOFORN//MR
b)(7)(f)	
	(b)(3) NatSecAct

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 -SECRET/ | NOFORN77 MR (b)(3) NatSecAct

committees was prepared on 2 May 2003. That report, drawn from the DO Investigative Report, accurately reported salient circumstances that contributed to Rahman's death that were initially omitted.

(b)(1)

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(3) NatSecAct 179. (S//NF) bears direct responsibility for failing (b)(6) to include pertinent facts in his November 2002 official written (b)(7)(c)account of Rahman's death. The cable specifically withheld (b)(7)(f)information known to and that directed the (b)(1)(b)(3) NatSecAct guards to place Rahman in the short chain position while he was naked below the waist, thereby forcing him to sit bare bottomed on $\binom{(b)(7)(5)}{(b)(7)(f)}$ (b)(7)(c)(b)(1)the bare concrete floor of his cell in what were known to be very cold (b)(3) NatSecAct temperatures.

180. (S//NF)	bears responsibility for not	(b)(1)
providing adequate supervision of	activities at	(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f)	(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6)	

•	63	3
SECRET/		NOFORN//MR
. ()	ר)(3) Nat	tSecAct

(b)(1) (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(6) (b)(7)(c) (b)(7)(f)

Approved for Release: 2016/06/10 C06541713 SECRET / NOFORN / MR

(b)(3) NatSecAct

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. (S//NF) The Director of the Central Intelligence Agency should convene an Accountability Board to review the performance of ______in

regard to the events that contributed to the death of Gul Rahman.



(b)(3) NatSecAct