

THE PRESIDENTIAL DIRECTIVE OF 22 JANUARY 1946

Comparison of the Presidential Directive and the draft directive presented by the Secretaries shows their very close organic relationship and indicates three significant differences. The variations of language and of direction are to be expected and require no comment.

The three significant differences are as follows:

1. In Paragraph <sup>5</sup> ~~8~~ <sup>(c)</sup> of the phrase "including the direct procurement of intelligence" has been omitted. This may have been done in order to avoid mentioning it in a document which was to be published.
2. In Paragraph <sup>(H)</sup> 6 the phrase "or internal security functions" has been added to the denial of police or law-enforcement powers. This interpolation was made with the intent of reserving that field entirely to the FBI.
3. Paragraph 9 is entirely new and derives from the same source as 2 above. The original draft did not contemplate excluding the CIG from any investigations "inside the continental limits of the United States and its possessions." The only loophole in the restriction lies in the final qualifying phrase: "except as provided by law and Presidential directives."

The Directive broadened the proposal in one sense, as indicated in Paragraph 8. The original plan had referred to "intelligence agencies of the government," and the Directive made the requirement of furnishing to CIG of intelligence information apply to all "departments and agencies of the executive branch."