Approved For Release 10/17/5 E.G. 17 17 100975A006000170001-6

25X1, . .

17 October 1961 25X

Copy No. ED-6

25X

# CENTRAL

# INTELLIGENCE

## BULLETIN



State Dept. review completed

### TOP SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/04/17: CIA-RDP79T00975A006000170001-6

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T00975A006000170001-6

#### Gromyko Modifies Position on Berlin and German Armaments

Gromyko's apparent willingness to drop the link between a Berlin settlement and a prior agreement on the prohibition on German possession of nuclear weapons suggests that the bloc may hold over the question of restrictions on German armaments for future negotiations on European security. In line with this approach, the bloc may sign a truncated treaty with East Germany which would either exclude or reserve any military provisions. Ulbricht hinted at the possibility of such an abbreviated treaty when he indicated on 6 October that a separate bloc peace treaty with East Germany would be left open for Bonn's accession at any time.

The 1 October Soviet memorandum to the UN reflected a shift in emphasis from a German peace treaty to the more general subject of European security as the framework for bloc efforts to limit and delay West German reamament. Under the general heading of measures to "ease tensions," the USSR proposed a list of steps, such as reduction and withdrawal of foreign troops from Germany, prevention of the spread of nuclear weapons, and creation of a nuclear-free zone in Central Europe. The memorandum stated that the problem of a German peace treaty should not "hamper the examination" of a plan for a one-third reduction in foreign forces stationed in Germany -- a proposal which was included in the 1959 Soviet draft peace treaty. Along this same line, a Soviet diplomat, obviously speaking for Western consumption, is reported to have stated that negotiations on Berlin might be held separately, but in the "long run" could not be divorced from negotiations on the "security question."

The same Soviet official said on 2 October that stationing of Soviet troops in West Berlin was not "absolutely required." Although Gromyko repeated this proposal to Macmillan, he failed to make a "vigorous response" to the prime minister's statement that he failed to "see the logic" of the Soviet demand. An earlier indication of possible Soviet flexibility on this point was indicated by Prime Minister Nehru's press conference statement in Tashkent

ı

25X1

17 Oct 61 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN

Approved For Release 2003/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T00975A006000170001-6

that as a concession, Khrushchev would not insist on the addition of Soviet troops; however, Moscow did not publish this part of Nehru's statement. Bloc diplomats are speculating that an East-West foreign ministers' conference will be the next step after the CPSU congress. A Czech official in Paris expects Khrushchev to state his readiness for a four-power summit conference next month but to indicate willingness to hold a foreign ministers' meeting if the West prefers. One Soviet diplomat predicted a fourpower foreign ministers' meeting, with both Germanys participating. Another Soviet diplomat told a Western journalist in Paris that the USSR would propose a foreign ministers' conference. Ambassador Menshikov, before departing for the party congress, also emphasized privately to an American businessman that prospects for negotiations have improved. He described the current phase as a "fair weather period," which was conducive to "rewarding negotiations." Menshikov echoed the line taken by Gromyko in London and stated that the USSR would consider favorably any agreement which would guarantee against a loss of prestige for either side. He indicated that the USSR believed it was important for the US to invite some top Soviet leader to the US and inquired whether an invitation could be arranged for First Deputy Premier Kosygin. 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

17 Oct 61 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN Page 5