OUTGOING

SECRET

Chorge:

Classification

Commol:

ACTION TO:

SECSTATE, WASHINGTON 2991

Done: Dec 9, 1961

REPD INFO:

85 20

EF 5 PF 5

wher Agency Action:

Amembassy, BONN 339 USBER, BERLIN Amembassy, LONDON Amembassy, MOSCOW

DEPARTMENT OF STATE 533 Retain class

Withdrawal No. 2-13

STAT GOR DEU, DEU, DEU 172 IL With concurrence

PASS DEFENSE FOR NITZE

Declassify I in part and

FROM KOHLER.

Morning December 8 Senior Officials Meeting continued discussion substantive paper (BQD 55). Laloy noted bracketed language Part II, foot note (b) page 4 should be retained and Carstens reiterated FRG did not wish make declaration to Soviets FINLETTER DURBROWON non-production ABC weapons.

Laloy stated French submitting draft cover report for working group which could be considered afternoon session and suggested move into discussion Part III without attempting drafting changes until after consideration cover report. He then commented that language page 5 beginning "any understanding on access should not" was general and sought aimsize elucidate meaning.

Kohler stated if international autobahn authority achieved, there is no problem. Meaning language is that if present civil access arrangements continue, this is fine but no arrangement should be made which prevented three powers from assuming responsibility for civil access

STATE DEPT DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW	- To Ovedl	POATERS	mitt clah	limit any
© Exempted, EO 12958, 25X	SECRET			access
Declassify after	Classification		EETHINGCTHIN	FROM THIS COPY !
Declassify only with concurrence or			PRUMERIEU DE	less "enclassified"
Declassify Change/Classify to				
by K.CLARY-SOURNE Date: 1113160				-

TRUEGRAM

AND Fereign Service of the United States of America

OUTGOING

SECRET

Classification

Control: 423

PAGE -2-

Charge:

Date:

access arrangement to allied garrisons, but we had to maintain one means free and uncontrolled access. Language when intended set minimum condition beyond which West could not go. Carstens agreed, Laloy said he agreed with principle but legal basis allied access rights for civil population not as smanin sound as air Shuckburgh access mi legal basis. Eminimum young suggested certain rephrasing to point up preservation allied right re civil access.

Carstens commented that FRG believes ultimate responsibility for civil access rests with three allies and link between IZT and access in 1960 agreement designed strengthen position but with explicit FRG reservation that allied responsibility in no way precluded or removed thereby. In reply questions he said West German going Berlin merely presented identification card to East Germans although if he went to city in zone he had to get permit.

Laloy commented in case separate treaty, East Germans could institute passport and visa system. Kohler agreed this likely and effort might be made raise IZT negotiations and dealings to government level. This would raise serious problem requiring study by FRG as in principle it desirable civil travel be dealt with on local basis rather than with Soviets. Laloy added if

SECRET Classification separate
EFFRONCIAN FROM THIS COPY IS
PROMETRIN UNLESS "ENCLASSIFIED"

TELEGRAM

Foreign Service of the Buited States of America

OUTGOING

SECRET

Charge:

Chastification

Control: 423

PAGE -3-

111:

Date:

separate treaty signed pressure would also be on Western European countries to make trade arrangements on ministerial level. When Carstens replied that when treaty concluded, there would be similar problem for allied military traffic, Laloy noted this would be case if no prior understanding reached with Soviets but prace treaty could be instrument making distinction between allied military and civil access. Carstens argued that it was important therefore in negotiations keep in mind need protect civil as well as allied access. **EKKE** Shuckburgh commented that there can be for no prior arrangement unless there guarantees/ar civil and military access but he did not like concept allied "responsibility" for sivil access.

When Laloy asked if there agreement on international access authority paper (BQD-37) Carstens advanced FRG reservation on Board Governors, indicating preference for director general.

Kohler pointed out if Soviets agreed to authority, they would press for lowest common denominator "weak" director general while with Board Governors concept and East-West standoff, we could count on Austria, Sweden, switzerland keep authority on even hookeel. Carstens replied me/need go into details now but FRG objected East German membership and fact bloc representation SECRET could

Classification

REPRODUCTION FROM THES COPY IS

TRACTRAIN

Foreign Service of the United States of America

OUTGOING

SECRET

Classification

Control: 423

PAGE -4-

Charge:

Date:

展開電光電視

could impose brakes on authority. If Soviets accepted authority concept, they might accept good director general. Laloy thought Soviets would ask "enormous" price for authority and concept had three bad features:

- 1. GDR would be introduced on same basis other bloc states;
- 2. Having East and West Berlin participate in agreement acknowledged "split" of Berlin and advanced "free
- Mx city" idea; and
- 3. Doubt Soviets would accept "majority" vote.
 Project was attractive, doubted Soviets would buy it, it has
 problems and details should be studied.

Kohler stated project has been studied and problems considered. Very acceptance proposal would detract from GDR status which may make acceptance doubtful. But proposal reasonable and contains gesture to Soviets and improves west position if "break" comes on access. Carstens reiterated view proposal should be made to Soviets on "broad" lines and if Soviets seem interested, could then go into details.

Laloy then raised question how prior four power understanding on access would be enforced on GDR. Gromyko had implied it might

SECRET

Classification

REPROPORTION FROM THIS COPY IS PRODUSTIED DIRECS "ENCLASSIFIED"

TELEGIBANA

For America of the United States of America

OTTGOING

SECRET

Classification

Commol: 423

PAGE -5-

-

Charge:

Date:

be incorporated into separate treaty but if we signed agreement and it became part of treaty which we refused recognize, three powers would be in strange position.

Kohler said we must answer question how far we wish get superimpose involved in treaty but it would be up to Soviets to/supervises agreement on GDR. Carsters agreed stating Gromyko said treaty would "reflect" understanding. How Bloc fulfills its obligations to West internally is its problem. Laloy responded implications had be studied further and if letter like Bolz-Zorin was means "superimposing" understanding, this would be ideal.

Carstens thought first paragraph c) page 8 came too close to acquiescence on separate treaty and Laloy asked how three powers give guarantee on man non use force for reunification and border rectification. Kohler replied it should be informal four power declaration, initialled by Foreign Ministers or incorporated in four power communique or statement as which has could take note im public statement. Example Carstensalso stated FRG could make statement broadened cover Oder-Neisse but formulae objected to British formula in brackets FRG has several formulae to suggest.

On

SECRET

Classification

REPRODUCTION FROM THES COPY IS

TREBERAM

Foreign Sorvice of the Valted States of America

OUTGOING

SECRET

Charge:

Clevel/ication

Control: 423

PAGE -6-

Done:

On unilateral NATO-Warsaw Pact non-aggression declaration, NATO Warsaw Pact Laloy said Soviets looking for "contract" between FRE and ERM and asked if this explored with Soviets. Kohler replied negable but noted ERM-64 illustrative on this matter and way could be found make declaration without recognition GDR.

Carstenssaid FRG could accept parallel declarations but not repeat not treaty as latter would recognize GDR and give wrong impression real political situation.

On formulae re "respect GDR authority" Carstens pressed for formula restricted to rules and regulations re access and comwith patible/free access. FRG did not wish formula implying Western respect "REMARKE for "cruel" rules and regulations Ulbricht regime in other fields.

Shuckburgh argued Lord Home very interested in/Exemple which may be key element in negotiations. Laloy argued it not matter formula but substance as Gromyko wants GDR sovereignty accepted.

Mohler pointed out formulae/suggestive, that "recognition sovereignty" had be avoided, and that nature understanding reached with Soviets might dictate language. It agreed Carstens would suggest FRG formulae afternoon meeting.

GAVIN

EUR:GER: IFPercival: GEL SECRET

Authenticated by: Foy D. Kohler

PROPERTY HOW THE COPY IS
PROPERTY HELESS TRULKSHIPP

Classification