Approved for Release CIA Historical Collections Division AR 70-14 10CT2013

| Secret | |
|--------|--|
| | |

| ~ . | ~ . | _ | |
|-----|--------|-------|-------|
| | 1 | | |
| | HILLAN | ra ka | anart |
| | 112611 | | eport |
| | | | |

DCI Interagency Balkan Task Force

12 June 1995

Bosnian Serb Air Defense Forces

While some Bosnian Serb leaders are claiming they will have complete command of their airspace in the near future, Bosnian Serb air defense forces probably will maintain a defensive posture—albeit at a heightened level of readiness—and not engage NATO aircraft except in situations where NATO aircraft are perceived as posing a direct threat to their positions, or as retaliation for other NATO actions in country. Nevertheless, man-portable SAMs and AAA almost certainly will harass NATO aircraft on a more frequent basis. The Bosnian Serbs have made their point that they are capable of shooting down a NATO aircraft over Bosnia, but they probably do not wish to risk provoking destruction of their air defense equipment in further engagements with NATO aircraft.

The Air Defense System

The Intelligence Community's understanding of the Bosnian Serb air defense network is fair. The primary shortfall is our inability to track consistently the Serbs' three batteries of mobile, medium-range SA-6 missiles. These systems move frequently and are capable of deployment throughout Bosnian Serb-held territory. The Bosnian Serbs are capable of tracking NATO aircraft anywhere over Bosnia and of passing this information to missile and air defense artillery units. Bosnian Serb air defenses include several SA-2 and SA-6 surface-to-air missile batteries based in the vicinity of Banja Luka. Several short-range SA-9 systems and an unknown number of portable SAM systems such as the SA-7 are also in their inventory. These units are complemented by a large number of short-range antiaircraft artillery units. All are capable of rapid movement to the vicinity of high-interest areas, such as the Bihac enclave and Tuzla. The Bosnian Serbs routinely move their missiles and guns to make NATO targeting difficult. They are also well-versed in camouflage, concealment and deception.

| This memorandum was prepared by Force. Comments and queries are welcome and may be Interagency Balkan Task Force. | DCI Interagency Balkan Task directed to Norm Schindler, Chief, DCI | |
|---|---|--|
| | Searct | |

| Secret |
|--------|
|--------|

Early Warning

The Bosnian Serbs maintain at least two, possibly three, early warning radar sites in Bosnia. The two known sites are located at Lisina in the vicinity of Banja Luka and at Jahorina mountain, south of Sarajevo. There is also an early warning site at Bihac, but this may be Krajina Serb. Even so, it probably feeds information into the Bosnian Serb system. All three are equipped with Western Marconi air-traffic-control and height-finder radars capable of providing information on aircraft operating over the country. This equipment is also capable of interrogating IFF mode 3. These systems provide complete, redundant coverage over all of Bosnia.

ŞA-2

The SA-2 system is used primarily for defense of strategic targets such as Banja Luka, but occasionally is deployed to provide coverage of the Bihac enclave. We believe most of the fixed SA-2 sites within Bosnia have been identified. These systems can, however, operate from temporary sites if required.

-Secret

| | We believe that the Serbs currently have four SA-2 bat of 24 launchers. No fixed sites currently contain a cor 2 equipmentincluding launchers and fire-control rada Serbs, however, have demonstrated the capability to operational in a matter of hours. They also routinely dequipment at sites to make identifying operational batt | nplete set of SA- irs. The Bosnian make a site leploy dummy |
|--|---|--|
| | The one battalion of Serb SA-3s is unlikely to become the immediate future. No activity by this unit has been over a year. | |
| SA-6 and SA- | .9 | , |
| missiles. Mob points, but ma | derbs have three batteries of SA-6 and four batteries of Sa-6 and four batteries of Sa-6 systems-particularly the SA-6are deployed primarity be used to provide limited area coverage. The shortned to support army unitsfour were recently spotted need to support army unitsfour were | ily to protect key range SA-9s are |
| | SA-6s have been noted regularly in the vicinity of Banj Pijesak, and around the Bihac enclave. One battery wa the Croatian border during Zagreb's recent conquest of West. A SA-6 battery also was noted near Tuzla in Fé reports of cargo aircraft using the airfield. | s reported near UN Sector |
| | Locating systems such as the SA-6 is difficult because and ability to operate from unprepared sites. The first i SA-6 deployment often comes only when they operate acquisition/target tracking radar. The battery deployed Petrovac was not detected until immediately before the on the US F-16 aircraft. | ndication of an their I to Bosanska |
| | a semi-proficient crew c track and engage a target within the STRAIGHT FLUS | |
| | envelope in approximately 60 seconds. | |
| Man-portable | SAMs | |
| systemscurre small units with throughout the | portable, shoulder-fired missilesSA-7/14/16 and poss ntly in the Bosnian Serb inventory is unknown. There an these systems assigned to most of the larger army unit country. They are responsible for most of the missile at to dateincluding the destruction of a British Sea Harr | re probably s operating attacks on |
| | 3 | |
| | | 0 |

| Secret | 7 |
|--------|---|
| | / |

| There is no definitive indicator, beyond the use of the target acquisition/tracking radars of the various weapons systems to show imminent hostile intent/action by the Bosnian Serb air defense forces. In the case of the SA-6, the use of the target illumination radar should be viewed as a hostile action as the missile can be launched before the illuminator is active. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| In the event of a NATO air campaign or some other situation where Bosnian Serb air |
| defense forces make a concerted effort to bring down NATO aircraft, we probably will see heightened activity that could provide key indicators that |
| engagements are imminent |
| |
| |
| Bosnian Serb Intentions |
| Recent press statements by the Bosnian Serb leadersprimarily Radovan Karadzic-indicate that the Serbs intend to reestablish full sovereignty over all of its territory and airspace. They would also seek to ban all unauthorized flights in their airspace. The unauthorized flights probably include NATO DENY FLIGHT patrols and UN resupply flights not approved by the Bosnian Serb leadership. Karadzic has also commented that the NATO overflights are illegal and criminal. This is probably more political rhetoric than a claim of full control over Bosnian Serb airspace. The Bosnian Serbs do not have the forces to completely control their airspacebut they can make flying through it dangerous. |
| Authorization for use of the radar-guided SAMsthe Bosnian Serbs' most effective systemprobably comes from the highest levels of the Bosnian Serb political and military structures and, short of declared hostilities or further airstrikes, will probably not be used due to the risks involved with such an engagement, particularly to the SAM system employed. |
| |
| 4 |

Secret

- The rules-of-engagement (ROE) for air defense forces--particularly the radar-guided SAMs--will probably return to their normal, defensive posture, although at heightened readiness levels. The shootdown of the F-16 probably does not represent a permanent change in the ROE--it was a reaction to the recent airstrikes. Indiscriminate fire by AAA and manpads can be encountered on any mission over Bosnia, as has been the case for the entire time of the DENY FLIGHT mission; however, other NATO actions such as airstrikes or aerial resupply of the enclaves would provoke the ROE to become more aggressive--as was the case after the NATO airstrikes over Gorazde in April 1994, Udbina in November 1994, and Pale in May 1995.
- Man-portable (manpad) and infra-red guided SAMs and AAA--the weapons systems most numerous in Bosnia--will still to be fired at NATO aircraft without provocation. These weapons systems have regularly targeted and fired on NATO and UN aircraft. Several NATO aircraft have received fire attributable to these weapons. In April 1994, a British Sea Harrier was shot down in the vicinity of Gorazde by a manpad. In December 1994, a French Etendard was hit by an IRguided SAM, but returned to ship. Just prior to the downing of the Floon 2 June, IR SAMs reportedly were fired on 1 and 2 June at NATO aircraft operating in the vicinity of Sarajevo. AAA fire has been received on numerous occasions.