

the poem without giving it a second thought. Later it was discovered that the poem contained a nationalist slogan which could be read by reading the first letters of each line down. Source said that he had not heard of this incident before, and it seemed very strange to him. Subject then went into a long tirade about the fact that there is no censorship of the press in the USSR, and that this incident proves it. He continued by saying that the emigration cannot be trusted, no further poetry that is received will be published, and that this was an act of hooliganism. Source asked if such a ban will be put on poets like KOSACH and others from the so-called "progressive" Ukrainian writers and poets. Subject did not answer, saying he did not know.

4. Source gave Subject the following materials : "Abstract Paintings" by Suepher, "Novi Poesii 6/64", "Virshi Dlya Mexico" by Boychuk, postcard by E. Kosak "Cy Zatsvyly Lady Billi", Hinzdowsky woodcut "Cabbage", from invitation to exhibit on 7 March 1960 , 1961. Hutsaliuk paintings from invitations to exhibits in 1960, 1964, 1965 (2 copies). Journal "Iys Hlykta" from September 1965. (Issue where poem "Credo of a Fanatical Internationalist" is published) Subject took all materials gladly.

5. Subject was slightly aware of the fact that REBET and BANDERA were killed, but was not sure by whom. When Source explained that the killer, Stashinsky later defected and told of his crime, Subject was non-committal. Source continued by asking about the present status of the IGI in Ukraine, and the reaction of the people towards them. Subject explained that the situation is very different now with the removal of Borja and the old NKVD. Police power

has been cut to a great extent. Source remarked that the fact that there still is a great deal of servilism among Ukrainians, including officers of the KGB, and that too many policemen are only too willing to turn in their own kind for such crimes as nationalism. Subject was quiet on this point and only nodded his head.

6. During dinner at the Chinese restaurant nothing politically interesting was said. Vytvytsky and Hanushevskia asked about student life in Ukraine, about Ukraine's place in the USSR, diplomatic relations between the west and the Ukrainian SSR. Subject stuck strictly to the party line, saying that the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the USSR takes care of the interests of the Ukrainian SSR, that there are Ukrainians employed in the USSR Ministry of Culture who care for their interests (Ukraine's). Subject added that it would be too costly for Ukraine to have embassies and consulates around the world.