CS COPY

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.

FORM 10-57 **53** (40)

#1 Attachment to EGOA 6507 25 September 1959

Operational/REDWOOD/AELICTOR

Subject: AELICTOR/1

- 1. Subject was afforded a technical interview on 22 Sontember 1959 at the request of the case officer, Subject was afforded a previous interview on 25 August 1959 (see EGOA 6316, dated 28 August 1959).
- 2. Type of Case:
 (a) See EGOA 6316.
 - (b) The purpose of the above interview was to resolve the reactions which occurred during the previous test.
 - (c) The interview was conducted in the Lithuanian language by the undersigned.
- 3. The following relevant questions were asked during the interview conducted on 22 September 1959:

a. PHASE I

- 1. Is your name _____? Answer: Yes.
- Juring 1940-1941 were you interrogated by the Russians? Answer: No.
 During the pretechnical discussion of the above question Subject stated the following:
 - (a) The Cooperative, where Subject was employed as an Inspector, held a New Year's Eve Party in 1940-41. Several young Russian Officers came to the party. Subject, during a conversation with one of the young Russians, used the word "Kacap". The Russian objected and started a quarrel. Subject told the Russian that Subject's Russian language was better than that of the Russian Officer and it was possible that he had misum erstood him.
 - (b) After the first unsuccessful attempt by the Russians to arrest Subject when he jumped through the window and exaped from his apartment, Subject went to Siauliai County where he stayed with his relatives for approximately ten days. During the stay Subject telephoned his wife in Kaunas and asked her if it was safe to return. Subject's wife told him that it was safe so Subject returned to Kaunas.
 - (c) (See paragraph 4 (b) of EGOA 6316). When the Russians arrived the second time allegedly to arrest the Subject, one of the Russians knocked on the door and stated that they had a telegram to deliver to Subject. Subject at that time was in his apartment but he did not answer, however,

CS CORY

Encl 1

#1 Attachment to EGUA 6507 25 September 1959

either Subject's wife or his daughter told the Russian to insert the telegram in the mail slot of the apartment door. No telegram was in the mail slot and the Russians left.

- (d) Later Subject was dismissed from his position as Inspector at the Cooperative. He petitioned the Trade Union Organization to be reimbursed for his back pay and for the loss of the position. He was told by a member of the Committee that his petition was denied because he was an emeny of the State.
- 4. Were you born 4 September 1899? Answer: Yes.
- During 1940-41 have you signed an agreement to work for the Soviet Intelligence Service? Answer: No.
- 7. Have you ever worked for Soviet Intelligence Service? Answer: No.
- Are you hiding from us any facts about your contact with Identity A?
 Answer: No.

During a pretechnical discussion about the above question, Subject was asked why he was disturbed when he was asked this question during the previous interview. Subject stated that he went to see Identity A on orders of his _____ Case__ Officer, however, he was very reluctant and apprehensive about the whole meeting.

10. Did you tell us about all the persons to whom you told about your contact with the Identity B? Answer: Yes.

(b) PHASE II:

huis

11. Were there any incidents in your service in the German Army that you do not want to tell us about? Answer: No.

During the pretechnical discussion of the above question, Subject stated the following:

(a) While serving with a Unit which was composed of men from Asiatic Russians, Subject saw many times where the Asiatic Russians were tied to trees and beaten as a punishment. Subject claims that he objected to his superiors on the use of such medieval methods of punishment. One of the Asiatic Russians who served in the same Unit as Subject had his home about 80 kilometers from the place where the Unit was situated. The Asiatic Russian apparently went home without permission of the authorities. After apprehension, Subject's superior, a Lieutenant, wanted to kill him. Subject strenuously objected to this but the Lieutenant did not listen to him

Secot

#1 Attachment to EGUA 6507 25 September 1959

and ordered the execution of the above Russian. The above facts were told to the undersigned during the discussion of the above questions. Subject also told the Case Officer the previous day about it.

- (b) While Subject was stationed in Latvia, a Latvian SS Officer came to Subject's Unit Headquarters and was highly displeased because there were no officers in Headquarters during duty hours. Subject was told by his superior officer to go and slap the Latvian SS officer down, however, Subject did not do it.
- 13. Since the end of the war did you receive an offer to work for the West German Intelligence Service? Answer: No.

During the pretechnical discussion of the above question, Subject admitted the following:

Subject received a letter from Johann Mueller in which Mueller wrote that he had prepared and sent to Blank's office a dissertation on how to organize a Counter Intelligence Service in the German Army. Later on in another letter to Subject, hueller wrote that if his plan is accepted and he is hired, then he will try to get Subject into the Counter Intelligence Service. Subject denied any further correspondence with Mueller on the above subject matter. Subject also stated that the last time he saw Mueller was in 1953.

After the above admission, the question was changed as follows:

Since the end of the war did you receive an official offer to work for the West German Intelligence Service? Answer: No.

- 15. Do you have now any contact with any western intelligence service that you did not tell us about? Answer: No.
- 16. Did you tell us the complete truth about your correspondence with Johann uncler? Answer: Yes.

Subject advised that Subject's and Rueller's Con anding Officer, Lt. Col. fnu Vistuba was captured at he end of the war by the Russians in Rungary. For sometime Vistuba was secoes ful in pusing as an equinary officer in the P/3 Comps, however, later on his chauffeur denounced nim to the Russians as a former Abwehr officer. During Vistuba's Interrogation in 1954 in Lieulaka Prison, hoscow, he was asked directly by the Russian Interrogation about rueller and the Subject. The above information was written by Vistuba to rueller after Vistuba returned to West

#1 Attachment to EGOA 6507 25 September 1959

Germany in 1957. Rueller sent Vistuba's original letter to Subject to read. Subject stated also that Rueller, in one of his letters, had written to Subject that he was attempting to establish contact with Identity B.

Conclusions:

Subject was more nervous during the present interview than during the interview of 25 August 1959. The analysis of the graphs indicates that Subject consistently reacted to questions Nos. 3, 9, 15 and 16. It is recommended that Subject be reinterrogated on the above reactions.

DISSEMINATION OF ANY PORTION OF THIS REPORT MUST BE VERBATIM.

Secret

#2 Attachment to EGOA 6507 25 September 1959

Identity A is Zaytsev
B is Americans

Samo!