

Directorate of Intelligence

-Top Secret

25**X**1

25X1

Near East and South Asia Review

Supplement 27 February 1987

6 MM R. CAT

Top Secret

NESA NESAR 87-006C 27 February 1987

25X1

Copy 198

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/04 : CIA-RDP05S02029R000300890002-5



	_ <u>T</u>	op Secret
	Near East and South Asia Review	
	Supplement	
	27 February 1987	Page
Articles	Israel: Debating Relations With South Africa	1
	subject of an increasingly bitter domestic debate because of heightened US and international condemnation of aparthe mounting pressure to restrict strategic trade with Pretoria. Israeli policymakers advocate a wait-and-see policy.	eid and
	Afghanistan: The War Against the Garrisons	5
	The Afghan resistance has mounted prolonged sieges again	nst some
	regime garrisons—primarily in eastern Afghanistan—with success. The insurgent sieges have seldom caused significan casualties or damage, and relatively few aircraft have been down even though most garrisons depend heavily on air res	1 limited nt 1 shot

Top Secret	25X1
NESA NESAR 87-006C	25X1
27 February 1987	20/11

Reverse Blank

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/04 : CIA-RDP05S02029R000300890002-5

i

Top Secret

25**X**1

25X1

25X1

Near East and South Asia Review	
Articles	
Israel: Debating Relations With South Africa]
Israel's longstanding, strong ties to South Africa have become the subject of an increasingly bitter domestic debate because of heightened US and international condemnation of apartheid and mounting pressure to restrict strategic trade with Pretoria. Some Israelis argue that ties should be cut back now to avoid growing friction with Washington and key West European states. Most Israeli policymakers, however,	between the two countries. In late January, Beilin admitted to US Embassy officials that he could not muster the necessary political support to overturn current Israeli policy toward Pretoria. Israeli proponents of close ties to South Africa argue that lucrative economic benefits and concern for the well- being of the Jewish community there militate against a cutback in the relationship.
advocate a wait-and-see policy, arguing that calls for cutting ties to Pretoria are premature. Nevertheless, Israeli leaders are acutely sensitive to US concerns and are particularly interested in a US study to be presented to Congress about the international arms trade with South Africa. The Israeli Foreign Ministry is particularly worried that the study will single out Israel as a major sanction violator, and this could lead to growing friction in its	The US Embassy in Tel Aviv reports that Prime Minister Shamir, Foreign Minister Peres, and Defense Minister Rabin—who was instrumental in establishing the close security links that now exist between Tel Aviv and Pretoria—recently decided to leave relations largely intact. Peres told US officials that Israel was searching for ways to extricate itself from past commitments and other ties. He admitted, however, that change would not be easy to agree upon, and specific actions would be difficult to
relations with Washington. The Internal Debate An increasingly bitter debate has emerged within (srael over its policy toward South Africa. Foreign	implement. The recent veto of proposed UN sanctions against South Africa by the United States and the United Kingdom may have bolstered Israel's decision not to take unilateral action soon.
Ministry Director General Yossi Beilin has become the leading advocate of a broad reduction in Israel's ties to Pretoria. Beilin is concerned about the US report and its possibly negative impact on US-Israeli ties. He also argues that Israel has a moral obligation to demonstrate its repugnance to apartheid. He believes Israel should significantly reduce ties now before US pressure forces a major policy shift.	Nevertheless, Shamir, Peres, and Rabin believe Israel should take its cue from the United States and other Western democracies. They probably recognize that mounting US and international pressure against South Africa leaves Tel Aviv no choice but to put distance between itself and Pretoria.
Beilin, however, has been unable to garner enough support to implement this strategy—largely because of opposition from Israeli military leaders. Tellingly,	Longstanding Lucrative Ties Israeli–South African ties have grown over the past generation to such an extent that they form a complex economic, military, and cultural network. South

he failed to prevent Israel's Tourism Minister

Avraham Sharir from traveling to South Africa in early February on a mission to increase tourist links

1

Africa's 120,000-strong Jewish community, often

Top Secret

27 February 1987

NESA NESAR 87-006C

Top Secret	
•	i leaders as the cornerstone of the cornerstone of the corner strong ties to Pretoria, inve

Defense Minister Rabin probably sees Israel's relationship with South Africa as securing an		25X1
important market for defense-related products. He	But Overall Trade Still Strong	
forged a strong military assistance relationship with	Despite this evidence of decreased interaction,	
Pretoria shortly after the 1973 Arab-Israeli war.	Israeli–South African commercial ties remain strong.	
Israeli sales to South Africa in the mid-1970s were	Israel continues to import South African coal,	
extensive, including patrol boats, missiles, drones, and	aluminum, steel, copper, diamonds, textiles, and	
large quantities of small arms and ammunition.	agricultural equipment.	25X1
		25X1
Perhaps even more important, Israeli assistance and		
technology have been crucial to South Africa's		
success in developing and modifying a number of		
weapon systems. Israeli-supplied technology— unavailable from other sources—enabled South		
Africa to modernize its fleet of Chieftain tanks and to		
upgrade its Mirage fighter aircraft.		25 X 1
		2571
These exports are equally important for Israel. Rapid		
growth in recent years has forced Israel's defense		
industries to seek outside markets. The Israel Defense		
Forces no longer purchase enough goods to keep the		
defense sector profitable. In addition, the National		
Unity government's austerity program has provided		
less money for research and development, causing the		
defense firms to look elsewhere for funding. Few		
markets are available to Israeli products— particularly major defense-related items—and states		
like South Africa, willing to do business with Tel		
Aviv, are highly valued. South African firms also are		
ideal partners for joint ventures. They often have		
better financial backing than their Israeli		
counterparts, meet Israel's unsually stringent security		
requirements, and are considered by Israel to be		
technologically capable.		$25\overline{X}1^{1}$
Tactical Moves To Reduce Ties		
		د
		25X1

Top Secret

2

25X1

25X1

25X1

Top Secret

25X1

	security. Recent reductions in Israeli travel to South Africa and fewer contacts in military trade suggest that Israel has begun implementing both strategies.	25X1 25X1
	The debate within Israel is likely to continue to be dominated by military-industrial proponents of the relationship, who by far outnumber foreign affairs experts like Beilin who want to reduce ties. Until Tel Aviv's South Africa connection begins to detract from other important Israeli interests—such as relations with the United States and Western Europe or arms	25X1 25X1
South African technicians and scientists probably are gaining expertise in avionics and aircraft manufacture	sales—Israel is unlikely to change its policies significantly.	25X1
by participating in Israel's Lavi fighter program, according to		25X1 25X1
		25 X 1
		25X1
Prospects		25X1

Tel Aviv almost certainly will attempt to preserve existing economic and military links by reducing the visibility of these ties and by improving already tight

Reverse Blank

Top Secret

25X1

Top Secret

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

0514

Afghanistan: The Wa	•
Against the Garrisons	

The Afghan resistance has mounted prolonged sieges against some regime garrisons-primarily in eastern Afghanistan-with limited success. The garrisons range in size from several hundred to 3,000 troops, have fortified artillery and infantry positions, and are protected by extensive minefields. Typically, resistance forces-numbering between 500 and 2,000 insurgents-attempt to isolate the post from ground and aerial resupply. The insurgents mount artillery and mortar attacks, with occasional assaults against isolated outposts. The insurgent sieges have seldom caused significant casualties or damage, and relatively few aircraft have been shot down even though most garrisons depend heavily on air resupply.

Barikowt: A Typical Insurgent Siege

The nearly three-year-old blockade of the regime garrison at Barikowt in Konarha Province is typical of a prolonged insurgent siege. The 55th Infantry Regiment—with about 500 troops—subordinate to the 9th Infantry Division is garrisoned at Barikowt in the deep, narrow Konar Valley near the Pakistani border. The garrison was established in the early 1980s, probably to block insurgent infiltration routes from Pakistan. Insurgents-mostly from the Hezbi-Islami (Gulbuddin) group-quickly surrounded the post,

Barikowt has pr regime efforts to

Pluses and Minuses

In our view, the siege of Barikowt points up the advantages and disadvantages to the resistance of such tactics. On the plus side, the resistance has kept the garrison bottled up and prevented it from blocking insurgent supply lines. Kabul, which clearly wants to keep the garrison open if only to avoid the humiliation of a retreat, is forced to devote considerable resources to resupply and reinforce the post.

To maintain the siege, the resistance uses significant amounts of manpower that might be employed more productively in other areas or in activities such as convoy attacks. In addition, substantial amounts of ammunition are consumed in attacks that probably cause few casualties or damage.

A more aggressive prosecution of sieges involving direct attacks aimed at capturing large posts would provide political and military payoffs for the insurgents, but serious risks are involved. Capture of a major regime post such as Barikowt or Khowst would be a major boost to insurgent morale and would provide a significant public relations victory. Direct attacks on the garrisons, however, would probably result in high casualties because of the extensive minefields and superior firepower of regime garrisons. Even if the guerrillas could seize a garrison, we

and pressure on	believe	25X1
prompted periodic strenous Soviet and	that any	25X1
to prevent the garrison's destruction.	attempt to hold the captured post would be a serious mistake, allowing the Soviets to use their control of the air to maximize their firepower advantage and	25 X 1
	cause high insurgent casualties.	25 X 1
	Masood's Approach	25X1
	Before the assault, resistance forces	25X1 25X1
	performed reconnaissance and intelligence missions to	2571

27 February 1987

25X1

Top Secret

Resistance-Surrounded Garrisons

the following regime garrisons are surrounded by the resistance:

- The 55th Infantry Regiment at **Barikowt** in Konarha Province, manned by several hundred regime troops, has been under heavy insurgent pressure for three years. We believe it depends totally on aerial resupply.
- The 25th Infantry Division at Khowst in Paktia Province numbers from 3,500 to 7,000 troops and also depends totally on aerial resupply. This unit is frequently under insurgent attack.
- Several regime units in northern Paktia Province are under constant pressure and depend mostly on aerial resupply, although some truck convoys have succeeded in reaching them. These units are the 8th Border Brigade at Hesarke Pain, with approximately 250 to 500 men, and the Ali Kheyl garrison, containing two infantry regiments of the 12th Infantry Division from Gardeyz, with a combined strength of about 750 to 1,000 troops.

Insurgent forces frequently initiate harassing artillery and mortar attacks against several Soviet and regime garrisons in the Panjsher Valley that also depend to some extent on aerial resupply. These garrisons are not under constant pressure. They include: • Regime Infantry Regiment at Peshghowr. • Regime Infantry Unit at Tahana.

• Regime Infantry Regiment at Barak.

regime regiment.

- Afghan Infantry Regiment at Bazarak.
- Soviet Motorized Rifle Regiment at Rokha.

25X1

There are two iso	lated regime/Soviet garrison areas	
in the Hazarehja	t area that,	25 X 1
appear t	o be surrounded and under	25X1
occasional insurg	ent pressure. They are:	
• Chakhcharan A	irfield in Ghowr Province, which	
houses a reinfo	rced motorized rifle battalion and a	
battalion-size r	egime unit.	
• Bamian Airfield	in Bamian Province, which	
contains two Sc	wiet airborne companies and a	

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

identify the garrison's minefields and weak points. Detailed planning preceded the operation, and the insurgents received careful training. The garrison was overrun in a few days. Insurgent forces quickly abandoned the post after capturing large amounts of military equipment and supplies. The speed of the nighttime assault, the absence of Soviet advisers at Farkhar, and the inflexible regime command and control structure prevented air support from aiding the garrison.

Impact of Air Defense Weapons

We believe the air defense equipment now used by insurgents around major besieged garrisons makes resupply difficult but not impossible.

The acquisition of improved air defense equipmentespecially advanced surface-to-air missiles-by insurgents engaged in a siege would enable them to tighten their grip on regime garrisons and make resupply efforts more costly. Sophisticated surface-toair missiles enable the resistance to retain its mobility and give it the capability to engage aircraft.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Top Secret

Top Secret

25**X**1

Outlook

Insurgent successes against Farkhar and Nahrin as well as numerous recent successful assaults against battalion-size regime posts in Nangarhar, Paktia, and Qandahar Provinces suggest that parts of the resistance may be moving away from prolonged sieges toward attacks aimed at quickly overrunning smaller posts, seizing equipment, and withdrawing. These efforts offer the highest payoff for the guerrillas, in our view, enabling them most easily to demonstrate the weaknesses of Kabul's army, replenish their stocks with captured equipment, reduce regime threats to insurgent base camps and supply routes, and boost resistance morale.

Insurgent forces have successfully assaulted regime border battalions—which usually number 100 to 250 men—at posts in Nangarhar and Qandahar Provinces, and there are numerous similar targets throughout northern Afghanistan, especially in Takhar and Badakhshan Provinces. Most of these posts are isolated in mountainous terrain, at least partly dependent on aerial resupply, and vulnerable to insurgent pressure. These units include the border battalions located throughout the eastern, southern, and western borders as well as several regime garrisons in Badakhshan Province. 25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

7

	25		• •			 `op Secr	
۰. ۰. ۰.							
					o		

Top Secret

ł