Declassifie	ed in Part -	Sanitized	Сору Ар	proved fo	r Release 2	2012/04/ <i>*</i>	I2 : CIA-RE)P10-001(05R000202120001	1-9
1500										
			CEN		NTELLIG			Y	50X1-HUM	
									12 July 1976	
	MEMORAN	DUM FOR:	The	Directo	r of Cent	ral Int	elligence			
	FROM	:		liam W. W	Wells ctor for (Operati	ons			
	SUBJECT	:	MIL] Init	ITARY THO	OUGHT (USS	SR): Thion	ne			*
	initial forces. strike large unantisubractions should lappeared	naval op The rev submarine nits cann marine fo are tigh be coordi d in Issu Because t should s. For e	peration viewer des and mot be corces ago that in the left was a left with the left was a left with the left was a left w	an earling and the lisagrees uissile-combined gainst miderwoven. Through a (77) for urce of led on a	e nature of with the carrying a into one issile sub in additional single of 1964 this reportant this reportant in the carrict nature of the carrict of the carrict of the carrict of the carriet of the carries of the carries of the carries of the carrying and the carries of the carrying and the carrying	e concept concept is ead-to-	erming the actions of against on with a see believe and plan.	e make-uf the pathat act carrier actions of serts that act This actions of serts that act tha	rticipating ions of strike of at these these forces article	50x1-H
	_				W+ 1 1	iam W.	Wollo			
					1111	Tan W.	CTT2			
				Pag	ge 1 of 9	Pages			50X1-HUM	
					TOP SECR	ET				

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/04/12 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000202120001-9

TOP-SECRET	
Distribution:	
The Director of Central Intelligence	
The Joint Chiefs of Staff	
The Director, Defense Intelligence Agency	
Director of Naval Intelligence Department of the Navy	
The Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence U. S. Air Force	
Director, National Security Agency	
Deputy Director of Central Intelligence	
Deputy Director for Intelligence	
Deputy Director for Science and Technology	
Deputy to the Director of Central Intelligence for National Intelligence Officers	
Director of Strategic Research	
Director of Weapons Intelligence	

50X1-HUM

Page 2 of 9 Pages

TOP SECRET

,,,,,,,		
ALELLI TELLI	IGEN CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
18 A	Intelligence Information Special Report	
Man &		
//////		
	50X1-HU	M
COUNTRY	MCCD	
CONTRI	USSR	
NFO.	DATE 12 July 1976	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Early 1964	
	SUBJECT	
	MILITARY THOUGHT (USSR): The Initial Naval Operation	
l		
\		
OURCE -	Documentary	
OURCE	Documentary	
•	Documentary Summary:	
•	Summary:	
	Summary: The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of	
:	Summary: The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military	
1	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyey.	
; ;	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the	
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the	
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought". The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying	
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought". The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one	
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he	
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he believes that these forces should be coordinated through a single concept	
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he	
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he believes that these forces should be coordinated through a single concept	50X1-
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he believes that these forces should be coordinated through a single concept and plan. Comment:	,
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought''. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he believes that these forces should be coordinated through a single concept and plan. Comment: Comment: The SECRET version of Military Thought was published three times	,
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he believes that these forces should be coordinated through a single concept and plan. Comment: The SECRET version of Military Thought was published three times annually and was distributed down to the level of division commander. It reportedly ceased publication at the end of 1970. The Russian-language 50X1-HU	М
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he believes that these forces should be coordinated through a single concept and plan. Comment: The SECRET version of Military Thought was published three times annually and was distributed down to the level of division commander. It reportedly ceased publication at the end of 1970. The Russian-language 50X1-HU version of this article was disseminated	М
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he believes that these forces should be coordinated through a single concept and plan. Comment: The SECRET version of Military Thought was published three times annually and was distributed down to the level of division commander. It reportedly ceased publication at the end of 1970. The Russian-language 50X1-HU	М
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he believes that these forces should be coordinated through a single concept and plan. Comment: The SECRET version of Military Thought was published three times annually and was distributed down to the level of division commander. It reportedly ceased publication at the end of 1970. The Russian-language 50X1-HU version of this article was disseminated	М
	The following report is a translation from Russian of an article which appeared in Issue No. 1 (77) for 1964 of the SECRET USSR Ministry of Defense publication Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought'. The author of this article is Captain First Rank I. Ignatyev. This article is a review of an article by Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev concerning the make-up of the initial naval operation and the nature of the actions of the participating forces. The reviewer disagrees with the author's view that actions of strike submarines and missile-carrying aircraft against carrier strike large units cannot be combined into one operation with actions of antisubmarine forces against missile submarines. He asserts that these actions are tightly interwoven. In addition, he believes that these forces should be coordinated through a single concept and plan. Comment: The SECRET version of Military Thought was published three times annually and was distributed down to the level of division commander. It reportedly ceased publication at the end of 1970. The Russian-language 50X1-HU version of this article was disseminated	М

antisubmarine forces and enemy submarines is also a part of the make-up of the initial naval operation.

Combating missile submarines is one of the navy's basic tasks, the carrying out of which from the very beginning of the war will play a very important role in undermining the nuclear might of the enemy navy and in protecting our country's installations against missile/nuclear strikes from the sea. Therefore all the actions of our navy's forces at the beginning of a war cannot be examined separately, and combat with carrier strike large units should not be set apart from the search for and destruction of missile submarines and the delivery of strikes by our missile submarines against enemy shore installations. We consider that all these types of actions have a fundamental importance and since they, specifically, lead directly to the achievement of the basic goals of combat actions at sea in the initial period of war, they must be examined as one process of the navy's combat activity. Let us take, for example, combat with enemy submarines.

50X1-HUM

*Collection of Articles of the Journal 'Military Thought', No. 1 (68), 1963.

			TOP SECRE	Γ			
					Pa	age 5 of	9 Page:
what r make i at the their equipm strike submar common within G strike missil the su	is against carries been said in the necessary for present level purpose but all ent, from the large units a ines and action, which necesses the framework enerally, this forces of an e/nuclear stribs equent action aturally, in orrier strike 1	t can be add r the Navy t of technica so in the co forces desig nd other sur ns against c itates exami of one oper above all i enemy fleet kes from the ns of our for rder to achie arge units an	led that it to have special developments amposition nated to so face ships arrier straining them ation. s the goal are direct sea and e rces in the eve this goal and missile	is these recial antisonent are disonent are disonent are disonent are disonert are disonert are	very diffubmarine stinct, rmament destro, combatumits had parable tions to frustrativorable eaters.	ferences e forces not only and comi by carries with we much unity ar destroy ing its condition destruct	s which which, y in bat er in nd y the ons for
shorte Rank Y time o locati regula survei continu destro	rrier strike 1 st possible time. Mamayev jus f peace not on on and nature orly watched. Ilance of the mously conducted in git. And seet make up one	arge units and after the the points of the action as the situation of the forces of reconnaiss this is possible.	nd missile onset of ut. It is ubmarines, ns of stri tion becom s of the e sance and	combat actifor this a but, to a ke aircraft es more comnemy fleet pursuit by	s is nections, as reason to lesser carrie plex, to should forces	essary i Captain hat even degree t rs, shou his develop	in the in First in in the into

There also is immediate concern as to the coordinated actions of all the forces of the fleet which conduct combat with enemy carrier strike large units and submarines. We have no right to say that the connection between the actions of our forces against aircraft carriers and missile submarines is to be confined only to the fact that during these actions we are destroying various carriers of enemy missile/nuclear weapons. This connection has a more profound nature. Thus, the deployment of the major large units of our submarines and antisubmarine forces for participation in combat actions actually will be carried out from the same basing areas, in strictly coordinated succession, and along the same or adjacent zones of routes, and, what is most important for us, with the indispensable mutual protection of deploying forces from the possible sudden strikes of the enemy who had intended even before the beginning of the war to bring his

TOP SECRET 50X1-HUM

Declassified in Part -	Sanitized Copy Approved for	r Release 2012/04/12	: CIA-RDP10-00105R000202120	0001-9

TOP-SECRET	
	4, 380

Page 6 550X1-HUMes

own antisubmarine forces into the paths of deployment of our submarine forces.

While destroying enemy submarines, our antisubmarine forces should ensure that our submarines break through to areas of actions against the carrier strike large units, whereas the submarines and missile-carrying aviation destroying the aircraft carriers with their strike and antisubmarine aviation, as well as our other surface ships, will be ensuring freedom of action for our antisubmarine submarines and aviation which are carrying out the search for and destruction of the enemy missile submarines, and at the same time, possibly, his antisubmarine submarines. All the tasks should be performed at the same time. This means that combat with carrier strike large units and the destruction of missile submarines, as well as antisubmarine submarines, are not only contiguous actions, but they are tightly interwoven. On what grounds then, are the actions of antisubmarine forces to be examined separately from the actions of submarines and aircraft aimed at combat with carrier strike large units? Apparently, the reference to the specific character of submarine warfare, requiring a special organization and operational disposition of forces, methods of controlling them and, in the final analysis, of conducting everyday actions, cannot constitute a substantial argument in favor of the assertions of Captain First Rank Ye. Mamayev.

In order that the actions of all the forces of a fleet in the initial period of a war be most successful, it is necessary to plan them within a single plan of the initial naval operation. In so doing, the anticipated variants of the possible situation must provide for precise allocation of the efforts of each type of forces according to the targets of strikes, areas of actions and time; their cooperation, mutual support, and the organization of their control.

It is desirable to work out the plan of the operation and the preparation of the forces for its conduct in advance. In so doing, of course, all possible variants of the situation cannot be accurately foreseen. The complex dynamics of combat may not coincide with the proposals which were made before the beginning of the combat actions as to the most desirable allocation of the efforts of our strike submarines, missile-carrying aviation and antisubmarine forces. In particular, one can assume that, in order to achieve surprise, the enemy will not employ his aircraft carriers in those areas where they conduct exercises and where they are deployed when local conflicts occur. Also not excluded is the possibility of the movement of enemy missile submarines from previously identified areas of systematic combat patrolling into other areas where new



					Page	7 of 9 Page
them n more c conduc person speaks	e launching pos l become necess ew targets, tim onvenient to do ted by the forc of the command in favor of ou l naval operati	ary to retarge and areas of this in the es of a fleet er of the fleet understand	get the force for the deli case in whi t and there eet and his	es of our flowery of stricts. It is considered to the construction of the construction	leet, lkes. opera of c	and to assign It will be tion is command in the
aviati from t acknow more t variou it is especia	he author's asson and submaring the plan and concledged as corresponding to forces, these necessary that ally at the begit and plan.	es against micept of the fact. It is to ion of the space questions are the strike are	issile-carly fleet's stri rue that som pecific char re examined ad antisubma	ing submarin ke forces, cetimes, for acter of the individually rine forces	es is annot the properties action of the	set apart be urpose of a ons of t in practice e fleet.
naval of situation estimation carries the most 1,500 to 1	e have our own of difficult to proper to the control of the contro	edict the spa operation is al theater. oth of the ac mits and mis rier-based av ters from our	defined by In reasonab tions of ou sile submar iation and seacoast.	and duration the specific le approxima forces aga ines, which a Polaris miss	of the condition in the	ne initial tions of the tran be the enemy quipped with will be
several the gro	the duration of to the aircraft of days. During ouping of missiled losses, they empt to break a	carriers and this time th le submarines will run ou	missile sub e enemy's ca will eithe t of on-boa:	marines is a arrier strike r be destrove	pproxi e larg	mately e units and having
naval combati However the oce	mewhat later, be a peration, the a ng the antisubme, they too will an which is requand by the peri	ections of ou marine submar be limited uired for th	r submarine ines of the by the time e defeat of	forces direct enemy probable our strike stri	cted toly wi submar strik	oward 11 proceed. ines are in e large

TOP SECRET

50X1-HUM

		TOP SECRET		
			Page 8 c	of 9 Pages
				50X1-HU
returns to	our bases or is red	leployed to carr	y out the next tasks.	,
of the init actions, wh	ea, including subma ial naval operation	rines, will mos 1. It will take dized depending	th the enemy's antisut likely go beyond the the form of systemation the outcome of the largest.	ne bounds
Mamayev, of for combating areas, are advantages of the Navy various task	course, is right in course, is right in grands ile submaring nuclear antisubmaring these types of few maximum efforts to cannot be asserted er plants will be cannot the beginning of it is inadmissible the existing diese and will be employed as, including the tances of establishing course.	missile submarian asserting that es, especially ne submarines are indistores are indistores are indistores are indistores are indistores are are apable of conductant awar. The desar antisubmarines are antisubmarines are submarines are for a long transk of combating and new nuclear submarines are are are are are are are along transk of combating and new nuclear submarines are	e of diesel submarine nes. Captain First R t the most promising in their distant comb nd long range aviatio putable, and it is de m in the necessary nue, only submarines witching combat with enesire to emphasize the submarines is comple, to reduce to zero to which are part of the ime to come to carry of nuclear missile submarine forces is consumarine	ank Ye. forces at patrol n. The sirable mbers. th my great etely he armament out marines.
long, and restopped buil only nuclear appropriation submarine for to assume the navy will be diesel submathistorical in along with number of the naviority of the submathistorical in along with number of the submathistorical in the submathis	equires great materiading diesel submaries for six ms and a powerful success of their navy at even by 1970-1970 approximately equarines. Consequentle ecessity diesel subject out of consider	ial expenditures ines in 1957 and x years. Yet, we shipbuilding ind are nuclear sub 72 the number of al to or a littly, now as in the event of eration for armed	s. For example, the to after that has been with excessively large dustry, only 19 percentrations. There are a final than the number of the higher than the number of the existence and be war. This means that and combat at sea.	building budget at of the grounds in the US mber of force of employed they
cannot alway of maneuvera	nuclear missile sub s effectively use t bility under water.	omarines, it mus their inherent c When located	ng diesel submarines t be noted that the l haracteristic of a hi in launch positions, g of Polaris missiles	latter igh level 50X1-

TOP SECRET

					TOP SEC	RET				
				,				Page	9 of	9 Page:
their the a probal	being uthor pility	detect asserts would	ed by d , but to require	iesel : o 50 to that	submaring 5 60 per there be	these coes may and cent. It more die to more coes more coes to the coes more coes to the coes to the coes to the coes more coes to the coe	nount not is true esel subm	to 20	perce	nt, as such
techn diese condimainte employ to mod	ical e l subm tions enance ment derniz	quipmen arines of esta of con of weap	t, when can determined the constant are cons. In cans of	proper ect nuc g conta e suff n order hydroa	rly emple clear sul act with icient in r to ach acoustic	vs that exped under them and the maj deve bett detection	er preser at sea, I the dur ority of ter resul	it-day and in ration cases	condit doing of the for t	ions, so the he cessary
						orces ar				
only only on ensure action	of fut in e is in	ire, bu very way the sys	: also o possil	of exist to le the	sting for ∋ir high	ve methorces and level of nuclear	means.	It is readin	necess ess for	ary to r
only only on ensure action	of futo e in e	ire, bu very way the sys	: also o possil	of exist to le the	sting for ∋ir high	rces and level of	means.	It is readin	necess ess for rines	ary to r of the
only only on ensure action	of fut in e is in	ire, bu very way the sys	: also o possil	of exist to le the	sting for ∋ir high	rces and level of	means.	It is readin	necess ess for rines	ary to r of the
only only on ensure action	of fut in e is in	ire, bu very way the sys	: also o possil	of exist to le the	sting for ∋ir high	rces and level of	means.	It is readin	necess ess for rines	ary to r of the
only only on ensure action	of fut in e is in	ire, bu very way the sys	: also o possil	of exist to le the	sting for ∋ir high	rces and level of	means.	It is readin	necess ess for rines	ary to r of the
only only on ensure action	of fut in e is in	ire, bu very way the sys	: also o possil	of exist to le the	sting for ∋ir high	rces and level of	means.	It is readin	necess ess for rines	ary to r of the
only only on ensure action	of fut in e is in	ire, bu very way the sys	: also o possil	of exist to le the	sting for ∋ir high	rces and level of	means.	It is readin	necess ess for rines	ary to r of the
only only on ensure action	of fut in e is in	ire, bu very way the sys	: also o possil	of exist to le the	sting for ∋ir high	rces and level of	means.	It is readin	necess ess for rines	ary to r of the
only only on ensure action	of fut in e is in	ire, bu very way the sys	: also o possil	of exist to le the	sting for ∋ir high	rces and level of	means.	It is readin	necess ess for rines	ary to r of the
only only on ensure action	of fut in e is in	ire, bu very way the sys	: also o possil	of exist to le the	sting for ∋ir high	rces and level of	means.	It is readin	necess ess for rines	ary to

50X1-HUM

