

LS 5298

\*OGC Has Reviewed\*

9 March 1955

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Chief, FE/ADMIN

SUBJECT : Proposed Concurrent Travel of the Dependent of [redacted] 25X1A9a

REFERENCE : Your Memorandum, Same Subject, Dated 24 January 1955

1. In your memorandum you requested the opinion of this office as to the legality of the concurrent travel of [redacted] wife with him between Washington and an unspecified station in [redacted] 25X1A9a 25X1A6a

25X1A9a

2. Apparently [redacted] has been on duty in [redacted] and is scheduled to be transferred to [redacted] PCS. His amended travel orders have authorized TDY in Washington not in excess of 120 days between these two stations. Either [redacted] has been married during his stay in Washington or he intends to get married during that period. The question arises as to whether he may be allowed the concurrent travel of his wife on the trip to [redacted] 25X1A6a 25X1A6a 25X1A6a

25X1A9a

25X1A6a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A6a

3. Assuming that [redacted] spent more than 6 months [redacted] and so long as [redacted] remains on a TDY status between the [redacted] [redacted] posts, the expenses of his wife's transportation to [redacted] not be borne by the government. However, if [redacted] were to be put on a home leave status in the United States between posts and if his wife's travel begins within 6 months of the completion of his own personal travel from the point at which he was on leave to his new post, then her transportation expenses may be borne by the government. However, such expenses may not exceed the cost of travel to the new post from any point in the direct route between the old post and the destination specified in the home leave authorization. 25X1A6a 25X1A9a

25X1A9a

4. Assuming [redacted] eligibility for home leave, the net of the above is that his travel orders will have to be amended to show him to have been on home leave between the completion of his tour of duty in [redacted] and the undertaking of his new tour of duty in [redacted] before the transfer of his wife to [redacted] can be accomplished at government expense. Then, also assuming the time limit to have been observed, there is no objection to the concurrent travel of his wife to the new post from any point in the direct route between the old post and the destination specified in the home leave authorization as the government would be obligated 25X1A6a

25X1A6a

25X1A6a

to transport her anyway. For problems of this general nature, we recommend to your attention exhibit 122.21 and section 180, subsections 3.4 and 3.7 of the Foreign Service Travel Regulations.

~~SECRET~~  
25X1A9a

Office of General Counsel

OGC:HF:ss

Distribution  
Subject  
Signer  
Chrono  
Legal  
Vital

SECRET