Approved For Release 2010/05/14: CIA-RDP63T00245R000100170052-5

0 **T** Y

 $C_{s}$ 

55-2357

August, 26, 1955

Honorable Percy Rappaport Assistant Director Rureau of the Budget Washington 25, D. C.

Re: Design and Construction of Central Intelligence Agency Headquarters

Dear Mr. Rappaport:

As you know, a difference of opinion exists between this Administration and the Central Intelligence Agency as to which agency has responsibility for the design and construction of the CIA headquarters building authorized by Section 401, Title IV, Public Lew 161, 84th Congress, approved July 15, 1955.

That law authorized the Director of Central Intelligence "to provide for" a headquarters installation for the Central Intelligence Agency at a land cost of not to exceed \$1,000,000, and a construction cost of not to exceed \$54,500,000. GSA was authorized to demolish temporary Government buildings in the District of Columbia equivalent in space to that relinquished by CIA on occupancy of the new headquarters.

Section 501 of the above act further authorized proceeding with the facilities without regard to certain revised statutes relating to the expenditure of public funds for the acquisition of land and contracts for the construction of buildings.

Funds for the preparation of plans and specifications and for other surposes as authorized by Title IV of P.L. 161 were appropriated to CIA by the Supplemental Appropriation Act, 1956, P.L. 219, 84th Congress, approved August 4, 1.955.

The CIA people contend that the authorization and appropriation to them contravenes our authority and places in them full and complete authority over and responsibility for the design and construction of the building. They say they intend to use our services in more or less an advisory capacity.

It is our position that, as a matter of law, responsibility for design and construction of the building is in GSA by virtue of our preclusive cuthority in the field of construction of general purpose office buildings derived from the Public Buildings Act of 1926, as amended.

Consideration has been given as to whether exemption in Section 501 of the above act, of the applicability of R.S. 3734, as amended, supports the position of CIA. That statute reads as follows:

25 YEAR RE-REVIE\^! ., Approved For Release 2010/05/14 : CIA-RDP63T00245R000100170052-5

## Honorable Percy Rappaport

\*No money shall be expended upon any public building until after sketch plans showing the tentative design and arrangement of such building, together with outline description and detailed estimates of the cost thereof shall have been made by the Administrator of General Services (except when otherwise authorized by law) \* \* \* "

production of the authorization for the construction of the CIA building in P.L. 161, which is essentially an act to authorize certain construction at military, naval and air force installations, appears to have been merely a matter of convenience. That the above exemption was not intended to have any applicability to the construction of the CIA building its clearly established by the Senate Committee on Armed Services, Report No. 694, on H.R. 6829, later enacted as Public Law 161, wherein it is stated:

"Section 501 provides the authority to acquire land on which to provide family housing for military personnel. It also contains the customary language avoiding the application of certain sections of the Revised Statutes that impose unreasonable restrictions on the prosecution of a military construction program under conditions prevailing today."

Furthermore, testimony during hearings preceding enactment of above acts, shows that GSA worked with CIA in preparing the estimates upon which the legislation was based, and that the Senate Subcommittee on Real Estate and Military Construction of the Committee on Armed Services recognized that the work should be performed by a central construction agency properly equipped for the purpose and not by CIA. There was discussion as to whether the work would be done by the Corps of Engineers or GSA and it was at least implied that GSA would be expected to design and contruct the building. See Committee Hearings on S. 1765 (H.R. 6829) at pages 548, 549.

The authorizing law does not include an exemption from the Act of May 25, 1926, 44 Stat. 630, 631, as amended, 40 U.S.C.A. 341, 342, known as the Public Buildings Act of 1926. Sections 1 and 2 of this act read, respectively, in pertinent part as set out in Title 40, U.S.C.A.:

accommodations in the District of Columbia for the executive departments, and independent establishments of the Government \* \* \* , and for courthouses, post offices, immigration stations, customhouses, marine hospitals, quarantine stations, and other public buildings of the classes under the control of the Administrator of General Services in the States, \* \* \* is authorized and directed to acquire, by purchase, condemnation, or otherwise, such sites and additions to sites as he may deem necessary, and to cause to be constructed thereon, and upon lands belonging to the Government conveniently located and available for the purpose (but exclusive of military and naval reservations), adequate and suitable

## Honorable Percy Rappaport

"(a) The work of preparing designs and other drawings, estimates, specifications, and awarding of contracts, as well as the supervision of the work \* \* \* \* shall be performed by the Administrator of General Services, except as otherwise provided in said sections."

The CIA headquarters building will be a general purpose office building which will have a gross floor area of approximately 2,300,000 square feet; basement, seven (7) floors, reinforced concrete frame; pile foundations; air conditioning; fluorescent lighting; auditorium, shops and laboratory areas, and cafeteria.

Since July 1, 1950, the effective date of Reorganization Plan No. 18 of 1950, (64 Stat. 1270; 5 U.S.C. 133Z-15, note) we have applied the criteria set forth in Section 2 of the Plan as determinative of the classes of "other public buildings \* \* \* \* under the control of the Administrator of General Services." Without question, the CIA headquarters, on completion, would be an office building under our control for the purposes of operation, maintenance, and custody as is true of the buildings presently occupied by CIA.

We want to call attention, specifically to the proviso quoted from Section 1 of the Public Buildings Act of 1926 which requires joint approval of the plans, etc., by the head of the agency to be located in a new building and myself as a matter of law and as a condition precedent to the exercise of our responsibilities under the Act. Even if this was not required by the law, it seems eminently proper to us a matter of policy as it assures compliance with all reasonable needs of a special nature of the agency initially designated to occupy the particular building.

The authorization to CIA is merely "to provide" for a building, without specification of the manner in which it was to be provided. In view of the legislative history of the authorizing law and our express authority for such construction, the applicability of which was not exempted, we feel there can be little doubt as to the soundness of our legal position.

In many similar cases in the past funds appropriated to other agencies for construction of general purpose office space and other structures have been transferred to us and we have performed the design and construction under the Public Buildings Act, supra, and the applicable regulations and procedures.

We worked with the CTA in the early planning stages and preparation of cost estimates and understood that design and construction would be performed by us. Therefore, we felt it unnecessary to insist upon a provision so requiring in the authorizing or appropriation act.

Approved For Release 2010/05/14: CIA-RDP63T00245R000100170052-5

## Approved For Release 2010/05/14 : CIA-RDP63T00245R000100170052-5

Honorable Fercy Rappaport

strongly as a matter of general policy that, if the Government is to have a central agency for design and construction of non-military public buildings, such as our Public Buildings Service in CSA, performance of all functions that fall within its sphere of responsibility should be assigned to it.

Our record shows that we have designed and constructed many similar general purpose office buildings as well as other structures with special features in complete cooperation with and to the satisfaction of the occupying agencies.

Economy and efficiency in the Federal construction can best be achieved with an organization staffed with personnel equipped with the professional qualifications, technical "know-how" and long experience in negotiation, awarding, administration and supervision of contracts with architects, engineers and constructors for the design and construction of public buildings.

Granting frequent special exemptions to agencies for their own design and construction activities unquestionably will destroy any effort on our part to achieve uniformity in construction cost and over-all economy and efficiency in the construction of general purpose and related office space for the Federal Government.

We estimated the cost of this building in accordance with the construction cost standards agreed upon between your office and mine for the lease purchase program.

To proceed with design and construction in the manner proposed by GIA would remove us from control and preclude us from assuring conformity to those standards.

This well might place the entire lease purchase program in jeopardy.

Accordingly, if a decision is made contrary to our position we believe that it would be better to remove us from any further participation in the design and construction of the building rather than risk an adverse affect on the lease purchase program.

An expression of your views will be appreciated.

Cordially yours,

s/ EDMUND F. MANSURE Administrator

Mr. Lawrence R. Houston  General Counsel Central Intelligence Agency  Mr. Lawrence R. Houston  General Counsel Central Intelligence Agency  Mr. Lawrence R. Houston  General Counsel Central Intelligence  Agency  Recommendation  Recommendation  Recommendation  Recommendation  See Me Correction  Necessary action  Signature  Filing Note and Return  Your comment Your comment  Full Report  Read and Destroy  Handle Directly  Allotment Symbol  Prepare Reply for Signature of  Answer or acknowledge Before  ROM  J. H. Macomber, Jr.  Date 9-29-55		ROUTIN		
Mr. Lawrence R. Houston Central Intelligence Agency  FOR— APPROVAL IMMEDIATE ACTION RECOMMENDATION AS REQUESTED INITIALS SEE ME CORRECTION NECESSARY ACTION SIGNATURE FILING NOTE AND RETURN YOUR COMMENT FULL REPORT READ AND DESTROY YOUR INFORMATION HANDLE DIRECTLY ALLOTMENT SYMBOL  PREPARE REPLY FOR SIGNATURE OF ANSWER OR ACKNOWLEDGE BEFORE  ROM J. H. Macomber, Jr.  DATE 9-29-55	O NAME		BUILDING, ROOM NUMBER, ETC.	
FOR—  APPROVAL   IMMEDIATE ACTION   RECOMMENDATION    AS REQUESTED   INITIALS   SEE ME    CORRECTION   NECESSARY ACTION   SIGNATURE    FILING   NOTE AND RETURN   YOUR COMMENT    FULL REPORT   READ AND DESTROY   YOUR INFORMATION    HANDLE DIRECTLY   ALLOTMENT SYMBOL    PREPARE REPLY FOR SIGNATURE OF    ANSWER OR ACKNOWLEDGE BEFORE    ROM J. H. Macomber, Jr.   DATE 9-29-55		R. Houston	Central	Intelligence
FOR—  APPROVAL   IMMEDIATE ACTION   RECOMMENDATION   AS REQUESTED   INITIALS   SEE ME   CORRECTION   NECESSARY ACTION   SIGNATURE   FILING   NOTE AND RETURN   YOUR COMMENT   FULL REPORT   READ AND DESTROY   YOUR INFORMATION   HANDLE DIRECTLY   ALLOTMENT SYMBOL   PREPARE REPLY FOR SIGNATURE OF   ANSWER OR ACKNOWLEDGE BEFORE   DATE 9-29-55    EMARKS				
FOR—  APPROVAL IMMEDIATE ACTION RECOMMENDATION  AS REQUESTED INITIALS SEE ME  CORRECTION NECESSARY ACTION SIGNATURE  FILING NOTE AND RETURN YOUR COMMENT  FULL REPORT READ AND DESTROY YOUR INFORMATION  HANDLE DIRECTLY ALLOTMENT SYMBOL  PREPARE REPLY FOR SIGNATURE OF  ANSWER OR ACKNOWLEDGE BEFORE  ROM J. H. Macomber, Jr.  DATE 9-29-55  EMARKS				
FOR—  APPROVAL IMMEDIATE ACTION RECOMMENDATION  AS REQUESTED INITIALS SEE ME  CORRECTION NECESSARY ACTION SIGNATURE  FILING NOTE AND RETURN YOUR COMMENT  FULL REPORT READ AND DESTROY YOUR INFORMATION  HANDLE DIRECTLY ALLOTMENT SYMBOL  PREPARE REPLY FOR SIGNATURE OF  ANSWER OR ACKNOWLEDGE BEFORE  ROM  J. H. Macomber, Jr.  DATE 9-29-55  EMARKS	i.		<u> </u>	
APPROVAL IMMEDIATE ACTION RECOMMENDATION  AS REQUESTED INITIALS SEE ME  CORRECTION NECESSARY ACTION SIGNATURE  FILING NOTE AND RETURN YOUR COMMENT  FULL REPORT READ AND DESTROY YOUR INFORMATION  HANDLE DIRECTLY ALLOTMENT SYMBOL  PREPARE REPLY FOR SIGNATURE OF  ANSWER OR ACKNOWLEDGE BEFORE  ROM  J. H. Macomber, Jr.  DATE 9-29-55	•			
EMARKS	APPROVAL  AS REQUESTED  CORRECTION  FILING  FULL REPORT  HANDLE DIRECTLY  PREPARE REPLY FOR S  ANSWER OR ACKNOWLE	INITIALS NECESSAR NOTE AND READ AND ALLOTMEN IGNATURE OF DGE BEFORE	Y ACTION RETURN DESTROY T SYMBOL	SEE ME SIGNATURE YOUR COMMENT YOUR INFORMATION
	EMARKS			

Approved For Release 2010/05/14 : CIA-RDP63T00245R000100170052-5