## Keeping Up the Supply Of Teachers in Russia

By Norton T. Dodge

THE White House Conference on Education came to grips with the thorny problem: "How can we get enough good teachers -and keep them?"

Neil McElroy, the conference chairman, highlighted the plight confronting our expanding educational system when he said: "You can vote taxes and build a building with the money; it takes years to train a qualified teacher. And without gold teachers in adequate numbers, we cannot have good schools."

Our growing teacher shortage, particularly in the fields science, becomes even more alarming in view of Soviet advances in the training of scien tists and engineers revealed by Nicholas DeWitt in his excellent study "Soviet Professional Manpower" and in recent speeches by C. I. A. Director Allen Dulles, Adm. Strauss of the A. E. and Vice-President Nixon.

The teacher, the unsung hero and much maligned bulwark of our educational system, occupies a preferred position in Sovi society. A professor's salary and prestige equal those of high go ernmental and industrial off cials. Although secondary school teachers lack the high salaries and prestige of professors, they are respected and fully accepted members of their community. Eagerness to enter the teaching profession has greatly aided the expansion of Soviet education.

Because of the secondary school teachers' decisive role, the quality of teacher training prdvides an important clew to the effectiveness of the system as a whole. For this reason, my visit to the Rostov Pedagogic Insttute, which trains secondary school teachers, proved valuable in explaining the effectiveness of Soviet scientific and technic education at the secondary school level.

The director of the institute, a big, bushy-haired, comfortable looking man, might have passed for the president of Panhandle State Teachers College here home. The two would not have seen eye to eye on education philosophy, however. According to the director, there is no ques-tion among Soviet educators that subject matter takes prec dence over methodology. The shighly specialized in the future teacher of physics, for example, spends 60 per cent of his time on physics, mathematics and Sasukizedd-sap proved to Release:

## CPYRGHT

ences. His coverage of these subjects will be almost comparsubjects will be almost comparable to that of a major in tute. In non-scientific fields and physics in one of our average colleges. In contrast, American high school science teachers are important sources of new teachreported to have completed on the average less than a full they are teaching.

The institute had 3,000 regular students and 2,000 correspondence students. Womenmade up approximately 75 per cent of the student body. Most of the students are drawn from the Rostov area and, upon completion of their four years of training, will be sent to rural schools. Over 180 institutes, providing similar training, serve other parts of the country.

The director pointed out that the divisions or faculties at his institute correspond to the major subjects taught in the ten-year or secondary school: languages, literature, history, geography, mathematics, physics, biology and chemistry. In addition, there are faculties for kin-

dergarten and physical culture training. Since we were partic-ularly interested in the quality of science training, we asked to visit the separate physics building.

The physics dean explained that he has a staff of eighteen and 340 students, 80 per cent of whom are women. In four semesters of general physics, the students over mechanics, heat, electricity, optics and atomic physics. By the end of the second year, the students have studied sufficient mathematics to begin theoretical physics. In their last four semesters they study thermodynamics and the kinetic theory of matter, electromagnetic fields and electron theory, the theory of relativity and atomic theory.

The dean emphasized that the training is general in contrast to that at the universities, which

## **CPYRGHT**

The formal academic training is supplemented by practice teaching in the third and fourth years totaling ten weeks so that the student will be able to step into a teaching job immediately upon graduation. All graduates are assigned to their jobs, usually in a rural area, for a threeyear period.

Not all ten-year school teachers are trained in pedagogic inlanguages the universities and foreign-language institutes are ers, but in the sciences, such as college course in the subject to, 90 per cent of the teachers physics, we were told that close were trained in the pedagogic institutes. Drawing on these sources and special institutes for the training of primary school teachers, the number of Soviet primary and secondary school eachers increased almost fourold in the last twenty-five years. in a like period, our teaching force increased only about 25 ber cent.

> With a large, planned expanion of ten-year schools schediled for the next five years, the Soviets face a continuing probem of recruiting thousands of new teachers annually. The diector told us that at his instiute there were four to five applicants for each vacancy. Other nstitutes are not so popular, but he major obstaclé to increasing the number of teachers rained in the Soviet Union apears to be a lack of facilities ather than a lack of appli-ants interested in a teaching

This is something of a paraox since a secondary school eacher's salary is little better han that of a factory worker, ut prestige, greater freedom, a ummer vacation, and the peronal satisfactions derived from eaching tip the scale in favor f teaching. In the Soviet Unn, as elsewhere, some persons mply like to teach. An undering factor encouraging entry nto the profession is the low vel of real wages which forces any women to work to make nds meets.

These various factors, several which are absent in the nited States, coupled with acher training which emphazes subject matter, have en-bled the Soviets to outstrip us

one important phase in the GHA-RDP70-09058R000100050080-7