Approved For Release 2001/11/01 : CIA-BDP74B00415R000300020017-5 DATE 4 TANTZ

NEW YORK TIMES

### White House Took Steps to Stop Leaks Months Before Anderson Disclosures

By ROBERT M. SMITH

advisory staff to investigate the leaks and to stop them. The acwas prompted, according to Government sources, after at article in The New York Thes July 23 that dealt with the talks on limitation of strategic arms and caused con-

is not known specifically at Mr. Krogh and Mr. Young e done in the five months e the security assignment added to their duties. They reported to have reviewed procedures used by the incil and to have inquired into the methods used by councimembers, such as Secretary of State William P. Rogers, to private for meetings and to handle the council's papers.

### F.B.I. Called In

Mar Young have had their task e more difficult by the dism ures by Mr. Anderson. The ice Department has concle Ju ed that the Administration fir called on the Federal au of Investigation to ingate the leaks.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 8—The authorized to call on the F.B.I. Helsinki but that specific draft amnist Jack Anderson has but hold the principal re-sponsibility because "it is a written in Washington. According to one Govern-ment official the disclose

negotiators had proposed to Defense and State Departments, the Soviet Union an arms-con-the intelligence community and trol agreement that would halt the Joint Chiefs of Staff. construction of both land-based

The article said that the week.

According to one source, Mr. American proposals had been Krogh and Mr. Young are made orally at negotiations in

PAGE

according to reliable sources, the White House problem? and because "it is a council meet-to according to reliable sources, the White House problem? and because "it would be inappro-priate to send some F.B.I. man around to talk with people like the proposals involved "were the Secretary of State." It is not not even in any written memo."
More the secrecy of coincel to reliable sources, the White House quietly ordered to reliable sources, the White House quietly ordered the move was written by the present at the discussions of the negotiations of the negotiation of the secretary of th

The F.B.I. has conducted an missiles and missile submarines. extensive investigation over Ar Beecher also reported that more than four months in an a companion proposal would effort to uncover Mr. Beecher's allow as many as 300 defensive sources. The inquiry has been missiles in both the United conducted here, elsewhere in States and the Soviet Union to protect offensive missiles. The article solve that the united states and abroad, and was still going on last LU AS 14 POST Approved For Release 2001/11/01: CIA-RDP7/15004+5R000300020017-5

### Secret Paper Says Press 'Slanted' War

By Laurence Stern and Sanford J. Ungar Washington Post Staff Writers During the secret deliberations of the top Nixon administration policy makers on the Indo-Pakistani crisis last month, an assistant secretary of defense accused the press of "slanting" its war coverage against Pakistan.

Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs G. Warren Nutter made the charge in an exchange with President Nixon's national security a dviser, Henry A. Kissinger, during the White House session on December 4.

"It goes without saying," Nutter commented, "that the entire press is slanting this war to place the entire blame on the Pakistanis and to show that they attacked India."

that they attacked India." Kissinger then said, "This has been a well-done political campaign for which we will ray."

The exchange was recorded in a "memorandum for record" prepared by James H. Noyes, a deputy to Nutter.

The memorandum, which purportedly quotes the participants directly, is one of several documents that have been in the possession of The Washington Post, which obtained them from columnist Jack Anderson.

It covers the same meeting as another memorandum published in Wednesday's editions of The Washington Post. The other memorandum, drafted by Navy Capt. Howard N. Kay for the Joint Chiefs of Staff, reported the secret session in paraphrase form.

See DOCUMENTS, A7, Col. 1

The Noves memorandum also recorded Kissinger's assertion that President Nixon was angry with the version of events that was being put out in State Department press backgrounders.

This issue came up during discussion of American strategy in the United Nations debate over the war.

"Both Yahya (West Pakistan President Yahya Khan) and Mrs. Gandhi are making bellicose statements. If we refer to Mrs. Gandhi's in our statement, do we not also have to refer to Yaha's?" asked Assistant Secretary of State Samuel dePalma.

Kissinger is reported as replying:

"The President says either the bureaucracy should put out the right statement on this, or the White House will do it. Can the UN object to Yahya's statements about defending his country?"

DePalma answered: "We will have difficulty in the UN because most of the countries who might go with us do not want to tilt toward Pakistan to the extent we do."

"Whoever is doing the backgrounding at State," Kissinger is then quoted as saying, "is invoking the President's wrath. Please try to follow the President's wishes."

At another point, Kissinger is quoted as expressing, in bitter terms, his pessimism about the eventual outcome of the U.N. Security Council meeting.

"Nothing will happen at the Security Council because of Soviet vetoes. The whole thing is a farce."

As events developed, the Soviet Union did veto the resolution sponsored by the United States and other countries calling for a mutual pulling back of troops and an immediate cease fire.

Kissinger is also recorded as having said: "We have told the Paks we would make our statement. Let's go ahead and put in our own statement anyway regardless of what other countries want to do.

"We need now to make our stand clear even though it has taken us two weeks of fiddling. We need our resolution tabled. We want to insist on a ceasefire and withdrawal of forces before the details of a political settlement are considered."

India opposed the pull-back resolution on grounds that its troops would have to withdraw to bases more than 400 miles from the border while the Pakistani bases were only a few miles from the front.

The discussion by the National Security Council's Washington Special Action Group, the nation's top international crisis directorate, was opened by Central Intelligence Agency Director Richard M. Helms.

Helms alluded to Soviet support for India in the emerging conflict. In an aside to his collegues the CIA director advised:

"You should all read our new study "Moscow and India-Pakistan Crisis."

Anderson's disclosures of secret U.S. policy discussions about the Indo-Pakistani crisis brought an announcement yesterday from a second congressional committee that hearings will be held on the government's security classification system.

Ben William Moorhead (D-Pa) said his House Subcommittee on Foreign Operations and Government Information will open extensive hearings in March.

They will cover the first five years of operations of the Freedom of Information Act, as well as "the whole problem of classification," including the Anderson documents.

Moorhead conceded there may be "overlap" with an investigation announced Wednesday by the House Armed Services Committee.

An FBI investigation into Anderson's sources for the Indo-Pakistani documents continued yesterday. Justice Department sources said, however, that it was not of the scale of an earlier probe concerning disclosures of the Pentagon Papers on the war in Vietnam.

### Approved For Release/2009/21/01 : CIA-RDP74B00415R000300020017-5



Nixon's Stand Was Hurting # Americans' Credibility

FACTS ALSO QUESTIONED

Ambassador's Cable Bared time. by Columnist, Who Also **Replies to Kissinger** 

#### By BERNARD GWERTZMAN Special to The New York Times

its pro-Pakistan policy detracted comes out otherwise." from American credibility and was inconsistent with his knowl-Biobard Halms Director of the loss of East Pakistan.

from unidentified United States war and to press India for a stan but that India had sabo-from unidentified United States war and to press India for a stan but that India had sabo-Government informants "scores" sistant Secretary of State for war. of highly classified documents Near Eastern and South Asian "The only message I have on relating to the conflict lost different to the record of this conversion.

relating to the conflict last Affairs, told newsmen that the record of this conversation Mr. Kissinger said month. United States believed that makes no reference to this crit-Nixon might want Today Mr. Anderson—assert-ing that he was irked by a com-ment from Henry A. Kissinger, tration to attach blame to India ister Indira Ghandi was in President Nixon's advisor on something of a supprise Washington in early November and the more decided of President Nixon's adviser on came as something of a surprise Washington in early November, national security disputing the in Washington since most dip-"we had no reason to believe accuracy of some of his recent lomats and officials had ex-that military action was that imminent and that we did not have time to begin to work on Department's record of three top-level White House strategy

The documents provide an Pakistan. Ambassador Keating unusual look into the thinking is also understood to have and actions of Mr. Nixon and argued since March, when the curity affairs at the start of Mr Keating's sal curity affairs at the start of Mr. Keating's cable, dated the crisis, which eventually led Dec. 8, was in response to the to the Indian capture of East United of a breakaway state there under the name Bangladesh.

Because the White House Se-Keating Said Explanation of curity Action Group, known here as WSAG, did not have a formal structure, the language of Mr. Kissinger and the other participants was often looser,

> On Dec. 3, the day that fullscale fighting broke out, Mr. Kissinger told the White House said, among other things, that strategy session, according to strategy session, according to one document:

"I am getting hell every halfhour from the President that WASHINGTON, Jan. 5-Ken-we are not being tough enough neth B. Keating, United States on India. He has just called me neth B. Kcating, United States on India. He has just called me lister Swaran Singh was that Ambassador to India, com-again He does not believe we India "was reluctant to see a

Disagreed With 'Tilt'

Critics of the Administration dessions held at the start of such as Senator Edward M. efforts of intelligence commu-keysions held at the start of such as Senator Edward M. efforts of intelligence commu-the two-week war. 'Secret Sensitive' Reports Church, Democrat of Idaho, had decisions in Washington, I do

The reports of the meetings been complaining about Mr. not understand statement that of Dec. 3, 4 and 6, were classi- stan for her bloody represseion slightest inkling that any mili-fied "secret sensitive" A low fied "secret sensitive." A low-of the East Pakistani autonomy tary operation was in any way key investigation is underway movement and the arrest of its imminent," Mr. Keating reto ascertain who leaked the leader, Sheik Mujibur Rhaman, sponded. He said that on Nov. documents to Mr. Anderson. He Mr. Anderson has indicated sponded. He said that on Nov. documents to Mr. Anderson. He documents in his pos-said today that he was ready, that the documents in his pos-if necessary, for a battle with cials who disagreed with the imminent." the Government. [Details on Administration's "tilt" toward The record of the White House strategy sessions indi-Page 17.]

repression began, for a state

Information States Pakistan and the establishment Agency's account of a briefing given by Mr. Kissinger at the White House on Dec. 7, setting forth the Administration's justification for its policy.

That briefing also became a source of contention between that he was correct in predict-Mr. Kissinger and Mr. Anderson. In it Mr. Kissinger said that the United States was not "anti-Indian" but was opposed more piquant and franker than to India's recent actions. Mr. that in public statements by Anderson, seizing on the denial. Mr. Kissinger and other Admin-istration spokesmen at the ministration was "anti-Indian," and therefore lying.

### **Dispute Over Relief**

In his briefing Mr. Kissinger \$155-million to avert famine in East Pakistan at India's "specific request.

Mr. Reating said that his recollection from a conversation withtion with Foreign Minplained in a secret cablegram to are carrying out his wishes. He relief program started in East Washington during the Indian-Washington during the Indian-Pakistani war that the Nixon star. He feels everything we do settlement on grounds such an Administration's justification for stan. He feels everything we do effort might serve to bail out"

Richard Helms, Director of the loss of East Pakistan.

edge of events. The secret message to the Central Intelligence, and Adm. the briefing said that the Indian State Department was made of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. Washington, L. state Department was made of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. K. Jha, was informed on Nov. available to. The New York The next day, Dec. 4, the 19 that the United States and Times at its request by the syn- United States called for a meet-Pakistan were prepared to disdicated columnist Jack Ander-ing of the United Nations Se- cuss a precise schedule for po-son, who says he has received curity Council to discuss the litical autonomy in East Paki-

relating to the conflict last Affairs, told newsmen that the record of this conversation

a neaceful resolution." "With vast and voluminous

The record of the White House strategy sessions indi-

cated that intelligence information on the situation in South Asia was quite thin, at least in the early stages.

Mr. Helms and the Joint Chiefs of Staff-while agreeing that India would win in East Pakistan — disagreed on the time it would take. Adm. Elmo R. Zumwalt Jr., Chief of Naval Operations, came close by saying it would take one to two weeks, but there is no sign yet ing that the Russians would push for permanent use of a base at Visag, on India's east coast.

Often Mr. Helms simply read rival claims by Pakistan and India, without making any judgment on their accuracyindicating that the United States had no independent information.

### Fears for West Pakistan

By Dec. 6, when it was clear that the Indians would win in East Pakistan, Mr. Sisco said that "from a political point of view our efforts would have to be directed at keeping the Indians from extinguishing West Pakistan.'

After the war was over Mr. Nixon said in an interview in Time magazine that the Ameriintelligence community can had reason to believe that omes out otherwise." Gen. Agha Mohammad Yahya there were forces in India The group included John N. Khan, then President of Paki-pushing for total victory but that under pressure from the United States the Soviet Union convinced India to order a cease-fire once East Pakistan surrendered.

This version of events has been officially denied by New Delhi, which said it had no plans to invade West Pakistan. But in the period covered by the documents made public by Mr. Anderson there seemed considerable confusion in the Administration. At one point Mr. Kissinger said that Mr. Nixon might want to honor any requests from Pakistan for American arms — despite an American embargo on arms to

It was decided at the Dec. 6 session to look into the possibility of shipping arms quietly to Pakistan. But the State Department said today that no action was taken.

### Carrier Sent to Rejoin

"It is quite obvious that the President is not inclined to let the Paks be defeated," Mr. Kissinger said, apparently re-ferring to the possibility of the loss of West Pakistan,

Later on in the crisis the United States sent the nuclearpowered aircaft carrier Enterprise into the Indian Ocean, apparently as a show of force to deter any attack on West Pakistan, sources said at the time.

continued

Approved For Release 2001/11/01 : CIA-RDP74B00415R000300020017-5

Mr. Kissinger asked at the Dec. 3 meeting for clarification of a "secret special interpretation" of a March, 1959, United States-Pakistani accord by whice the United States would come to Pakistan's aid in case of attack. Later, Administration officials said that the United States was bound only to come to Pakistan's aid in case of attack by Communist country.

bya Communist country. Much of the discussion revolved around tactics in the United Nations. Mr. Kissinger indicated some frustration with the pwerlessness of the world body to take action because of the Soviet veto. "If the United Nations can't

"If the United Nations can't operate in this kind of situation effectively, its utility has come to an end and it is useless to think of United Nations guarantees in the Middle East," he said on Dec. 3. Today the State Department, asked about that gloomy prediction, sought to diminish its importance by saying that the United Nations could be effective in specific situations.

Many ideas were raised only to be dropped. Despite strong talk about cutting off aid to India, she only lost military aid and development loans; food products and so-called "irrevocable loans" were not stopped. Mr. Kissinger, reflecting the President's anger, said that "henceforth we show a certain mechanism the In-

Mr. Kissinger, reflecting the President's anger, said that "henceforth we show a certain coolness to the Indians; the Indian Ambassador is not to be treated at too high a level." An Indian spokesman said today that Mr. Jha had not sought or been invited to an interview with a high official since the crisis.

(

NEW YORK TIMES

### Approved For Release 200 1972/01 : CIA-RDP74B00415R000300020017-5

### Officialdom in Action

### Anderson's Revelations Provide Glimpse Of How Leaders React Under Stress

#### By MAX FRANKEL Special to The New York Times

available so far.

are even more vivid: they for the culprit is less energetic onstrated, and it was not dis-record the crisis managers in than might be imagined, ap-cussed at the compromised action, barely one month after parently because the conse-the fact, in the early days of quences are thought to be The minutes portray an un-the India-Pakistan war. In more of an embarrassment seen President driving his assis-every other sense, however, than a compromise of diplo-they are only fragmentary: matic or military secrets. Would punish India. But they they are only fragmentary: matic or military secrets. would punish India. But they they deal with tactical discus-sions during a few days, with-about discussions at such a Nixon's apparent personal affi-out relation to the larger cal-high level may result in serious nity for the Pakistani leaders

were obvious at the time. But record-keeping, they do reveal that the White It is widely it acknowledged.

#### Interpretation Questioned

events.

indeed, the new disclosures But secrecy is also widely that could not alter the course once again point up the failure employed here to mislead the of the war. of the Administration to reveal public, to hide errors of judg-all the reasons for the Presi- ment or calculations of personal among high officials, the record dent's anger at the Indians, for or political profit. It has there-remains secret. The tone of the his willingness at every turn fore become customary for re-meetings now divulged sug-to give the Pakistanis the bene-porters to try to penetrate of-gests that Mr. Kissinger, as so fit of every doubt and for his ficial confidences and to receive often before, may simply have readiness to side conspicuously and print as much information been enunciating policy as pri-with Pakistan and China, thus as they can get, from sources vately determined by the Presiwith Pakistan and China, thus as they can get, from sources vately determined by the Presi-enhancing the Soviet Union's both sympathetic and disgrun- dent, with no back-talk wanted, position in India and the Indian tled. Often the reporters do not Ocean.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 5—The country has now caught up with the movies and received some real-life Anderson tapes, or at least minutes, and they offer a fascinating glimpse of for at fascinating glimpse of for a fascinating glimpse of how high-ranking officials talk to one another under stress. But to be read fairly these revelations News also need more Analysis explanation, only The leak of these papers to information, only control inside is an information policy of candor that satisfies public curiosity about an event for the papers also suggest a public curiosity about an event for the presumed unwillingness of the presumed unwillingness of the bureaucracy to follow their stress. But to be read fairly these revelations information, only the large here today, clearly be-cause the Nixon Administra-ton's policies and conduct in months are not yet widely un-derstood. The white House minutes

some of which is Jack Anderson, particularly so fear that India might seek to soon after the Pentagon papers, far soon after the volubles the White she severed East Pakistan after available so far. These are not the equivalent of the massive Pentagon papers on Vietnam. In one sense, they are even more vivid: they they for the culprit is less energetic they for the culprit is less

out relation to the larger cal-nigh level may result in serious nity for the Pakistani leaders culations of American interests, in South Asia and elsewhere. The Andreson minutes do not offer conclusive proof of any major deception. The Nixon Ad-ministration's sympathy for and understanding. It could to cut off even more officials from policy deliberations, thus major deception. The Nixon Ad-ministration's sympathy for and understanding. It could the candor Pakistan and anger over what also further inhibit the candor to columns about the war-but were obvious at the time. But record-keeping.

Interpretation Questioned And the further disclosure today of Ambassador Kenneth B. Keating's complaint about tion that sound decisions de-to the Administration's public statements suggests that the index and often upon brutal diminish its own influence in judgments of the White House judgments and weaknesses of claimed by the Bengali secesmay have rested on a debatable strengths and weaknesses of claimed by the Bengali seces-reading of prewar diplomatic individuals, groups and govern- sionists because of its pro-Paments.

learn enough to explain events fully. Sometimes they learn more than the Government deems to be in the national interest. The Government's most effective defense against leaks from inside is an information

not the documents he has rethey do reveal that the White It is widely believed here, leased—portrayed the President House secretly toyed with the even by mony reporters who as confident that the Indians idea of giving more positive delight in printing secrets, that would not allow themselves to military help to Pakistan than orderly administration and fair become wholly dependent on it extraveled dealings with the public as well the Russians and that the risks as with other nations require of offending them were there-

kistani exertions and assertions

and hardly any offered.

Approved For Release 200 1/1 印色 年后 CIA-RDP74B00415R000300020017-5 6 JAN 1972

## **Kissinger Parley Excerpts**

### Special to The New York Times

(

WASHINGTON, Jan. 5 -President Nixon's adviser on the White House and inserted it in The Congressional Record on Dec. 9. It constitutes a Nixon Administration summary of American policy at the time of the meetings discussed in the documents made public today by the columnist Jack Anderson.

### **OPENING STATEMENT**

There have been some comments that the Administration is anti-Indian. This is totally inaccurate. India is a great country. It is the most populous free country. It is governed by democratic procedures.

Americans through all administrations in the postwar. period have felt a commitment to the progress and de-velopment of India, and the American people have contributed to this to the extent of \$10-billion.

Therefore, when we have differed with India, as we have in recent weeks, we do so with great sadness and with great disappointment. Now let me describe the-

situation as we saw it, going back to March 25. March 25 is, of course, the day when the central Government of Pakistan decided to establish military rule in East Bengal and started the process which has led to the present situation.

The United States has never supported the particular action that led to this tragic series of events, and the United States has always

recognized that this action had consequences which had a considerable impact on India. We have always recognized that the influx of refugees into India produced the danger of communal strife in a country always precariously poised on the edge of communal strife. We have known that it is a strain on the already scarce economic resources of a country in the process of development.

The United States position has been to attempt two efease the human suffering and to bring about the return of

the refugees; and secondly, longer talking about political we have attempted to bring evolution, but about political we have attempted to bring about a political resolution of

national security. Senator in March, 1971; on the con-Barry Goldwater of Arizona trary, the United States has made no new development loans to Pakistan since March, 1971.

> tary supplies to Pakistan. The fact of the matter is that immediately after the actions in March of this past year, the were prepared even to dis-United States suspended any cuss with them a political new licenses. It stopped the timetable, a precise timetable shipment of all military sup- for the establishment of poshipment of all military supplies out of American depots litical autonomy in East Benor that were under Ameri- gal. can Governmental control, The only arms that were continued to be shipped to Pakistan were arms on old licenses in commercial chan-nels, and these were spare parts. There were no lethal that we do not value India. and end-items involved.

To give you a sense of the magnitude, the United States cut off \$35-million worth of arms at the end of March of this year, or early April of this year, immediately after the actions in East Bengal, and continued to ship something less than \$5-million worth; whereupon, all the remainder of the pipeline was cut off. It is true the United States

did not make any public declarations on its views of the evolution, because the United States wanted to use its influence with both Delhi and Islamabad to bring about a political settlement that would enable the refugees to return.

We attempted to promote a political settlement, and if can sum up the difference that may have existed be- numerically stronger, then we was done today is an explana-

the Indian Ambassador 18 times; I saw him seven times since the end of August on not at first for Americans, behalf of the President. We necessarily, but for peoples all said that political auton- all over the world. all said that political auton-omy for East Bengal was the inevitable outcome of political evolution and that we fa-vored it. The difference may have been that the Governforts simultaneously: one, to ment of India wanted things so rapidly that it was no

collapse.

not condone what happened from the border. There was why this lots data

We told the Indian Prime Minister when she was here that we would try to arrange negotiations between the Pak-Secondly, there has been a istanis and members of the great deal of talk about mili- Awami League, specifically tary supplies to Pakistan. The approved by Mujibur, who is in prison. We told the Indian Ambassador shortly before done to quiet it through per-East Pakistan at the end of his return to India that we

> When we say that there was no need for military action, we do not say that India did not suffer. We do not say that we are unsympathetic to India's problems or

This country, which in many respects has had a love affair with India, can only, with enormous pain, accept the fact that military action was taken in our view without adequate cause, and if we express this opinion in the United Nations, we do not of that first background do so because we want to briefing at the State Departsupport one particular point ment on Friday. of view on the subcontinent, A. We are opposed to the or because we want to forego use of military force in this our friendship with what will crisis, and we do not believe always be one of the great that it was necessary to encountries in the world; but gage in military action. We because we believe that if, believe that what started as as some of the phrases go, a tragedy in East Bengal is the right of military attack now becoming an attempt to is determined by arithmetic, dismember a sovereign state if political wisdom consists and a member of the United of saying the attacker has Nations. 500 million and the defender has 100 million, and, there- pressed on Saturday is not fore, the United States must inconsistent with the view always be on the side of the that is expressed today. What tween us and the Government of India, it was this: We told the Government of India on many occasions - the Secretary of State saw the Indian Ambassador - the Secretary of State saw of Protocology is an explana-where, in the foreseeable we led to the statement on will have international an-Saturday, and it might have of protocology is an explana-where, in the foreseeable we led to the statement on will have international an-Saturday, and it might have archy, and where the period been better if we had put the Indian Ambassador is the statement of protocology is an explana-to the statement of the statement of statement of protocology is an explana-to the statement of statement of protocology is an explana-to the statement of statement of protocology is an explana-tion of the background that where, in the foreseeable we led to the statement on will have international an-Saturday, and it might have the secretary of state saw of peace, which is the great-the whole case forward. est desire for the President to establish, will be jeopardized;

### **Questions and Answers**

Q. Why was the first semipublic explanation of the American position one of condemning India, and why this belated explanation that

you are now giving? The perception of the world is that 

> Mr. Kissinger. We were reluctant to believe for a long time that the matter had come down to a naked recourse to force, and we were attempting for the first two weeks of the military operations to see what could be sonal diplomacy conducted by the Department of State.

> We made two appeals to the Indian Prime Minister. We appealed also to the Pakistan President, and we appealed also to the Soviet Union.

> Now, then, on Friday the situation burst into full-blown war and it was decided to put the facts before the public. Now, I cannot, of course, accept the characterization that you made of the way these facts were put forward: that they were put forward as anti-Indian.

Q. I said the perception of the world public was that the United States was anti-Indian because of the nature

So the view that was ex-

NEW YARROYED For Release 2001/11/01 : CIA RDP74B00415R000390020017-5 AGE /6C

# Texts of Secret Documents

WASHINGTON, Jan. 5-Following are the texts of three secret documents made public today by the columnist Jack Anderson describing meetings of the National Security Council's Washington Special Action Group on the crisis between India and Pakistan:

### Memo on Dec. 3 Meeting

Secret Sensitive ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

Refer to: 1-29643771

International Security Affairs Memorandum for Record

#### SUBJECT

WSAG meeting on India/Pakistan participants.

Assistant to the President for national security affairs-Henry A. Kissinger Under Secretary of State-John N.

Irwin Deputy Secretary of Defense - David

Packard

Director, Central Intelligence Agency-Richard M. Helms

Deputy Administrator (A.I.D.)—Maurice J. Williams

Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff- Adm. Thomas H. Moorer

Assistant Secretary of State (N.E.E.A.R.) Joseph J. Sisco

Assistant Secretary of Defense (I.S.A.) -G. Warren Nutter

Assistant Secretary of State (I.O.)— Semuel De Palma Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (I.S.A.)—Armistead I. Selden

Assistant Administrator (A.I.D/N.E.S.A.) -Donald G. MacDonald

TIME AND PLACE 3 December 1971, 1100 hours, Situation Room, White House.

#### SUMMARY

Reviewed conflicting reports about major actions in the west wing. C.I.A. agreed to produce map showing areas of East Pakistan occupied by India. The President orders hold on issuance of additional irrevocable letters of credit involving \$99-million, and a hold on further action implementing the \$7million P.L. 480 credit. Convening of Security Council meeting planned contingent on discussion with Pak Ambassador this afternoon plus further clari-fication of actual situation in West Pakistan. Kissinger asked for clarification of secret special interpretation of March, 1959, bilateral U. S. agreement with Pakistan.

**BISSINGER:** I am getting hell every half-hour from the President that we are not being tough enough on India. He has just called me again. He does not believe we are carrying out his if the U.N. can't operate in this kind of wishes. He wants to mport wed For Receiver Security 1/2 utility has bone 74B00415R000300020017-5 Pakistan. He feels everything we do comes out otherwise.

HELMS: Concerning the reported action in the west wing, there are conflicting reports from both sides and the only common ground is the Pak attacks on the Amritsar, Pathankot and Srina-gar airports. The Paks say the Indians are attacking all along the border; but the Indian officials say this is a lie. In the east wing the action is becoming larger and the Paks claim there are now seven separate fronts involved.

KISSINGER: Are the Indians seizing territory?

HELMS: Yes; small bits of territory, definitely.

SISCO: It would help if you could provide a map with a shading of the areas occupied by India. What is happening in the West-is a full-scale attack likely?

MOORER: The present pattern is puzzling in that the Paks have only struck at three small airfields which do not house significant numbers of Indian combat aircraft.

HELMS: Mrs. Gandhi's speech at 1:30 may well announce recognition of Bangladesh.

MOORER: The Pak attack is not credible. It has been made during late afternoon, which doesn't make sense. We do not seem to have sufficient facts on this yet.

KISSINGER: Is it possible that the Indians attacked first and the Paks sim-

ply did what they could before dark in response? MOORER: This is certainly possible.

KISSINGER: The President wants no more irrevocable letters of credit issued under the \$99-million credit. He wants the \$72-million P.L. 480 credit also held.

WILLIAMS: Word will soon get around when we do this. Does the President understand that?

KISSINGER: That is his order, but I will check with the President again. If asked, we can say we are reviewing our whole economic program and that the granting of fresh aid is being suspended in view of conditions on the subcontinent. The next issue is the U.N.

IRWIN: The Secretary is calling in the Pak Ambassador this afternoon, and the Secretary leans toward making a U.S. move in the U.N. soon.

**KISSINGER:** The President is in favor of this as soon as we have some confirmation of this large-scale new action.

to an end and it is useless to think of

U.N. guarantees in the Middle East.

SISCO: We will have a recommendation for you this afternoon, after the meeting with the Ambassador. In order to give the Ambassador time to wire home, we could tentatively plan to convene the Security Council tomorrow. KISSINGER: We have to take action.

The President is blaming me, but you people are in the clear.

SISCO: That's ideal!

KISSINGER: The earlier draft for Bush is too even-handed.

SISCO: To recapitulate, after we have seen the Pak Ambassador, the Secretary will report to you. We will update the draft speech for Bush,

KISSINGER: We can say we favor political accommodation but the real job of the Security Council is to prevent military action.

SISCO: We have never had a reply either from Kosygin or Mrs. Gandhi.

WILLIAMS: Are we to take economic steps with Pakistan also?

KISSINGER: Wait until I talk with the President.- He hasn't addressed this problem in connection with Pakistan vet.

SISCO: If we act on the Indian side. we can say we are keeping the Pakistan situation "under review."

KISSINGER: It's hard to tilt toward Pakistan if we have to match every Indian step with a Pakistan step. If you wait until Monday, I can get a Presidential decision.

PACKARD: It should be easy for us to inform the banks involved to defer action inasmuch as we are so near the weekend.

KISSINGER: We need a WSAG in the morning. We need to think about our treaty obligations. I remember a letter or memo interpreting our existing treaty with a special India tilt. When I visited Pakistan in January, 1962, I was briefed on a secret document or oral understanding about contingencies arising in other than the SEATO context. Perhaps it was a Presidential letter. This was a special interpretation of the March, 1959, bilateral agreement.

Prepared by:

/S/ initials

JAMES M. NOYES

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Near Eastern, African and South Asian Affairs

Approved:

(illegible signature)

For G. Warren Nutter Assistant Secretary of Denfense for International Security Affairs

Distribution: Secdef, Depsecdef, CJCS, ASD(ISA), PDASD(ISA), DASD: NEASA & PPNSCA, Dep Dir: NSCC & PPNSCA, CSD files, R&C files, NESA.

Approved For Release 2001/11/01 : CIA-RDP74B00415R000300020017-5

NEW YORK TIMES

DATE

### PAGE/6

### Account of Dec. 4 Meeting

Covering Memorandum

THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

Secret-Sensitive

ê

Memorandum for: Chief of Staff, U.S.

Armv Chief of Staff, U.S. Air Force Chief of Naval Operations Commandant of the Marine Corps

SUBJECT

Washington Special Action Group meeting on Indo/Pakistan hostilities; 4 December 1971

1. Attached for your information is a memorandum for record concerning subject meeting. 2. In view of the sensitivity of infor-

mation in the N.S.C. system and the detailed nature of this memorandum, it is requested that access to it be limited to a strict need-to-know basis.

For the chairman, J.C.S.: A. K. KNOIZEN

Captain, U.S. Navy Executive assistant to the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff

### Report on the Meeting

Secret Sensitive THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301 5 DECEMBER 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD SUBJECT

Washington Special Action Group meeting on Indo-Pakistan hostilities; 4 December 1971.

1. The N.S.C Washington Special Action Group met in the Situation Room, the White House, at 1100, Saturday, 4 December, to consider the Indo-Pakistan situation. The meeting was chaired by Dr. Kissinger.

2. Attendees

A. Principals: Dr. Henry Kissinger

Dr. John Hannah, A.I.D.

Mr. Richard Helms, C.I.A.

Dr. G. Warren Nutter, Defense

Admiral Elmo Zumwalt, J.C.S.

Mr. Christopher Van Hollen, State

B. Others:

Mr. James Noyes. Defense

Mr. Armistead Selden, Defense

Rear Adm. Robert Welander, O.J.C.S. Capt. Howard Kay, O.J.C.S. Mr. Harold Saunders, N.S.C Col. Richard Kennedy, N.S.C. Mr. Samuel Hoskanson, N.S.C Mr. Donald MacDonald, A.I.D. Mr. Maurice Wiliams, A.I.D. Mr. John Waller, C.I.A. Mr. Samuel De Palma, State Mr. Bruce Laingen, State Mr. David Schneider, State 3. Summary. It was decided that the

U.S. would request an immediate meeting of the Security Council. The U. S. resolution would be introduced in a speech by Ambassador Bush as soon as possible. The U.S.G.-U.N. approach would be tilted toward the Paks. Economic aid for Pakistan currently in effect will not be terminated. No requirements were levied on the J. C. S.

4. Mr. Helms opened the meeting by indicating that the Indians were cur-rently engaged in a no holds barred attack of East Pakistan and that they had crossed the border on all sides this morning. While India had attacked eight Pak airfields there were still no indications of any ground attacks in the West. Although not decreeing a formal declaration of war, President Yahya has stated that "the final war with India is upon us," to which Mrs. Gandhi had responded that the Pak announcement of war constituted the ultimate folly. The Indians, however, had made it a point not to declare war. The Indian attacks have hit a major P.O.L. area in Karachi resulting in a major fire which will likely be blazing for a considerable length of time, thus providing a fine target for the India air force. Mr. Helms indicated that the Soviet assessment is that there is not much chance of a great power confrontation in the current crisis.

5. Dr. Kissinger remarked that if the Indians have announced a full scale invasion, this fact must be reflected in our U.N. statement.

6. Mr. Helms indicated that we do not know who started the current action, nor do we know why the Paks hit the four small airfields yesterday.

7. Dr. Kissinger requested that by Monday the C.I.A. prepare an account of who did what to whom and when.

8. Mr. De Palma suggested that if we

or Release 200 mit 1/01 CIA-RDP74B00415R000300020017-5 Аррі STERN I SUNSITIVE RULLORD TOPS Manager Port C. 04-1260-71 Chief of Stars US AN Washington spacial A Road Littles : i Cocca PROJECT MARLING. In Portant Log The states of the Associated Press

SECRET INDIA-PAKISTAN PAPERS, which Jack Anderson, the columnist, distributed in Washington yesterday. They are classified Secret-Sensitive.

refer to the India declaration in our discussion in the U.N., that we almost certainly will have to refer to remarks by Yahya.

9. Dr. Kissinger replied that he was under specific instructions from the President, and either someone in the bureaucracy would have to prepare this statement along the lines indicated or that it would be done in the White House.

10. Mr. Helms referred to the "no holds barred" remark in the official India statement and similar remarks that were being made from the Pak side.

11. Dr. Kissinger asked whether the Indians have stated anything to the effect that they were in an all-out war. 12. Mr. Helms said that the termi-

nology was "no holds barred."

13. Dr. Kissinger asked what the Paks have said. Mr. Helms said the termi-nology was "final war with India." Dr. Kissinger suggested this was not and objectionable term. It did not seem outrageous to say that they (the Paks) were trying to defend themselves.

14. Dr. Kissinger then asked what was happening in the U.N., to which Mr. De Palma responded that the U.K., Belgium, Japan and possibly France were joining for a call for a Security Council meeting. The Japanese had detected some slight tilt in our letter requesting the meeting. The Japanese preferred a blander formulation. We have not, however, reacted to the Japanese.

15. Dr. Kissinger asked to see the letter and requested that it be promulgated in announcing our move in the U.N., to which Mr. De Palma responded affirmatively.

16. Dr. Kissinger stated that while he had no strong view on the letter, our position must be clearly stated in the announcement.

17. Dr. Kissinger stated he did not care how third parties might react, so long as Ambassador Bush understands what he should say.

18. Dr. Kissinger said that whoever was putting out background information relative to the current situation is provoking Presidential wrath. The Pres-ident is under the "illusion" that he is giving instructions; not that he is mere-

be kept in mind.

19. Mr. De Palma indicated that he did not yet know whether the Security Council would be convened in the afternoon or evening (this date). However, the first statements at the meeting would likely be those by the Indians and Paks. He suggested that Ambassador Bush should be one of the first speakers immediately following the presentation by the two contesting nations. He fet that the impact of our statement would be clearer if it were made early. Dr. Kissinger voiced no objections.

20. Mr. De Palma asked whether we wanted to get others lined up with our resolution before we introduced it. This, however, would take time. Dr. Kissinger suggested rather than follow this course, we had better submit the resolution as quickly as possible, alone if necessary. According to Dr. Kissinger the only move left for us at the present time is to make clear our position relative to our greater strategy. Everyone knows how all this will come out and everyone knows that India will ulti-mately occupy East Pakistan. We must,

therefore, make clear our position, table our resolution. We want a resolution which will be introduced with a speech by Ambassador Bush. If others desire to come along with us, fine; but in any event we will table the resolution with a speech by Ambassador Bush.

21. Dr. Kissinger continued that it was important that we register our position. The exercise in the U.N. is likely to be an exercise in futility, inasmuch as the Soviets can be expected to veto. The U.N., itself, will in all probability do little to terminate the war. He summarized the foregoing by saying that he assumed that our resolution in the U.N. will be introduced by a speech and there will be no delay. We will go along in general terms with reference to political accommodation in East Pakistan but we will certainly not imply or suggest any specifics, such as the release of Mujib.

22. Dr. Kissinger asked how long the Indians could delay action in the Council. Mr. De Palma said they could make long speeches or question our purpose. Mr. Van Hollen said that they would draw out as long as possible which would allow them to concentrate on the situation in East Pakistan. Mr. De Palma said that they could shilly-shally for three or four days which, Mr. Helms stated would be long enough for them to occupy East Pakistan. Mr. De Palma stated that we could always try to force a vote. Dr. Kissinger reiterated that there was no chance in getting anything useful in the U.N.

23. Mr. De Palma suggested that in all likelihood one side or the other will veto.

24. Concerning the matter of economic aid, Dr. Kissinger stated that the President had directed that cutoff was to be directed at India only. He indicated, however, that he wanted to read the announcement to the President so that the latter would know exactly what he might be getting into. At this point Mr. Williams asked whether some mention should be made in the statement explaining why aid for Pakistan is not being cut off. Dr. Kissinger said that information would be kept for background only.

25. Mr. Williams said that the Department of Agriculture indicated that the price of vegetable oil was weakening in the United States; thus cutting off this P.L.-480 commodity to India could have repercussions on the domestic market. He asked, therefore, whether oil could be shipped in place of wheat. Dr. Kissinger said that he will have the answer to that by the opening or business Monday.

26. Dr. Kissinger then asked for a brief rundown on the military situation. Admiral Zumwalt responded that he thought the Paks could hold the line in East Pakistan for approximately one or two weeks before the logistics problems became overriding. He expected the Soviets to cement their position in India and to push for permanent usage of the naval base at Visag. He antici-pated that the Soviet's immediate short range objective would be to gain milltary advantages through their current relationship with India.

27. Dr. Kissinger indicated that the next meeting will convene Monday morning (Dec. 6).

/S/ H. N. Kay

H. N. KAY

In N. KAY Iy being kept apprised of affairs as they progress. Dr. R. KAY progress. Dr. R. Kay South Asia/M.A.P. Branch, J5 Extension 72400

NEW APPROVED FOR Belease 2001/11/01 : CIA-RDR 748 (91) KOR 300 720 17-5 PAGE

### Memo on Dec. 6 Meeting

THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

6 December 1971 MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

#### SUBJECT

Washington Special Action Group meeting on Indo-Pakistan hostilities; 6 December 1971.

1. The N.S.C. Washington Special Action Group met in the Situation Room, the White House, at 1100, Monday, 6 December, to consider the Indo-Pakistan situation. The meeting was chaired by Dr. Kissinger.

2. Attendees

A. Principals:

Dr. Henry Kissinger

Mr. David Packard, Defense

Ambassador U. Alexis Johnson, State Gen. William Westmoreland, J.C.S. Mr. Richard Helms, C.I.A.

Mr. Donald MacDonald, A.I.D.

B. Others:

- Mr. Christopher Van Hollen, State
- Mr. Samuel De Palma, State

Mr. Bruce Lanigen, State

Mr. Joseph Sisco, State

Mr. Armistead Selden, Defense

Mr. James Noyes, Defense

Mr. John Waller, C.I.A.

Mr. Samuel Hoskanson, N.S.C.

Col. Richard Kennedy, N.S.C.

Mr. Harold Saunders, N.S.C. Rear Adm. Robert Welander, O.J.C.S.

Capt. Howard Kay, O.J.C.S.

Mr. Maurice Williams, A.I.D.

3. Summary. Discussion was devoted to the massive problems facing Bangladesh as a nation. Dr. Kissinger indicated that the problem should be studied now. The subject of possible military

#### Terms Used in Texts

A.I.D.—Agency for International De-velopment A.S.D. (1.S.A.)—Assistant Secretary of De-fense, International Security Affairs.

A.S.D. (1.5.A., Hernational Security Affairs. C1.A., —Central Intellisence Agency C.I.C.S., —Chairman, Juint Chiefs of Staff. D.S.D.: N.E.A.S.A. & P.P.N.S.C.A. —Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense, near Eastern, African and South Asian Affairs; Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense, Policy Plans and National Security Coun-cli Affairs: Dep Dir: N.S.C.C. & P.P.N.S.C.A. —Deputy Director, Policy Pans and National Secu-rity Council Affairs of Defense Department I.S.A.—International Security Affairs of Defense Department I.C.S.—Joint Chiefs ef Staff

aid to Pakistan is also to be examined, but on a very close hold basis. The matter of Indian redeployment from East to West was considered as was the legality of the current sea "blockade" by India.

4. Mr. Helms opened the meeting by briefing the current situation. He stated that the Indians had recognized Bangladesh and the Paks had broken diplomatic ties with India. Major fighting continued in the East but India is engaged in a holding action in the West. Mr. Helms felt that the Indians will attempt to force a decision in the East within the next 10 days. The Indians have almost total air superiority now in the East where they can employ approximately a hundred of their aircraft against Pak ground forces and logistic areas. The Indians, however, have not yet broken through on the ground in East Pakistan. Major thrust of the Indian effort in East Pakistan is in the northwest corner of the province. The airfield at Dacca is all but closed. The Indians are registering only minor gains in the Jessore area, but they claim to have taken Kamalpur. In the West, Indian activity is essentially limited to air attacks. The Paks appear to be on the offensive on the ground and have launched air strikes in Punjab. Overall, the Paks claim 61 Indian aircraft destroyed; the Indians claim 47 Pak planes. In naval action one Pak destroyer has been sunk by the Indians and another claimed sunked [sic]. The Indians also claim the sinking of one Pak submarine in eastern waters. Moscow is increasingly vocal in its support of

L.O.C.—Line(s) of communication N.E.A.—Near Eastern Affairs, Section of State Department N.E.S.A.—Near Eastern and South

O.S.D. Files.—Unice ... Files. Paks.—Pakistanis P.D.A.S.D. (I.S.A.).—Principal Deputy As-sistant Secretary of Defense. International Security Affairs. P.O.L.—Detroleum, oil and Jubricants R & C Files.—Records and Control Files. P.L.—public law Secdef.—Secretary of Defense. U.S.G.—United States Government W.S.A.G.—Washington Special Action Group, arm of National Security Council.

India and is not supporting any U.N. Approved For Release 2001/14701 : CIA RDP74B00445R000300020017-5 moves to halt the fighting. The Chinese

5. Dr. Kissinger then asked for a military assessment, questioning how long the Paks might be able to hold out in the East. General Westmoreland responded that it might be as much as three weeks.

6. Dr. Kissinger asked what is to be done with Bangladesh. Mr. Helms stated that for all practical purposes it is mpw an independent country, recognized by India.

7. Ambassador Johnson suggested that the Pak armed forces now in East Pakistan could be held hostage. General Westmoreland re-enforced this by noting there was no means of evacuating West Pak forces from the cast wing, particularly in view of Indian naval superiority.

8. Dr. Kissinger stated that the next state of play will involve determining our attitude toward the state of Bangladesh.

9. Mr. Williams referred to the one and a half million urdu speaking (Bihari) people in East Pakistan who could also be held hostage.

10. Dr. Kissinger asked if there had already been some massacre of these people. Mr. Williams said that he certainly thinks there will be. Dr. Kissinger asked if we could do anything, to which Mr. Williams stated that perhaps an international humanitarian effort could be launched on their behalf. Dr. Kissinger asked whether we should be calling attention to the plight of these people now. Mr. Williams said that most of these people were, in fact, centered around the rail centers; that they are urban dwellers and that some efforts on their behalf might well be started through the U.N. Dr. Kissinger suggested that this be done quickly in order to prevent a bloodbath. Mr. Sisko stated that while the U.N. cannot do anything on the ground at this time, public attention could be focused on this situation through the General Assembly.

11. Mr. Williams referred to the 300,000 Bengalis in West Pakistan, and that they too were in some jeopardy. Mr. Sisco said that this humanitarian issue could be a very attractive one for the General Assembly and that we would begin to focus on Assembly action. Mr. MacDonald cited as a possible precedent the mass movement of population from North Vietnam in 1954.

12. Returning to the military picture, Mr. Williams stated that he felt that the primary thrust of the Indian Army would be to interdict Chittagong and cut off any supply capability still existing for the Paks in the East. He said that he felt that the major thrust of the Indian Army in the East would be to destroy the Pak regular forces. He felt that a major job would be to restore order within the East inasmuch as it will be faced with a massacre as great as any we have faced in the 20th century.

13. General Westmoreland suggested that the Indians would probably need three or four divisions to continue to Approved For Release 2001/11/01 CIA-RDP741500415R000300020017-5

the Indian forces in the West.

Will. Mr. Sisco opined that the Indians second pull out most of their troops

with a very friendly population; thus, they will turn the military efforts over to the Mukti Bahini as quickly as possible. He felt that the extent and timing of Indian withdrawal from East Pakistan would depend to a large degree on developments in the West.

15. In response to a question, General Westmoreland stated that Indian transportation capabilities were limited from West to East, and that it would probably take at least a week to move one infantry division. It might take as much as a month to move all or most of the Indian forces from the East to the West.

16. Mr. Sisco said that the long term presence of Indian forces in Bangladesh would have to be addressed. Mr. Van Hollen remarked that should the Indian Army remain more than two or three weeks after the situation in East Pakistan is wrapped up they would, in fact, become a Hindu army of occupation in the eyes of the Bengalis.

17: Mr. Van Hollen raised the problem of the return of the refugees from India. Inasmuch as Bangladesh is predominantly Moslem, the return of 10 million refugees, most of whom are Hindu, would present another critical problem.

18. General Westmoreland suggested that the Indian position in the West was not unadvantageous. He briefly dis-cussed the order of battle in West Pakistan and suggested that the Indians were in relatively good shape. He said that he expected the major Pak effort to be toward Kashmir and the Punjab. The Indians, he felt, will be striking toward Hyderabad so as to cut the main L.O.C. to Karachi. He did not think that the Indians necessarily plan to drive all the way to Karachi. He also suggested that the current Indian move in that direction could very well be diversionary in order to force the Paks to pull reserves back from the Kashmir area.

19. Mr. Packard asked about the P.O.L. supply situation for Pakistan. Mr. Helms said that at the present time it looked very bad. The overland L.O.C.'s from Iran, for example, were very tenuous.

20. Mr. Williams suggested that the reason fort he Indian thrust to the south was essentially political. Inasmuch as the Indians do not want to fight on the border they will have to give ground in Kashmir. In order to ward off parliamentary criticism, Mrs. Gandhi may be going for some Pak real

estate in the south. 21. Dr. Kissinger then asked about U.N. initiatives. Mr. Sisco said that we are now reviewing the situation with Ambassador Bush. Two Security Council resolutions have been vetoed by the Soviets. However, there is a groundswell building in New York for an emergency session by the General Assembly to be convened under the provisions of the "threat to peace" mechanism. The crisis could be moved into the Assembly through a simple ma-

that any resolution introduced into the General Assembly must retain two key lements Cease re and withdraws?

that our U.N. delegation has handled the situation extremely well to date at what could be done ME Sisce aprend the situation of the range of the situation of the likely that the crisis will be introduced in the General Assembly, we must re-member that there are 136 countries represented therein and we can expect all sorts of pressure to be generated. Mr. De Palma suggested that when the resolution is introduced in the Assembly there will be a new twist, i.e.: the Indians will be no longer terribly interested in political accommodation. By that time that issue will have ceased to be a problem.

military forces. Dr. Kissinger agreed

23. Mr. De Palma said that a Council meeting was scheduled for 3:30 today and at that time we could try to get the Council to let go of the issue in order to transfer it to the Assembly, it being quite obvious that we are not going to get a cease-fire through the Security Council.

24. Dr. Kissinger asked if we could expect the General Assembly to get the issue by the end of the day, to which Mr. De Palma replied that hopefully this will be the case.

25. Dr. Kissinger said that we will go with essentially the same speech in the General Assembly as was made in the Security Council, but he would like something put in about refugees and the text of our resolution.

26. Dr. Kissinger also directed that henceforth we show a certain coolness to the Indians; the Indian Ambassador is not to be treated at too high a level. 27. Dr. Kissinger then asked about a legal position concerning the current Indian naval "blockade." Mr. Sisco stated that we have protested both incidents in which American ships have been involved. However, no formal proclamation apparently has been made in terms of a declaration of a war, that it is essentially still an undeclared war, with the Indians claiming power to exercise their rights of beligerency. State would however, prepare a paper on the legal aspects of the issue. Ambassador Johnson said that so far as he was concerned the Indians had no legal position to assert a blockade.

28. Dr. Kissinger asked that a draft protest be drawn up. If we considered it illegal, we will make a formal diplomatic protest, Mr. Sisco said that he would prepare such a protest.

29. Dr. Kissinger then asked whether we have the right to authorize Jordan or Saudi Arabia to transfer military equipment to Pakistan. Mr. Van Hollen stated the United States cannot permit a third country to transfer arms which we have provided them when we, ourselves, do not authorize sale direct to the ultimate recipient, such as Pakistan. As of last January we made a legislative decision not to sell to Pakistan. Mr. Sisco said that the Jordanians would be weakening their own position by such a transfer and would probably be grateful if we could get them off the hook. Mr. Sisco went on to say that as the Paks increasingly feel the heat we will be getting emergency requests from them.

clined to let the Paks be defeated. Mr. Packard then said that we should look

Dr. Kissinger indicated he would like a paper by tomorrow (7 Dec.). 31, Mr. Sisco suggested that what

we are really interested in are what supplies and equipment could be made available, and the modes of delivery of this equipment. He stated that from a political point of view our efforts would have to be directed at keeping the Indians from "extinguishing" West Pakistan.

32. Dr. Kissinger turned to the matter of aid and requested that henceforth letters of credit not be made irrevokable. Mr. Williams stated that we have suspended general economic aid, not formally committed, to India which reduces the level to \$10-million. He suggested that what we have done for Pakistan in the same category does not become contentious inasmuch as the Indians are now mobilizing all development aid for use in the war effort, whereas remaining aid for East Pakistan is essentially earmarked for fertilizer and humanitarian relief. A case can be made technically, politically and legally that there is a difference between the aid given India and that given to Pakistan.

33. Dr. Kissinger said to make sure that when talking about cutoff of aid for India to emphasize what is cut off and not on what is being continued.

34. Dr. Kissinger then asked about evacuation. Mr. Sisco said that the Dacca evacuation had been aborted.

35. Dr. Kissinger inquired about a possible famine in East Pakistan, Mr. Williams said that we will not have a massive problem at this time, but by next spring this will quite likely be the case. Dr. Kissinger asked whether we will be appealed to bail out Bangladesh. Mr. Williams said that the problem would not be terribly great if we could continue to funnel 140 tons of food a month through Chittagong, but at this time nothing is moving. He further suggested that Bangladesh will need all kinds of help in the future, to which Ambassador Johnson added that Bangla-desh will be an "international basket case." Dr. Kissinger said, however, it will not necessarily be our basket case." Mr. Williams said there is going to be need of massive assistance and resettling of refugees, transfers of popula-tion and feeding the population. Dr. Kissinger suggested that we ought to start studying this problem right now.

36. Mr. Williams suggested that the Indians had consistently requested refugee aid in cash. The Indians in turn will provide the food and support for the refugees. This has provided India with a reservoir of foreign currency. Dr. Kissinger also asked that this problem be looked at by tomorrow to determine whether we could provide commodities in lieu of cash. We do not want to cut off humanitarian aid. We would like to provide material rather than cash.

37. The meeting was then adjourned. /S/ H. N. KAY

### $\overset{30}{\text{idemp}} \overset{\text{Kissingen}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{sid}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{that}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{tha}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{tha}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{tha}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{tha}}{\longrightarrow} \overset{\text{tha}}{\longrightarrow}$

quests. The matter has not been brought to Presidential attention but it is guite

South Asia/M.A.P. Branch, J5 Extension 72400:

Approved For Release 2001/11/01 : CIA-RDP74200415R000300020017-5 SHINGTON POST DATE THE WASHINGTON POST

### House Committee Will Probe Classification ocuments

### By Sanford J. Ungar

Washington Post Staff Writer Rep. F. Edward Hebert (D- day among high-level adminis-La.), chairman of the House tration sources that the inves-Armed Services Committee, tigation had already pln-yesterday announced "a major inquiry into the problem of proper classification and han-ding of government informa-tion involving the national security curity." Washington Post Staff Writer

nam war last summer, an now Anderson's release of cur-rent documents, appeared to have focused new concern throughout the government nowever, pointed their fingers over the troubled security issewhere. classification system.

classification system. Hebert assigned the new probe, which will herin shortly after Congress, recon-tee headed by Rep. Lucien Nedzi (D-Mich), a critic of the Pentagon and of administra-tion policy in Vietnam tion policy in Vietnam.

tion policy in vietnam. In a telephone interview lad night, Nedzi said that "it is not my intent to investigate the leak" of documents to An-

derson. What we what to go into are the general problems of tinued to decline comment on fut Kissinger's claim. The stifted on and Security, the continuing FBI investigation and Security, tion. how much is required and how new legislation may be neces-

sary," Nedzi said. He acknowledged, however, hat the Anderson documents, are of which appeared in the Washington Post resterday, would "almost nee essarily" come up during the fobe.

Meanwhile, government investigators pressed their ef-forts to locate the source of Anderson's documents.

A report circulated yester-

curity." He said it was "a control the memoranda, prepared for dence" that the investigation would come on the hells of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and yourd come on the hells of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and for G. Warren Nutter, assist-ant secretary of defense for international security affairs, cret government document; concerning American policy in the Indo-Pakistain war.

Nonetheless, the disclosure **They** said they were espe-of the top-secret Pentago papers on the history of Viet the memoranda, because it nam war last summer would be relatively easy to trace their limited distribu-

one White House official said he suspected that the State Department was the

it is handled and what kind of the against government secrecy

Adderson columns, and Kis-in determining what informa-singer, who is in San Clemante the security breach. The subject that the staff Te use backet was the discuss them. "The infistances that might shout Kissinger's earlier com-fictual for the security breach. If a differ a subject of the subject is should be the president Nixon's national secur-ty off the national Secur-tic configuration of the the adderson of the should be the offict hand, attention was di-the continuing FBI investiga-tion. Anderson continues his bat-today, switching from the president Nixon in prep-today, switching from the president Nixon in prep-stations had picked up contents used by President Nixon in prep-today, switching from the president Nixon in prep-stations distributed to the continuing FBI investiga-tion. Anderson continues his bat-today, switching from the president Nixon in prep-stations had picked up contents and by president Nixon in prep-statis at said, 18 news organi-mat a column distributed to the source is at said is a presered in the mail. The acolumn distributed to the source is and size of the secret indepartment secret Minister Easkur Sato. The New Sore content sused Ministen Considering from the president Nixon in prep-says, indicate that Sato has anderson quotes' a cable from the president of meetings at San considering fragment stations had picked up copies. Ministen Cost, Anderson anderson quotes' a cable from the president on meetings at San discloses the contents of brief ing papers, prepared for the president on more any a stato has anderson quotes' a cable from the secure to brief sato and the memoranda and in a column distributed to the documents in news service. The wedespread appearance policy in the Far Eastan Sato has ben distributed for china. Anderson quotes' a cable from Armin Meyer, U. Anderson quotes' a cable from Armin Meyer, U. Shy appeared to obvict the contents in news service ments of paster wonoits have forstere

while **President's** mission to **Peking gives** (the U.S. govern-ment) advantage of appearing to be more progressive and peace-minded." In San Clemente, one **San J. W. Fulbright** (D-Ark.), chairman of the com-mittee, was in the Caribbean on vacation and could not be reached for comment.

Japanese diplomat in the reached for comment. Japanese diplomat in the reacned for comment. Sato party told Washington Post reporter Stanley Karnow that it was "alarming" to learn the content of the secret

American-Japanese security ers,

American-Japanese security ers, including information treaty. Assistant White House press secretary Gerald Warren con-tinued to refuse comment on any of the disclosures in the a way for Congress to share Anderson columns, and Kis-in determining what informa-singer, who is in San Clemente discuss them.

that it was "alarning of Relations commuter on all learn the content of the secret 16, which said, "The problem American papers. If for Congress in the foreign

American papers. "I must pay my compliments affairs field ... goes beyond to the White House," he added, reducing unnecessary classi-however. "They understand fication." Japanese attitudes very well." The diplomat said he was volves finding a way for Con-especially concerned by refer-ences in today's Anderson receives the full information column to growing interest necessary for exercising its column to growing interest necessary for exercising its in Japan in a revision of the war and foreign policy powincluding information

ments of past few months have fostered seeds of doubt within normally American-oriented community." Meyer also told Washington Meyer also told Washington Meyer also told Washington Approved Fight the Japanese have the being asked to maintain cold-war confrontation posture India and Pakistan, a high-

# Accurate Intelligence Reports Ignored In Pakistan War, Secret Notes Reveal

### **By SAUL FRIEDMAN** Herald Washington Bureau

WASHINGTON - As in the Vietnam war, American intelligence information before and during the India-Pakistan conflict generally was accurate, but apparently was ignored by White House policymakers.

This became evident in interviews with American officials, on the scene in India and Bangladesh, who refer-

red to their secret messages to Washington.

The conclusion is supported by the minutes of secret strategy sessions here, which have been released in full by columnist Jack Anderson.

FURTHERMORE, Michi-Lucien gan Congressman Nedzi, Democratic chairman of an armed services subcommittee on intelligence, said: "My. reviews so far suggest to me the biggest problem is the use, or the lack of use, the executive makes of intelligence."

In the Indo-Pakistan war, as on other occasions, Nedzi said, "One gets the impression that policy is made in the President's bedroom."

Nedzi explained that he meant that President Nixon is engaging in "one-man" policy-making, which does not take into account the opinions of the intelligence community and the state department.

American officials here and in India complained that during the Indo-Pakistan war, intelligence experts and experienced State Department personnel were removed from policy making. Among those excluded were consuls and even Kenneth Keating, the ambassador to India, they said.

between intelligence information and policy-making, the White House insisted on an anti-India, pro-Pakistan policy and ended up on the losing side, U.S. officials in Washington and overseas said.

The Pentagon study of the Vietnam war disclosed that Intelligence Central the Agency and other intelligence gatherers correctly assessed the strength of the Communists, the relative in-effectiveness of American bombing, and the weaknesses in the "domino theory" --the belief that if South Vietnam fell to the Communists, the rest of Southeast Asia would follow.

Similarly, American officials stationed as political observers and intelligence gatherers in India and East Pakistan are bitter that their information was ignored by the White House.

Consequently, they say, the White House underestimated:

• The efects of the Pakistani reign of terror in East Pakistan (now Bangladesh) that resulted in a guerrilla war for independence and the Indian invasion.

The determination of Indian prime Minister Indira Gandhi to aid Bangladesh and return to the new country the 10 million refugees who fled to India from the Pakistani terror.

The improvement of the Indian armed forces since 1965, when they suffered defeat at the hands of American-equipped Pakistanis.

the White House, paying called me again. He does not closer attention to reports of believe we are carrying out the ambassador to Pakistan, his wishes. He wants to tilt misundersood the role of the in favor of Pakistan. He feels AS A RESULT of the gap East Pakistan Awami everything we do comes out

League. They say the White House failed to realize that the league, which bore the brunt of the terror campaign (its leader, Sheik Mujibur Rahman, was jailed) represented the moderate left, in opposition to Maoist Communists.

India, criticized by mainland China, primarily went to the aid of the Awami League, which meant that the U.S. inadvertently supported the more radical leftists in Bangladesh.

THE MINUTES of the Special Action Group meetings that were obtained by Anderson show that even in the early days of the 14-day war. the CIA and the Pentagon correctly predicted that the Indians would capture East Pakistan and recognize the Bangladesh government, but fight only a holding action on the borders of West Pakistan.

Nevertheless, national security adviser Henry Kissinger indicated that the President personally was making policy. The policy was apparently at odds with the intelligence.

State Department sources, apparently at the President's behest, continually voiced concern to reporters that India was bent on carrying the war into West Pakistan, when the intelligence officials were saying that front was "a holding action."

Kissinger told one meeting of the group:

"I am getting hell every half-hour from the President that we are not being tough The officials maintain that enough on India. He has just