Approved For Release 2001/07/26: CIA-RDP75-00001R000100300015-8



Information 17 May 1966 Fage: 2

CPYRGHT

### rolltica rovocation or tersonal Enmity

During the Inquisition's five secturies spiteful people had an easy way to suppress their enemies. An accusation of association with the devil was usually enough.

The Inquisition tribunals did the rest.

In our times the methods have become more subtle, but not less effective for that reason. You accuse your enemies of being connected with the CIA, an accusation, which naturally is more difficult to refute than to make, and the anti-Americanism's professionals do the rest.

Two distinguished newspapers, the American New York Times and the Danish Information, have within the past two weeks published accusations against the international writer and artist cooperation, The Congress for Cultural Freedom, but wheras the accusations in The New York Times were anonymous and clearly written by a person of very little knowledge of the congress' activity, then the accuser in <u>information</u> has a name, Frank Osvald, and a knowledge of several years of the congress, having contributed to several of its publications.

### Insimuation without Cover

And whereas The New York Times never has indicated, that there should be any direct and unequivocal connection between the congress and the CIA, then Frank Osvald founds his accusations just on such an insimuation, which he without a cover in the facts appribes to the American paper.

French Develd writes in <u>Information</u> of La-Liber The paper images a sense bigues estatement to the effect that the Dis prof. Companies support to see liberal. Companies for Cultural Freedom and publications confected with it seed as the British Exercise.

texp at the relicing unabreviated

texp at the relicing unabreviated unabreviated

texp at the relicing unabreviated

tex

nal. The New York Times wrote mamely:

"Through similar channels (foundations), the CIA has supported groups of exiles from Cuba and refugees from Communism in Furope or anti-Communist but liberal organizations of intellectuals such as the Congress for Cultural Freedom and some of their newspapers and magazines.

Phocunter magazine, a well-known anti-Communist, intellectual monthly with editions in Spanish and Carman as well as in English, was for a long time—though it is not now—one of the indirect beneficiaries of CIA funds through arrangements that have never been publicly explained.

Thus a claim about CIA support through philantropic funds and thus no accusation of a direct and unequivocal connection.

The distinction has far more than academic importance. Cavald's accession implicates a deliberate and accepted connection between the congress and the American intelligence organ, whereas The New York Times' formulation leaves the possibility open, that the congress unknowingly has been used by the CIA via other organizations

The congress' General Secretary, Composer Nicolas Nabokov, points at this in a letter to The New York Times: "We have with surprise and anxiety read the reject in the New York Times of 27 April, in which it is maintained, that an American Government organ has contributed secretly to a lot of various cultural activities all over the world. The report suggests, that there among the many independent patrons of the arts, who in course of time have contributed financially to the Congress for unitary freedom's conferences and publications, possibly are American funds, which have connection with the CIA.

The longress for Cultural Freedom has never consciously received support, direct to indirect, from any secret source, it be American or any other nationality frants and constructions received in course of time have been given in the form of grants in the form of practical transfer and note of the donors have tried to include the way the Congress for Culture and Congress to the congress for Culture the congress to the congress for Culture the congress to the congress for Culture congress to the congress to

been a matter of public record. We have been completely convinced, that private american and European philanthropy was non-governmental.

We will, however, in the light of the implications, which have been raised in The New-York Times' report, ask individuals and organizations, which have supported us to our satisfaction to explain the private character of their support. It is our intention to maintain the congress' unassailable integrity.

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T

# An entirely Pres Organ

bo far the congress' general secretary. In addition four prominent Americans have given the congress's vote of confidence without any reservation at all in a joint address to the New York Times. Two of these were ambassadors under President Kennedy and the third was the president's political adviser, namely the Professors John Kenneth Galbraith, George Kennan, and Arthur Schlesinger jr., and the fourth was an American university professor, atomic Physicist J. Robert Oppenheimer, one of the Maderithyism's best known offers in the United States. It read as follows: On the basis of our own experiences with the congress during the past 16 years—with its seminars, art festivals, its periodicals, and its staff—we can categorically say, that we are in no doubt about the independence of its policy, its entiress' integrity, or the value of its work. To our experience, the congress under the Madership of General Secretary Nicolas Nabokov has been a completely free or-

payorital and other section of the other sections of the other sec

AND COME REPORTED HER THE COME AND COME

able because all four of them, as we know, are among the sharpest critics of their country's policy in Southeast Asia.

Also three former and present Procurter aditors, the British poet Stephen Spender and the tip American writers, Pelvin J. Lasty and Irving Kristol, protested to The New York lines. They wrote, for example: "Does The Times want the reader to draw the manufact, that the aditorial contents, the former or present editors of the Enginess were under influence by the Ciar is tampot believe that this was the Times' intantion, the political articles (a small part by the whole) have been written by prominent reporters and scientists, whose thegrity and whose fame are beyond all doubt (manufact of them are actually contributors to the New York Times magazine and book review sections). They write that they want, and in the Encounter they have unitable the british Sues-adventure, the Soviet intervention in Hungary, the American part in Swine Bay, and the bombings in Yorkam Regarding the stitors, we are the against and not parts of somebody's propagance.

## Serious Typographical Error

the second second of the second secon

The pot true when The Times says that the Facounter had or has some issues in the Configuration. Furthermore, the variety of the validate which was published in the International Motion of March contained a jumbling up of two sepa-

Control of the contro

Ter had been propaganding for the U.S. On the contrary Endounter is taken to the contrary Endounter in the contrary Endounter is taken to the contrary Endounter in the contrary Endounter is taken to the contrary Endounter in the contrary Endounter is taken to the contrary Endounter in the contrary Endounter is taken to the contrary Endounter in the contrary Endounter is taken to the contrary Endounter in the contrary Endounter is taken to the contrary Endounter is ta

#### **CPYRGHT**

All this was available for Frank Cavald, when he wrote his article in Information. The sentence alone with which he continues what previously has been cited shows this. He writes namely: "after vehement protests from prominent Americans such as J.K. Calbraith and Arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and arthur M. Schlesinger the editors had to offer an apological statement and application and arthur M.

Not only did Frank Cavald thus feel entitled to include already depied misunderstandings in his material of accusations, but he furthermore gives his private optnion and strengthens again on his own secount the accusations already modified by the editors of The New York Times by saying: "The New York Times gives no source for its accusation, which might be based on the fact that the congress, which for some line was financed by Ford Foundation, followed a special left-wing and anti-Communist line, which often was close to American interests."

# Rough and Uniform Description

It is namely no fact at all that the congress followed "a special left-wing a lanti-Communist line". The congress is no political organization and thus has no problem litical line. Any one knows that who knows anything about the congress' activity at all, including the former contributor to the congress' publications, Frank Osvetc.

As a rough and uniform description, however, the description fits on the congress' Scandinavian secretary, i.e. my personal political opinion and publicity tivity, spart from the fact that it is a question how close my views are to those the United States. Others have during the course of time indicated something quit different. Jana Sceltoft Jansen, late chief editor of <u>Dagons Nyheder</u>, once wrote a fact editorials against my Gongo articles (in <u>Information</u>) under the title "The Voice

Davald's sconsations have rather been sixed at me than at the congress, which is proved by the following where Cavald writes: "Sometimes this was reflected in a lack of consequence. Encounter and its sister publications such as the Franch Preuves and the German Dar Monat took up an intransigent attitude toward Castro, "Sevented Por Reference Dar Monat took up an intransigent attitude toward Castro, "Sevented Por Reference Dar Monat Took up an intransigent attitude toward Castro,"

bring no editorials or editorial comments, makes it difficult to see how they should have been for or against states or persons, and Frank Osvald probably founds his statement on the fact, that all three periodicals—as well as many others—once printed Theodore Draper's analyses of the political development in Cuba under Castro.

## Relations with Castro (and Osvald)

lies that its local Cuba committee was forbidden under the Batista regime, after the Committee's secretary and several other committee members had had to go into exila because of their support to the resistance movement against the Batista dictatorably. At that time the secretary became Castro's first representative in the United States, and the committee's vice president was Castro's present Foreign Minister Raul Ros. I can add, that the committee again is forbidden in Cuba because of its continued work for cultural freedom—thus forbidden by the Castro regime this time.

Paisining through the volumes of the three periodicals, it is totally impossible that gover for Osyald's statement about an editorial interest in one-party relimit over for Osyald's statement about an editorial interest in one-party relimit of Africa. On the contrary, several contributors have, often to my personal
above one expressed a great scepticism toward the new airica's one-party systems,

- The vorld famous economist Professor W. Arthur Lewis' atrongly critical strong Diotatorally in Engonter's Angust issue in 1965;
- After Photologic Day Decome independent, the paper to the paper of the
- Cropped Lands in 1965, but present presently, that the periodical ac-Eligible was talk practice also has tringed vigorius counterings of loverthal a Views allowed the himself is one of Papountag's most prominent staff mambers Applying a Salass 2008/97/26: CIA-RDP75-0098/Facust00300015-8

and the same of the exception to the same of the same

## The Car all and the board following

and mer the undersigned apparently is to be trapped and of the prolitical level to be injury of an organization, whose work for alliural freedom hardly has pleased any intelligence organization in the meat or in the west.

Intellectual scrivity seldom harmonises with political commissaries' thoughts and vishes. In case any one should have forgotten it, I can refer to the estionage novel writer John to Carre's confession: "I tried to remove esplonage from the cold war's sterile arguments and to concentrate the eyes of the realers on the extenses the west incurs sorally by fighting with the weapons made its image by Communism." Where you could read it? In the key issue of Fraginter.

former minister freds Jakobsen as a momber of the popular. International council and I the modes is not be sent as a momber of the popular. International council and I the modes specifies a Soundinavian secretary is denoted by the law one will succeed in insignations a commection between the congress sto the life less for the sake of free latest specifies of the sake of the international climate in Denoter's. Otherwise the modes expenses in the cold war certainly have been too great.

Morgen Bollsinenn.

## Osve A number of the investions

I am sour, that voorgen Schleimann takes my report of The New York Times' hints at the Congress for Cultural Freedom, Endounter, etc. personally; but he must be the best judge, however, to what extent the characteristic is relevant in this respect.

Regarding the non-personal side of the matter, I loyally reported NYI's statements pointed posseved For Release 2001/07/26 of LATREP 75:000018000100300015-8 mentioned)

offered as allies, the heartly be sufficient reset on any remain soul the population of the market process. "Special"

[Down \_\_initiateenn's shooked rescript on my remain soul the population of the semination of a little naive. The Congress for Gulfmal Presdom was founded duting the market of the application of the spiritual strains shows fight against the ring the market strain for example—(as an against the remainded tradition made the semination of the congress indeplots remainded to example—(as an against the semination of the congress indeplots (with the remainded to example—(as an against about the congress indeplots readly against the semination of the congress 'implication, as any rate

In practice this has been reflected in a certain one-sidedness in the choice and treatment of the material, sometimes considering the United States' realpolitical interests. The pontrast between the very serious treatment of Africa and the less serious treatment of Ouba, as well as Encounter's late discovery of the Vietnam problem, are just smong the more striking new examples.

Occasion behave these "insinuations" would not street any attention not give rise to any shook for years the dongress was lighting with papers such as New Statement ships acquised the Theorems of the their set in a serious Toreign policy projected arm. Wis ford roundation's manage, the congress regard by bringing cyts projected arm. Wis ford roundation's manage, the congress regard by bringing cyts projected arm. Wis ford roundation's manage, the congress regard by bringing cyts projected arm. Wis ford roundation with the Statement to light.

All this naturally does not make the congress publicity and other work less valuable. Though on a much more modest level than the angry Schleimann, I have personally contributed to this work as a stall member in Several English publications for several years.