FOIAb3b

CPYRGHT

Military Court Rules Out Evidence **Based on Inadmissible Confessions**

The court split 2 to 1 in ruling.

The court split 2 to 1 in ruling.

The Haynes case began In a vigorous dissenting when he was given a lie detectory opinion, Judge George M. Latifar for feet by a givilian intelligence opinion, Judge George M. Latifar for feet by a givilian intelligence delayed that a window opinion of the court split through those statements."

In a major decision, the United States Court of Military Appeals ruled yesterday that evidence uncovered by military prosecutors as a result of an inadmissible confession may not be used against the person who gave the confession.

The court split 2 to 1 in The majority decision, permitting such evidence "are dire in the extreme." To sanction such procedures, the judge declared, would be to permit through the prosecution to obtain confessions by force or other unmitting such evidence to be lawful means "and then simple used and also overturned an earlier military appellate court upon the evidence procured through those statements."

Airman 1/c Cyrus W. Haynes, 25, of Cullman, Ala., on morals and extortion changes.

When he was given a he detection, Judge George M. Latitor test by a civilian intellimer declared that a widespread gence agency in June, 1956, preliminary to being given a the majority "would very efficience agency in June, 1956, preliminary to being given a the majority "would very efficience of the rule enunciated by the majority "would very efficience of a security clearance. Prior to this examination, Defense a superal was assured his answers would mitted in evidence a gun also. prosecution.

> charged after the lie detector court for guidance "must wonexamination. The prosecution der at the cavalier manner in based its case on evidence which we overturn our previous turned up as a result of those ous holding."
> statements. Wender said the case was an "unlawful search" of Haynes' mind.

The evidence was inadmissible at the trial, Ferguson wrote, and the ramifications of

was assured his answers would mitted in evidence a gun albe treated with confidence and though a statement about the would not lead to criminal weapon's location was inadmissible, Latimer said military authorities who look to the