

Sanitized - Approved For Release : C

Appendix

Nikita Khrushchev: Master Architect of
Blueprints for Destruction

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. KARL E. MUNDT

OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Friday, June 3, 1960

MR. MUNDT. Mr. President, recent world events lead us to believe, with unequivocal firmness, that the Dictator of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, Mr. NIKITA KHRUSHCHEV—a practitioner of a philosophy characterized by a basic attitude of uncompromising hostility to all noncommunist societies and the ideas held in them—is indeed a master architect in drawing up blueprints for destruction.

It is no secret that our Government has advocated an open-skies policy with the Russian people since the summit meeting at Geneva, Switzerland, in 1955. In order to prove to the Soviets that we in America will do anything without reason to avert an international incident which would cancel out world peace.

As a result, and backed up with the record of over 40 years of historically documented brutality, subversion, subjugation and ruthless, godless oppression illustrated in communism's insatiable and unquenchable thirst to crush freedom and human dignity in a relentless, never-curling road to world domination without detours, our Government has found it necessary to carry on top secret intelligence operations such as the recent U-2 plane flight which will prevent another Pearl Harbor; another Korea. In this modern atomic and hydrogen age, we could not take the risk of carnage and national devastation which could all too realistically include the destruction of every American city and hamlet. We could not take the risk of consequences which would follow a similar attack as happened at Pearl Harbor and as happened at the 38th parallel in Korea; an attack that could come across the Russian borders.

And since the Russian Government consistently continues to refuse to cooperate in our efforts to establish permanent peace, we have had no other recourse than to undertake highly dangerous, but much needed, intelligence work in order to protect our Nation and our people through the age-old methods of defense so long in use by the Communists themselves, lest we do not wake up one day from our failure to know in time what our opponents in lasting freedom had been planning against us.

Thus, the latest demonstration of the Soviet's failure to cooperate in maintaining world peace took place at the

Paris summit conference some weeks ago. It is no secret any longer that Mr. Khrushchev used the U-2 plane incident only as a well-timed and calculated pretext to wreck the high-level discussions of our world leaders at that meeting. For many months, Mr. Khrushchev has been talking, agitating and propagandizing for a summit conference. Finally, when it did come about, what did he do but wreck it? I believe, as do many of my other fellow Americans believe, that this was a well-timed, well-planned and organized move on the Soviet part to foster their greedy desires of world domination.

It has been encouraging to me, Mr. President, as it has to many of us, to note the way our American citizens and the rest of the free world—with the exception of the usual number of misguided who are always disgruntled and have nothing to offer except to brag how they would handle things—are 100 percent behind our President and his actions in Paris.

In anger, our people resent the statements made by Khrushchev in Paris, along with his latest comment that he believes President Eisenhower desires peace, but the "road to hell is paved with good intentions and he will really get there."

President Eisenhower's welcome-home demonstration here in our Nation's Capital at which, literally thousands and thousands of Americans from all walks of life waited and stood along the streets and street corners to greet him, proved to me that for the most part, the American citizen resents these remarks against his leaders and that he is behind the international policy of our administration and our country.

I am pleased to note also, from my own conversations with the good folks back in South Dakota, that they, too, are among those millions who are strongly backing the President on his actions at the summit. They have reacted like the rest of us who did not condone nor appreciate the bigoted approach used by the Soviet Union at those ill-fated talks. Nor do they believe in appeasement with Soviet Russia in order to maintain world peace. Nor do they believe in those individuals who are trying desperately to make the summit breakdown a case for petty partisan political debate and open criticism of the President and the present administration.

In effect, it appears to me that as a cold war maneuver, Khrushchev's performance in Paris may have done more to unite the free world against Communist aggression than anything since the most unfortunate, bloody and brutal attack on the freedom-loving citizens of Hungary.

I have noted from one of the leading newspapers in western South Dakota

that Mr. Andrei A. Gromyko, Foreign Minister of the Soviet Union, has charged that the United States is an enemy of peace, is dedicated to aggravating world tensions, is not to be trusted in diplomatic negotiations, and that U.S. spy efforts proved the United States is planning an aggressive war against Russia.

At this point, Mr. President, it would only be just to remind the men who turn tensions on and off like they cork and uncork a bottle of vodka, that more than 65 Russian spy cases have been recorded in our American archives since 1944. Significantly, the day Mr. Khrushchev shattered the summit meetings, the U.S. Supreme Court reaffirmed and upheld the conviction and sentencing of Rudolf Ivanovich Abel, colonel in the Russian Intelligence Corps, for his spy activities in New York City.

Mr. President, one of my very fine friends, my fellow colleague from the Second Congressional District of South Dakota, has prepared and distributed an outstanding report on these latest world and national problems which I believe pretty well sums up the opinion of most Americans. I thereby ask unanimous consent to have Representative BERRY's letter, printed in the Appendix of the Record.

There being no objection, the letter was ordered to be printed in the Record, as follows:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Washington, D.C., May 26, 1960.

DEAR FRIENDS: The reception of a returning hero was awarded President Eisenhower upon his return from the Paris summit meeting. The leaders of both political parties and tens of thousands of just plain "Americans" not only met him at the airport, but thronged both sides of the streets from the airport to the White House.

It was an American demonstration of support for their President, after Khrushchev had wrecked the conference by using the U-2 reconnaissance plane incident as an excuse to do what he would have done anyway under some other guise, had this incident not occurred. The incident simply provided the big bully with an opportunity to rant and roar and to pick up his marbles and go home like the spoiled kid from across the street who has always had his own way.

Ever since Sputnik, people in this country have been demanding, "What's the matter with our intelligence?" Allen Dulles of CIA indicated that we know a bit more than we are credited with knowing, but his reply had to be most guarded. CIA must be and is highly secret. Even the office wastepaper is classified, bagged, and burned under surveillance. The question is now answered. Not only have we been patrolling the edge of the Iron Curtain with every delicate instrument known to science, but for a year our U-2 planes have been flying Russian skies taking pictures. We have not been asleep. The incident proved to Mr. Khrushchev's consternation and the surprise of his people that our alleged "scientific lag" does not extend to photography. We have shots of

FOIAb3b

A4729