Approved For Release 2005/01/27 : CIA-RDP75-00149R00070045064-6-10

POWEVEPORT (La.)

50.650 108,625

Bittone F 400

A Shift Toward Sanity

There seems to be at least a temporary The 1 II incident that preceded the explosion. But at least some of the top Democrats who are enjoyed finally subsided to little more 1 in irregular bubbling.

Of course Adlar Stevenson, like Tennyare pabbling brook, keeps babbling on. 21 if the Butlers, the Kennedys, and some of the others who certainly comforted Khru, raised by the Democrats? her with their attacks on President Prophower, are not so active. They strain

the leash but do not bark.

What started the quieting down was the action of Republicans on the Senate Foreign Selations Committee when Chairman Fulmight called for hearings on the U2 incid at Republicans on the Committee were expected to oppose vigoriusly. Instead they coted unanimously for the hearings. It was only then that the Stevenson-Butler-ADA baced of Democrats realized that; by their own irresponsible vowling, they were building up a situation where the coming presidential election could develop into a Nixon versus "Kurushchev Democratic Candidate." centest and that there was nothing the GOP would like better.

The Fulbright hearings have gone along rather well on the surface. They helped; avoid what might have been a recklessand ruinous-Senate debate. They have developed some points where there might have been some improvement of the handling of certain incidents revolving around the U2. Senator Fulbright has been quite alm outwardly. But he seems to be itchmg to--politically--over-play his contention that only the State Department should have made any statement about the U2 when news came of its tragedy—that neither lesser authority nor the President ever heald have spoken.

Containly Mr. Fulldright is wrong as to Shif howard sanity on the Democratic side the President. He seems to be right as to m Washington in connection with the Sum-the lesser authority. If he is-so what? Is Conterence Khrushchev exploded, and that something on which to castigate a President, give support to contemptible that an is serene along the Potomac, charges of Khrushchav, and demand a change in national administration as several had become like Old Faithful Geyser in Democratic leaders have done? It's easy to modic spoutings which Khrushchev must look back and say "maybe" we should have done it some other way.

But-

In whom will Americans place their greatest trust where Khrushchev and survival itself is the foundation of the issues

In the Adlai Stevensons? In the Paul Butlers? In the Jack Kennedys?

In the Symingtons?

Or in Dwight David Eisenhower?

The Fulbright Committee majority report-from its one-sided Democratic majority — may say much about "why didn't we do it this way?" when it is placed before the Senate. It should be an uncompromising and bristling denunciation of Khrushchey's blowing up of the Summit Conference and a general commendation of the President and the almost inconceivably effective espionage system which has been going on over the Soviet for four years under his approval.

But in the end the people will decide, and the Democrats must know they are playing with fire. That is why there has been some quiet on the Potomac of/late—and some shifting among Democrats. For example:

Senator Symington of America, the candidate darling of Harry S. 2 suman and a sharp critic of the President in the U2. suddenly told Washington reporters that if the Foreign Relations Committee tried to pick on the Central Intelligence agency in its hearings, he would fight to central for CIA. The CIA, he said, was a secrecy and could operate only in complete secrecy. without attack from within the for imment. It took Senator Symington a little to realize all this but he finally did. Wid, of

Approved For Release 2005/09/27 101A ROP75-0614-1000760450004 until the Communists in the Communists began screaming for the scalp of Alba Bul-