

Front Page / Edit Page / Other Page

Date:

# Symington Stresses Labor Peace

BY ANDREW TULLY  
Script-Howard Staff Writer  
WASHINGTON—It is typical of these confused times that Sen. Stuart Symington of Missouri—a potent though unannounced candidate for President—is trying to get out from under a reputation as a man worried only about the nation's defense effort.

Not that Symington has any intention of relaxing his campaign for a strong military posture. It is just that he would like the voters to consider his other facets.

As of today, for instance, the Missouri Democrat is most vigorous in his espousal of what he calls a "summit meeting" between management and labor.

He thinks President Eisenhower is not sufficiently concerned about the danger to the country involved in a continued feud between the worker and the capitalist, and he is most specific in describing what he thinks should be done.

Symington speaks, he says, "as a representative of management who never had an authorized work stoppage in any plant I managed." If he were President he would call together top officials of labor and management "and keep them at the White House until they got together."

SPECIFICALLY, HE would point out to labor that its wage demands are hurting the country, and to management that its "administered prices" likewise are hurting the country.

He thinks it was wrong when, after the price of steel was raised \$6 a ton in 1957, the steel industry within six months enjoyed its greatest profits in history.

"Somehow," says Symington,

"we've got to show labor and management that they have got to work together inside the country if the country is going to present a solid front to the outside world. It's a question of being able to all handle separately."

The "summit" since a man who at least has a colloquial air about him, says a recent rift between labor and management is every bit as important as how many missiles we have. For, as he points out, "the most certain way to destroy a free government is economically."

He says he agrees with Allen Dulles, head of the Central Intelligence Agency, that the country must increase its gross national product by 2.1 per cent a year "or commit national suicide." This means the U. S. has some ground to make up: during the last six years its gross national product increased only 1.1 per cent.

Already, says Symington, the Russians have threatened our foreign trade position by breaking the markets in tin, aluminum and platinum, and now are exporting automobiles to Europe.

He points out Moscow has a gold stockpile of \$9 billion to \$10 billion and if the Kremlin decides to go for a gold ruble, "they can manipulate the value of the dollar to please themselves."

SYMINGTON COMPLAINS he has been criticized for harping on national defense needs—"yet, when a reporter comes to see me, that's the first thing he asks me about." He recalls a speech he made recently in Clarkburg, Va., in which he uttered only one sentence on defense—but it was

that sentence which made headlines the next day.

"I suppose I shouldn't complain," he says. "After all, long before the Sputnik I was demanded for more missiles, more bombers and more of the kind of things the people who are in charge are planning to do."

Symington still harps on what he calls the "waste" of the defense dollar. "The money will be lost if it is not used to improve the quality of our defense budget by abandoning the 'Maginot Line' defense concept and concentrating on developing a powerful offense."

Specifically, he denounces the regular defense work against unmaneuvered services, which is the less effective service, "and is the only one that is not being reorganized."

And he says that the Defense Department is "not doing enough to reorganize the services. We should be going toward a single service. The way it is now, each service is trying to organize to fight a war by itself."

Stuart Symington will not discuss these issues in the context of his possible candidacy for the presidency. He claims he's too busy at his job of being a "working senator." But it is plain he has his eye on higher things and that he brings a set of strong convictions into battle with him.

The strongest of these convictions is this: unless the United States is vigilant and hard-working, the Communist imperialists will destroy the profit system which is the foundation of the American way of life.