Approved For Release 1999/08/24 : CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

Ma Clipson

THE AMERICAN SYSTEM

3 MARCH 1958

THE AMERICAN SYLTEM

CONTENTS

-2	ORIGINS AND PEVELOPMENT	oge	ſ
and services of the	A. INTRODUCTION		1
	B. Colonial GOVERNMENT	د	ι.
	C. THE COLONIES GAIN INDEPENDENCE	5	5
	D. GOVERNMENT UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFED	FRATION (, B
	E. THE CONSTITUTION	, ,	7
	1. RECONCILIATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS	,	7
	2. CHECKS AND BALANCES		7
	3. BILL OF RIGHTS.	1	11
	4. AMENDMENTS	1	3
正	THE EXECUTIVE	1	4
	A. POWERS AND LIMITATIONS		4
	B. THE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM AND BI-PARTISANS	inip 1	7
· ·	C. FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES	1	8
Ш.	THE LEGISLATIVE POWER	ā	١٤
	A. ORGANIZATION OF CONGRESS	2	21
	B. POWERS OF CONERESS	• 5	2
	C. LIMITATIONS	-	23
	D. LEGISLATIVE PROCEDURE	á	23
		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
IV.	THE JUDICIARY	- 8	26
	A. THE SUPREME COURT	2	6
	B. LOWER FEDERAL COURTS	ő	29
3	C. THE DEPARTMENT of JUSTICE	٠	30
	P. STATE COURTS	• • •,	34



Y	STATE GOVERNMENTS
	A. Juris Diction 35
	B. CHARACTERISTICS AND STRUCTURE 36
	C. LEGISLATIVE PROCEDURE 37
	D. FUNCTIONS OF STATE OFFICIALS 38
	E. STATE JUDICIAL SYSTEM 38
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
YI.	Contracts to THE AMERICAN SYSTETY. COTTOARISON OF US GOVERNMENT WITH \$ 2
	EUROPEAN SYSTEMS
	B. Comparation Hovemmental 42 Theory
	Theory
	C. Comparation Lovenmental 45
	Systems
	1. Rejulation Function 45
	2, Executive Leadership 48
	3. Comparation Legal Systems 49
1	

Approved For Release 1999/08/24 : CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

THE AMERICAN SYSTEM

1. ORIGINS AND DEVELOPMENT

Benjamin Franklin, one of the wisest of Americans, wrote to an old friend in France on October 22, 1787, barely a month after the adjournment of the Constitutional Convention, enclosing a copy of the proposed Federal Constitution, and remarked that he had spent four months in the Convention which prepared it. "If it succeeds," he added, "I do not see why you might not in Europe carry the project of good Henry the Fourth into execution, by forming a Federal Union and One Grand Republic of all its different States and Kingdoms, by means of a like Convention; for we had many interests to reconcile." Franklin's comment identifies the essential problem in organizing a rule of law to supersede the appeal to arms in world politics -- not the simple vindication of right against wrong, but the reconciliation of conflicting interests. Important interests, he clearly understood, must be respected like veritable rights. A durable international organization, Franklin knew, must of necessity rest upon the consent of the interested peoples and be sustained by a general conviction that their various interests will be duly considered in the management of its affairs.

The framers of the American constitution, so well described by Gladstone as one of the finest documents ever to eminate from the mind of man, gave the world one of its finest examples of a society of men forming a more perfect union by rational adjustment of conflicts of interest so as to promote the common interests felt by them to be fundamental and durable. Unfortunately, Europe was not able to form a federal union and today we see the NATO Pact on one side and the Warsaw Pact on the other dividing Europe into two armed camps. It is regrettable that the Americans who learned so well the lessons of how to govern divergent interests in their own country seem incapable of recognizing the principle in world affairs that important interests must be respected as veritable rights. However, in spite of the world's disappointment at the role of the United States in 20th Century werld affairs, it must be admitted that they have wrought a form of government which effectively governs the domestic political affairs of a nation of 170 million. This remarkable record is not without its failures as

well as its successes. There are weaknesses as well as strong points. On balance the American political development is one which we can profitably study and in order to properly understand it we must take a look at its origins in the colonial system, through the days of the Confederation after the winning of independence from Great Britain, to the formulation of the Constitution.

B. Colonial Government

In all phases of colonial government a striking feature was the lack of controlling influence on the part of the English Government. During their formative period, the colonies were, to a large degree, free to develop as their inclinations or force of circumstances dictated. The English Government as such had taken no direct part in founding any of the several colonies except Georgia, and only gradually did it assume any part in their political direction. The fact that the King had transferred his immediate sovereignty over the new world settlements to stock companies and proprietors did not mean that the colonists in American would necessarily be free or partially free of outside control. Under the terms of the Virghia and Massachusetts Bay charters, for example, complete governmental authority was vested in the companies involved, and it was expected that these companies would be resident in England. Inhabitants of America, then, would have no more voice in their government than if the King himself had retained absolute rule.

Exclusive/from the outside, however, was bound to be subjected sooner or later to certain definite limitations. The first step in this direction was decision on the part of the London (Virginia) Company is its appointed Governor in 1619 providing that free inhabitants of the plantation should elect representatives to join with the Governor and an appointive "Council" in passing ordinances for the wafare of the colony.

This apparently minor concession proved one of the most farreaching in its effects of any occurring in the colonial period. From that time on it was generally accepted that the colonists had a right to participate in their own government. Once a group gets a taste for self-government it is extremely difficult to choke it off. In most instances after the Virginia experience, the King in making future grants, provided in the charter that freemen of the colony involved should have a voice in legislation affecting it. Thus the charters of Maryland, Pennsylvania, the Carolinas, and New Jersey specified that legislation should be with "the consent of the freemen." In only two cases was the self-government provision omitted, in New York and Georgia. In the latter two cases this omission was short lived, for the colonists demanded representation so insistently that the authorities soon yielded.

Although at first the right of limited representation was of minor importance, the settlers were not to be satisfied with a few crumbs from the table of the King. The elective assemblies first seized and then utilized to the maximum, control over financial matters. In one colony after another, the principle was established that taxes could not be levied, or collected revenue spent—even to pay the salary of the governor or other appointive officers—without the consent of the elected representatives. This provided a very effective control over any rash act by one of the King's minions. Thus there were instances of independent—minded or imperialistically inclined governors who were voted either no salary at all or a salary of one penny. By and large the governors rapidly tended to become rather pliable to the will of the colonists.

In New England there was even more complete self-government. In the colony of New Plymouth the settlers were beyond the jurisdiction of the London Company. Through the Mayflower Compact they undertook to enact, constitute and frame such "just and equal laws, ordinances, acts, and offices, as shall be thought most meet and convenient for the general good of the colony." The Massachusetts Bay Company, the colonies of New Haven, Rhode Island and Connecticut also succeeded in becoming self-governing.

The Crown did not take kindly to these actions and did not let them go unchallenged. Court action was taken against the Massachusetts charter and in 1684 it was annulled. Then all the New England colonies were brought under royal control with complete authority vested in an appointive governor. This development roused the ire of New England settlers and the arrangement was considerably modified. As was true of the other colonies this share in government soon became extended until it became virtual domination.

The larger measure of independence enjoyed by the colonists in the economic as well as the political field naturally resulted in their growing away from Britain. In a word, the colonial peoples had become Americans rather than British Colonists. The story of the American Revolution is well known to every schoolboy. Suffice it to say that the measure of independence wrought by colonists who were chiefly of English stock, added to the complete lack of any feeling towards the Crown on the part of settlers from other countries such as the Dutch, Swedes, Germans, etc., led inevitably to the day when British efforts to reimpose strict measures of control were bound to meet stiff opposition.

Involved in imperialistic ventures elsewhere, and hampered by the long lines of communication across an ocean, the British were not prepared to face a longand debilitating war to retain their American colonies. The intervention of France helped swing the balance. By one of the great ironies of fate, an exploited colony or group of colonies gained independence from a great imperialistic power, thanks to the intervention of one of Europe's most autocratic of Kings.

C. The Colonies Gain Independence

During the war for independence the thirten colonies had attempted to act in concert through the mechanism of the Continental Congress. In spite of its faults and weaknesses this body was to prove a wonderful training ground for the men who would become the political leaders of anew nation.

The war left the 13 colonies with a debt of about \$40,000,000, a fifth of which was owed to foreign powers. The Continental Congress which could not levy taxes to cover current operating expenses was forced to appeal for contributions from the states to meet its debt--a slow, ineffective process at best. Moreover, the unity which bound the 13 states together against the King's soldiers, disintegrated as rapidly as the Grand Alliance of World War II was to do two centuries later.

Approved For Release 1999/08/24: CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

Each state acted as a sovereign nation, passing its own tariff laws, and creating hardships for all, especially for the poor.

While high-minded Americans sought a real government of all the people, narrow-minded provincial vested interests had other ideas. Property qualifications for voters and office holders effectively denied suffrage to the common man. The workers carried a burden of back-breaking taxes, which finally drove Daniel Shays of Massachusetts to lead an open rebellion against the moneyed interests which controlled the government of Massachusetts in the autumn of 1786. This rebellion of the proletariat has been given scant attention by apologists for American democracy, but its importance cannot be over-emphasized. Although it was quickly crushed, its implications had shaken the wealthy classes who feared more and perhaps greater uprisings from the oppressed poor.

To the credit of the Founding Fathers it must be said that they learned their lessons well. The Continental Congress, a wartime creation, continued to govern in the immediate post-war period, under the Articles of Confederation, the first written Constitution of the new nation.

GOVERNMENT UNDER THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION
Inflation had run wild during the war and finally, late in 1779,
the Continental Congress called on the states to pay for the war.
This last ditch effort failed to settle the financial problem, but finished it finished it for the Congress as a powerful governing body. Before the money presses were shut down, Congress had the whole wealth and resources of the Continent at its command. Once this power was given up, it became as dependent on the states as the King of England was on Parliament.

Meanwhile, the Articles of Confederation were before the states legislatures for ratification. Although these articles were written in 1781 before the fighting ended, they did not reflect a spirit of national strength and unity. Written for peace time use, they were weakened by sectional jealousies, errors due to inexperience, and by the all-pervading dislike of taxation.

In foreign affairs, war and peace, treaty making, etc., federal authority was of sweeping nature. There were, however, three major defects which utterly ruined the new form of government.

- 1. The most serious was the absence of any authority to tax, leaving Congress completely at the mercy of the states. Coupled with this weakness was the inability of Congress to regulate commerce between the states.
- 2. The second major weakness was procedural. With each state delegation having one vote, the Articles of Confederation required nine state votes for many decisions, and seven for all the rest. As a result, to be absent was the same as voting No. a system which paralyzed Congress.
- 3. The third handicap was a declaration that each state retained every power not expressly delegated to the Confederation.

With the coming of peace, desperate trade rivalry took the place of wartime unity. States set up trade barriers against each other. When Congress demanded money, each state complained that others were paying too little, so each retaliated by paying less.

With the fears aroused by Shay's defiance of the courts, inability to collect taxes and a general financial depression, alarm spread everywhere among men of property and other sober citizens. All this brought a rush of support for a proposed Constitutional Convention.

In May 1787, a gathering of America's most heroic figures in statecraft assembled in Philadelphia. Among the notables facing George Washington, the presiding officer, were the venerable 81-year old Benjamin Franklin, James Madison, Gouverneur Morris, James Wilson, George Mason, Roger Sherman, ex-shoemaker turned Judge, the Pinckneys of South Carolina, and the youthful Rufus King and Eleridge Gerry of Massachusetts. Though age and wisdom were present among the 55 delegates, youth predominated, for the average age was 42.

The convention had been authorized merely to draft amendments to the Articles of Confederation. But, even though the thought of a government higher than that of the individual states was repugnant to many, all were agreed that the six years under the Confederation made up one long chronology of failure and ineptitude.

E. THE CONSTITUTION / RESCRIPTION OF CONFLICTING TATERESTS
Since the delegates were unanimous on that point, with a

manly confidence in their country, they threw aside the articles and went ahead with the consideration of a wholly new form of government. Perhaps it is being a little less than honest to state that the delegates were unanimous on only one point. Although much bickering arose as each state fought for its own vested interests and delegates kept foremost in mind the group which each represented—plantation owners, small farmers, manufacturers, small tradesmen and workers, peoples of varying customs and religious beliefs—gradually a pattern emerged showing needs which all shared in common.

The following points were clear as the endless debates progressed:

- 1. All states had suffered needlessly from the weakness of government under the <u>articles</u> of <u>c</u>onfederation.
- 2. All states had much the same needs and basically similar ideas about laws, freedom, and self-government.
 - 3. No state was safe from invasion by a foreign power.
 - 4. No state was strong enough to protect its foreign trade.
- 5. No state, acting alone, could successfully handle the American Indian problem.
- 6. No state could feel safe from the incursions of British perfidy since the former Mother country was calculatedly lax in living up to its treaty obligations.
- 7. No state, by itself, could improve the system of inland waterways.
- 8. All states needed a good interstate network of roads for trade, travel and the postal service.
- 9. All delegates were agreed that they would prevent the rise of tyranny in any form.

The basic and seemingly paradoxical problem faced by these able delegates was the reconciliation of conflicting interests. There was, on the one hand, the power of local control already being exercised by the thirteen semi-independent states and on the other, the necessity for a strong central government.

The delegates argued controversial points, pleaded their special interests and discussed possible solutions for four long and tiring months.

There were times when many feared that the convention would bog down in a mire of words before reaching any objectives. A few delegates from the smaller states actually walked out because they feared that they would be under the thumbs of the larger states. It was several years before the State of Rhode Island, smallest of the thirteen colonies and still today smallest of the 48 states, finally accepted the new Constitution in May 1790.

The compromise which finally broke the log jam of the convention was a fairly simple one to understand today. The delegates adopted the principle that the functions and powers of the national government, being new, general, and inclusive, had to be carefully defined and stated while all other functions and powers were to be understood as belonging to the states. These men were wise enough to recognize that if the national government was to have real power, it had to be given the authority mamong other to coin money, regulate commerce, declare war and make treaties.

2. CHECKS AND EALTHCEST Most of the delegates were men of competence who were familiar with

the writings of Locke and were adherents of Montesquieu's concept of the balance of power. These influences led them to the decision to establish three distinct branches of government, each equal and coordinate. The legislative, executive, and judicial powers were to be so adjusted and interlocked as to permit harmonious operation. This has not always worked out as well in practice as American apologists and theoreticians would have us believe. Too often we have been confronted by statesmanlike pronouncements in favor of peace by the while President warlike utterances full of fire and brimstone, generally directed at the Soviet Union and the democracies of Eastern Europe, by irresponsible members of the Congress whose First daty seems to be only to be re-elected. However, the delegates assembled in Philadelphia through the summer of 1787 were men of vision who undoubtedly felt confident that the government they had created would be so well-balanced that no one interest could ever gain control. It was natural for the delegates to assume that the legislative branch, like the colonial legislatures and the British Parliament, should consist of two houses.

The petty bickering, the struggle for vested interests, the alignment of large against small states reminding us so much of today's difficulties in the United Nations, need not be described in detail here. Countless volumes have been written about the Constitution, its adoption by the states—a process known in America as ratification and the Bill of Rights.

The new Constitution, which was based on a system of checks and balances, looks to the observer two centuries removed as a very reasonable document which should have been readily accepted. In brief, the Constitution consisted of a preamble and seven articles. As a masterful summary of just what the Constitution was expected to do the preamble is worth quoting in its entirety.

We the People of the United States, in order to form a more perfect Union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

Article I deals with the Legislative Branch and we shall deal with it as well as the other articles in more detail later on.

Article II is concerned with the Executive and Article III with the Judiciary. Article IV discusses the rights of citizens, their privileges and immunities, extradition of criminals from one state to another, disposition and control of territorial lands, admission of new states, and guarantees to each state a Republican form of government and protection against invasion. Article V takes up the process by which the Constitution may be amended. Article VI states the rule that the Constitution and the laws made in pursuance thereof, as well as all treaties, shall be the supreme law of the land and that no religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust w under the United States. Finally, Article VII declared that the approval of nine states would be sufficient for the establishment of the Constitution.

The new Constitution was subjected to bitter criticism in many quarters and on many grounds before it was approved. There were men of wealth and position who thought it went too far in the direction of

democracy. Others insisted it did not go far enough. Some of the states were extremely reluctant to surrender substantial sovereignty to any national government, and wanted more definite limitations on the national power.

The struggle for ratification by nine states caused a storm of controversy in the press, the legislatures, and the state conventions. Thanks to the able presentation through the Federalist Parers of powerful arguments in favor the new constitution by Alexander Hamilton, James Madison, and John Jay, approval of the necessary nine states was obtained in 1789.

was obtained in 1789.

3. Eill of Rights
As a result of agrarian discontent in Massachusetts, where Shay's rebellion against the tyranny of wealth had not been forgotten, a Bill of Rights was appended to the Constitution in the form of Amendments.

In direct response to popular demand, the First Congress submitted to the states for ratification the first ten amendments, known as the Bill of Rights. These were speedily adopted, guaranteeing freedom of speech, firedom of the press, firedom of assembly, Freedom to petition for redress of grievances. The Second amendment guarantees the people the right to have weapons. The Third amendment guarantees that troops shall not be quartered in private houses without the owner's consent. The Fourth amendment protects the people from search or seizure of persons, houses, goods, or papers without a search warrant. The Fifth amendment forbilds the trial of any persons for a major crime, except after indictment by a grand jury; prohibits punishment without due process of law; and provides that an accused person may not be compelled to testify against himself. This amendment is one for which all Socialists are very grateful. It has been the bulwark in the defense of our comrades in the United States against the witch hunters like the late Senator Joseph McCarthy, and VicedPresident Nixon.

The sixth amendment orders speedy and public trial of persons charged with criminal offenses in the district where the crime was committed; requires trial by an unbiased jury after a plain statement of the accusation; guarantees counsel for the accused and provides that witnesses for the accused shall be compelled to attend the trial, and

that all witnesses shall testify in the presence of the accused.

In their legalistic approach to this amendment, the present Supreme Court in a recent decision in the now famous Mallory Case, has unleashed a reign of terror in the United States, especially in its capital city, Washington, D.C. In a narrow interpretation of "speedy trial," the Supreme Court has ruled that a murder suspect who had confessed his guilt after seven and half hours of police interpogation, was denied his constitutional rights to a speedy trial. This decision has been invoked countless times since by lower courts, and victims of robbery, assault, and rape have helplessly watched their attackers go scot free. If ever there was evidence of decadence in a so-called democracy, here is the legal decision to prove it.

No wonder hooliganism is rampant and the streets of Washington are mot safe for citizens even in broad daylight. More than 90 of the criminal element in the nation's capital are of the Negro race.

The seventh amendment provides for trial by jury for lawsuits involving anything valued at more than the twenty dollars. The Eighth amendment forbids setting excessive bail for persons involved in criminal proceedings, the levying of excessive fines, and cruel or unusual punishments. Here again we see the contradiction in American practice. All sorts of protections are guaranteed criminals while honest citizens have little protection. The winth amendment declares that rights not specified in the constitution are not therefore taken away from the people, while the Fenth amendment declares that powers not delegated to the United States, nor prohibited by the Constitution to the States, are reserved to the states, or to the people.

Since the adoption of the Bill of Rights, over 3,000 amendments to the Constitution have been proposed. Only tracke of these have been adopted. By the terms of article I of the Constitution, then are two wathout by which it may be amended: (1) If both thouses of Congress agree by a two thinks Vote that a proposed amendment in necessary, it is submitted to the States for their approval; or (2) the legislatures of two thinks of the states, acting on their own intestine, may ask Congress to coll a convention states, acting amendments, and congress would be completed to comply. Regulars of which method were used, retification by three-fourths of all the Regulars of which method were used, retification by three-fourths box states is necessary before the amendment is adopted. The second method has states in necessary before the amendment is adopted. Congress how never here were less much only 2.7 to the states. Of these, only 2.2 (welling the Reill of submitted only 2.7 to the states. Of these, only 2.2 (welling the Reill of Submitted only 2.7 to the states.

Approved For Release 1999/08/24: CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

The executive power in the Government of the United States is vested in the President, who is elected for a four-year term. Since the adoption of the TATA amendment, he is limited to two terms in office. Only one president has been elected to more than two terms. Franklin D. Roosevelt, first elected in 1932 died shortly after his fourth inauguration in 1945.

Presidents are not elected directly by popular vote, but rather by vote of the Presidential Electors from each state who are, however, chosen by popular vote. To be eligible for the highest office in the land, the candidate must be a citizen of the United States by birth and at least 35 years of age. There are no other qualifications beyond the ability to win the party nomination and a majority of the votes of the electors.

The President is Commander-in-Chief of the Army, Navy, and, since 1948, of the separate Air Force as well. Although he does not have the right to declare war, It is very obvious that he can move his troops and order his naval units to such areas and to such activities as to provoke war or stir up international tension. Eisenhower's action in ordering the Sixth Fleet to the Eastern Mediterranean during the turbulance over Syria is a typical example of the President, acting as Commander-in-Chief, taking unilateral action which could have led to war. Should there have been an incident, it is conceivable that the temperal of the members of Congress could have been worked up to fever pitch and controlled that a declaration of war was essential. As a pratical matter, however, such an eventuality was most unlikely because the American people do not want war and few Congressmen could have survived an election in the face of such an unpopular action.

A far better example can be found in the 1941 antics of Franklin D. Roosevelt and his Secretaries of State and War, Cordell Hull and Henry L. Stimson. This trio goaded the Japanese and in effect led them to make the first blow in the sneak attack on Pearl Harbor. No authority from Congress is needed for immediate retaliation. When Congress convened the following day, their declaration of war was the mere recognition of a fait accompli.

It is hard to conceive of a king or potentate with such real powers at his disposal as those incumbent on the President of the United States. True, indeed, the system of checks and balances is an effective brake on any precipitate action in the normal course of domestic or foreign relations.

By and with the advice and consent of the Senate, the President has the power to make treaties, nominate ambassadors, other public ministers and consuls, judges of the Supreme Court and other officers of the United States. In all of these appointments, however, Senate confirmation is essential.

The President can veto any bill passed by Congress, and it requires a two-thirds majority of both houses to override his action. He is required to submit a periodic report on the State of the Union, which traditionally he does annually in his message at the beginning of Congress. In his annual and special messages to Congress, he frequently recommends the passage of legislation which he believes warranted by current conditions.

For 113 years that is from 1800-1913 no President of the United States appeared in person before Congress to deliver a Presidential message on the State of the Union. Washington and Adams had dome so, but from Jefferson to Taft the message was read by a clerk. Wilson, feeling that the President is a person and not just a branch of the government, reinstated the practice of appearing before a joint session of both houses of Congress. This custom has been continued by his successors.

Appearing in person at the outset of a new Congress, the President Congress will devote its deliberations to constructive efforts. In presenting his budget appeal, the President may ask for extraordinary funds for foreign aid, a pay raise for federal workers, extra funds for construction of new post offices to boldter the sagging economy, and billions to assist his ex-Nazi hirelings in their vain efforts to catch up with the missile developments of the USSR. Congress may adopt all or some of this program. There is the clear advantage to America that the President sets out guide lines which at least get Congressional consideration even though not necessarily full approval.

The Congress may vote considerably less money than the President requests for individual projects and it may reject some in their entirety.

In the making of treaties, recent presidents have profited greatly from the errors of Woodrow Wilson. The World War I President was an idealist who dreamed up his own rules and closed his eyes to secret agreements made by Britain and France. Because he failed to consult with the Senate, that August body led by Henry Cabot Lodge, the grandfather of America's UN delegate, rejected the Treaty of Versailles, repudiated Wilson's 14 Points and kept America out of the League of Nations. Today, American presidents are wiser. Frequent consultations are held with leading members of both Houses of Congress before embarking on any program which might result in a freaty with a foreign power.

Franklin D. Roosevelt developed the technique of seine behind the lawmakers by a direct appeal via radio to the voters. In that way he gathered popular support and effectively put a squeeze on the members of Congress facing re-election by their constituents. In spite of all the pious platitudes to which all Americans pay lip service, the members of the Congress, especially those in the House, are well aware that their first duty is to be re-elected.

The President enjoys enormous personal powers in addition to his roles as treaty maker and Commander-in-Chief of the Armed Forces. He appoints the principal officers of the foreign service and it must be recognized that Ambassadors are the personal representatives of the President. He chooses his own cabinet and executive assistants in government departments. He appoints thousands of officials in both the executive and judicial branches of the government.

Countless volumes could be written to describe the all-inclusive powers of the President, the limitations on his power, the personal influence of his office and the respect he commands. Suffice it to say that he holds one of the most important offices in the world today.

To sum up, this man whose salary is \$100,000 a year plus \$90,000 in allowances, mostly taxable at a high rate, is elected by the so-called Electoral College for four years. He has the power to recommend

measures to Congress, to call special sessions of the Congress and to deliver messages to that body. He appoints Federal judges, representatives to foreign countries, heads of departments and other important officials. He can pardon criminals, carry on business with foreign nations and command all military forces.

Although it has not always been true that politics stops at the three-mile limit, the tradition of bi-partisanship in the conduct of war and in the field of foreign relations has been strongly emphasized in recent years. Any nation which entertains the hope of dividing Americans from their President in wartime is playing a fool's game as Germany and Japan learned to their sorrow in World War II. America is a melting pot of many cultures and traditions. Americans feel strong enough to indulge in the luxury of criticizing their government and their leaders. Since 1954 President Eisenhower has had to work with a Congress controlled by the opposition. Yet, he has had no problem in getting the funds requested for foreign aid and military preparedness.

The mention of the opposition calls to mind the fact that the constitution made no provision for political parties and that no evidence of opposing political philosophies was apparent to any extent during the eight years of Washington's Presidency. Over the years the Federalists, the party of Washington -- if he could rightly be called a party man--and John Adams, and the Democratic-Republicans of Jefferson, Madison and Monroe evolved into other parties. was a world of difference between democracy of Andrew Jackson. Whigs, the Know Nothings, the Prohibitionists, Socialists, and Communists have appeared on the stage. But from the time of Abraham Linckoln, the political scene has been dominated by two political parties, the Republicans, tracing their ancestry to the Civil War President, and the Democrats who trace their lineage back through Jackson to Jefferson. Modern day Republicans celebrate Lincoln's birthday much as Christians honor their patron saint. Meanwhile, the Democrats honor both Jefferson and Jackson and utilize both anniversaries as occasions for fund raising to help the party treasury.

The Executive Department of the government of the United States is really a big business. Today, this business is carried out by ten departments and 41 independent agencies, employing tens of thousands of civil servants, the largest single unit being the postal service. The departments are headed by cabinet officers, chosen by the President and approved by the Senate.

Article II, Section 2 of the Constitution contains the implied but not specific anthorization for the creation of cabinet officers. This section provides for the appointment by the President of "all other officers of the United States, whose appointments are not herein otherwise provided for, and which shall be established by law." The same section provides that the President "may require the opinion, in writing, of the principal officer in each of the Executive departments, upon any subject relating to the duties of their respective offices." The departments are not named, nor their duties described. The cabinet, however, is now accepted as part of the Government organization. Each cabinet member holds the authority delegated to him by the President to manage his own department. The duties of these departments are many and varied and can be found discussed in detail in any standard textbook on Amerian Government.

George Washington, the first President, got air with only four cabinet officers at the outset of his presidency. He chose a Secretary of State, Secretary of the Treasury, Secretary of War, and an Attorney-General. In 1794 he added the Postmaster General.

John Adams added the post of Secretary of the Navy tohis cabinet in 1798. Other cabinet officers were added over the years Secretary of the Interior 1847 under President Taylor. It should be strongly emphasized that unlike the governments of most of the world, the Secretary of the Interior has absolutely no police power. He was chosen to oversee a multitude of problems of national concern which fitted into no department then existing. He is largely responsible for Indian affairs, the conservation of anatural resources, and the maintenance of national parks, monuments $\hat{\beta}$ and reservations. In 1889 the Commissioner of Agriculture, a department created by act of Congress in 1862 was elevated to cabinet status by President Grover Cleveland.

The Department of Commerce and Labor was created in 1903 and separated into two departments of cabinet rank years later. In 1947 the three military services were combined into the Department of Defense. The War Department was abolished and the Secretaries of the three military services no longer retained cabinet rank. Finally in 1953 an act of Congress created the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, and the secretary was made a member of the cabinet.

Almost in the same political party as the President, and as such wield considerable influence. Through them the President exercises his authority downward through the executive organization. Occasionally, as a gesture of national unity, leading men from the opposite political party are chosen for one or more cabinet posts. During World War II Roosevelt chose Henry L. Stimson, former Republican Secretary of State to be Secretary of War, and the Republican Frank Knox to be Secretary of the Navy. President Eisenhower has had two Secretaries of Labor in the past five years, both of them Democrats, the late Martin Durkin, and the present incumbent James Mitchell.

The growth of America's role in international affairs has spawned a whole host of federal agencies such as the United States Information Agency, the International Cooperation Administration, the Atomic Energy Commission, etc. The 41 independent agencies vary considerably in their functions, staffing, and influence. Some of these are rather innocuous organizations such as the American Battle Monuments Commission, while others are important and direct emissaries of the President such as the General Services Administration and the Federal Power Commission.

Approved For Release 1999/08/24: CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

A. ORGANIZATION OF CONGRESS
While the powers granted to the Executive Branch of the

government seem particularly awesome because they are vested principally in one man, the responsibilities of the Legislative Branch are none the less impressive if not so personal. The first sentence of the First Article of the Federal Constitution reads:

"All legislative powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and a House of Representatives."

The framers of the Constitution were terribly afraid of a strong executive and desired to reserve the final and decisive vote to the legislature, as representing the people. Although the Constitution was the result of a series of compromises and established the system of checks and balances, with substantial powers granted to the President, there is scarcely a single Presidential power which cannot be reached by the long arm of legislation.

The Congress of the United States is composed of the House of Representatives and the Senate. Membership in the House is based on a proportion of approximately one seat for every 350,000 people in a given state. Thus New York has 45 seats in the House, while Nevada has one. The total membership of the House of Representatives is 435.

In the Senate each state holds two seats regardless of its size or importance. The total membership is 96. Senators serve for a term of six years with one—third of the membership elected every two years. The term of office of a member of the House, usually referred to as a Congressman is two years. The system of biennial elections to the House provokes a condition where every member must devote a considerable amount of time every second year to mending his fences back home in order to insure his continuance in office. In theory, at least, a Senator is generally a more mature individual. The minimum age requirements for election to the House is 25; for the Senate 30. Further, a Representative or Congressman is elected to represent his district and is expected to protect the interests of a relatively small group of people, whereas a Senator is legally a United States Senator from a particular state. By definition he should have a broader perspective than his colleague from the lower chamber. Since

he serves for six years he has the opportunity if he chooses to act like a statesman for five years and a politician for only one. In practice this is a great variable. Many Senators who have tried to act from a completely national viewpoint have found to their dismay that they have lost contact with, and the voting support of the people who had sent them to the Senate.

The Vice President presides over the Senate but holds little actual power. The Speaker of the House presides over its sessions and wields a great deal of power and influence. The Constitution provided that the members of the Senate would be chosen by the state legislatures. The The Amendment, however, changed this method so that Senators are also elected directly by the people.

B, Powers of Congress

The broad powers of Congress include the following:

To levy and collect taxes; to borrow money; to make rules and regulations for commerce among the states and with foreign countries; to coin money, state its value, if ix the standard of weights and measures, and ipprovide for the punishment of counterfeiting; to make uniform rules about naturalization; to establish uniform bank-ruptcy laws for the whole country; to establish post offices and post roads; to issue patents and copyrights; to set up a system of federal courts; to punish piracy; to declare war; to raise and support armies; to make rules for the Army, Navy and Air Force; to provide for calling out the militia to enforce the federal laws, to suppress lawlessness, or to repel invasion; to cooperate with the states in organizing and arming the militia; to make all laws for the District of Columbia; to make all laws needed to put into effect all the powers given by the Constitution to the government of the United States or to any agency or officer of the United States.

C. LIMITATIONS

The Tarth Amendment to the Constitution provides that powers not delegated to the National Government may be exercised by the states or by the people. Specifically, the Constitution forbids the Congress:

- 1. To suspend the writ of habeas corpus, except in time of rebellion or invasion.
- 2. To pass laws which condemn persons of crimes or un-
- 3. To pass any law which will declare to be criminal any act already done which was not criminal when committed.
- 4. To levy direct taxes on citizens of states, except on the basis of a census already taken.
 - 5. To tax exports from any state.
- 6. To give especially favorable treatment in commerce or taxation to the seaports of any state or to the vessels using them.
 - 7. To authorize any titles of nobility.

D. LEGISLATIVE PROCEDURE

Most legislation may originate in either house and when concurred in by the other will go to the President for signature and will then become law. There are, however, certain categories of legislation which are the exclusive prerogative of each. Only the House of Representatives may originate revenue bills. It alone can impeach civil officers and elect a President if no candidate wins a majority of the electoral votes. The Senate alone consents or refuses to consent to treaties. It has the sole right to try persons impeached by the House. It confirms or refuses to confirm presidential appointees. It elects a vice president if no candidate has a majority of the electoral votes.

As in every legislative body, there is more to the work of Congress than appears on the surface. Back of the laws which flow from its deliberations there may have been weeks or months of study by specialists in many fields.

Extended hearings or intensive investigations by legislative committees may have pointed the path to be followed. Sometimes investigative committees become more interested in sensational headlines in the press than in improving legislation. When foreign affairs are involved, individual legislators often visit foreign countries between sessions of Congress to make their own studies and confer with officials overseas. Most members of Congress give the impression of

being conscientious, hard-working, and well—informed. A minority abuse their privileges, use the time between sessions for junkets and close their minds to any new knowledge. Frequently, they demand all sorts of services from an overworked diplomatic corps whom they loudly condemn on their return to the halls of Congress, where, protected by their immunity, they can forget about all the laws of libel.

In taking up legislation there are always two shadows over the halls of Congress. One is the possibility of a Presidential veto and the other is the possibility that a law passed may be declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court.

The process of getting a bill through the mill to the stage of its becoming law is uusually a slow and tortuous one. The usual steps follow a pattern. Any member of either house may introduce a bill, taking into consideration the specific prerogatives of the particular House of Congress. The presiding officer refers the bill to an appropriate committee which either lets it die a natural death or reports it back to the full chamber either favorably or unfavorably. If reported favorably the bill is considered by the full legislative body and if it passes it is sent to the other chamber where it follows a parallel route. If the A should be differences, these are ironed out in joint committee and the bill goes to the President. If he approves and signs it the bill becomes law. If Congress is in session while the President takes no action, the bill becomes law after 💓 days. Should the President veto the bill, both Houses may vote again and if a two-third majority of each House is obtained, the bill becomes law.

In drafting legislation, the Congress seldom considers the constitutionality of its acts since it would be rare indeed that its laws violate the Constitution. It has happened, and one particular case which attracted wide publicity was the National Recovery Act of 1933 passed by Congress at the instigation of the President. When challenged in court the Supreme Court decreed that the act was unconstitutional. The Supreme Court does not act in an advisory capacity so it is not possible for members of the Congress to confer with members of the Supreme Court for an opinion on proposed

legislation

Approved For Release 1999/08/24: CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

Although Congress did not create the Supreme Court, it does have the power to pass various laws regarding its organization and work. Congress decides from time to time how many justices the court shall have and what their salaries shall be. When President Roosevelt became annoyed at a series of Supreme Court decisions, he attempted to influence it by enlarging it and packing the court with several new appointees of his charter. He suffered a resounding defeat on this proposal when Congress turned him down. The Senate, of course, acts as a powerful brake on the choice of the President when vacancies occur in the court. It can confirm or reject any name submitted.

IV. The Judiciary

The Supreme Court of the United States is the only federal court set up by the Constitution itself. It could not be abolished without amending the Constitution. Its decisions are final and there is no other court to which appeal can be made from it.

The judicial power, according to Article III of the Constitution, extends to all cases in law and equity, arising under the Constitution, the laws of the United States, and treaties made under their authority; to all cases affecting ambassadors, other public ministers and consuls; to all cases of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction; to controversies to which the United States shall be a party; to controversies between two or more states; between a state and citizens of different states; between citizens of the same state claiming lands under grants of different states; and between a state, or the citizen thereof, and foreign states, citizens or subjects.

Although the Constitution specifies only the Supreme Court by name, it authorizes "such inferior courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish." Anticipating the creation of such courts by the Congress, the Constitution states that "In all cases affecting ambassadors, other public ministers and consuls, and those in which a state shall be a party, the Supreme Court shall have original jurisdiction. In all other cases before mentioned, the Supreme Court shall have appellate jurisidiction, both as to law and to fact, with such exceptions, and under such regulations as the Congress shall make."

In practice the Supreme Court of the United States construes the language and purposes of the Constitution when its meaning and application are in question. It is alert to prohibit, through judicial rulings on issues coming before it, any violation of that written document, or any act of the government or private interests which in the court's opinion might tend to circumvent or weaken the governmental system which brought it into being.

The nine members of the Supreme Court, consisting of a Chief Justice and eight associate justices, together constitute the nation's highest judicial tribunal and therefore the court of last resort on all issues coming before it. Since they are appointed for life, subject only to good behavior, they are in a position to reach independent and impartial judgments, and the country expects them to do so. Since the court's opinions are determined by majority vote, however, it may at times reverse itself or modify an earlier opinion as the result of changes in personnel or on the basis of new reasoning with respect to similar issues.

One interesting sidelight on the Supreme Court's reputation for fairness and impartiality, is that Americans not only expect the Supreme Court to be fair and impartial but they expect it to also seem to be fair. In the twentieth century at least it has been the usual practice to always have one Catholic and one Jew among the nine justices.

In its opinions, the Supreme Court has emphasized repeatedly that it is not concerned with the policy, wisdom or expediency of legislation, but only with its constitutionality. Alone among public officials at the national level the members of the Supreme Court have generally been completely free from the scurrelous attacks in the press frequently visited on the heads of Presidents, Vice Presidents and members of Congress. At the moment, the Supreme Court's decision in the Mallory Case mentioned earlier is extremely unpopular yet no attacks on the justices have been noticeable in the press.

On June 2, 1952 the Supreme Court for the first time in its history held that a President of the United States had exceeded his constitutional powers. It did this in setting aside an order issued by President Truman directing the Secretary of Commerce, a member of his cabinet, to take possession of and operate most of the country's steel mills. The purpose of the order was to prevent an interruption of steel production during a labor dispute occurring while the Korean conflict was in progress.

Approved For Release 1999/08/24 : CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

The President's case was based on the premise that he had acted correctly under "the aggregate of his powers" as conferred by the Constitution and with the authority implied by these powers. The court decided against the President holding that the Chief Executive should have looked to Congress for the authority he sought.

There have been isolated instances in which state or municipal governments have refused to comply or resisted compliance with a Supreme Court ruling, or attempted otherwise to circumvent the applicability of a constitutional provision to its internal affairs. The state of technique at its internal affairs. The state of technique at its internal affairs. The state of technique at its internal affairs. racial integration in its public schools. The state of Arkansas followed a more violent course in defiance of the Supreme Court ruling of May 31, 1955 ordering racial desegration in public schools terminated with "all deliberate speed." After several legal tests in lower Federal Courts to determine the state's compliance with the "all deliberate speed" enjoinder, the Governor of Arkansas called out the National Guard to prevent violence at Central High School in Little Rock. His action was interpreted as an effort to block implementation of the Supreme Court's decision. After a series of consultations and demonstrations showed little intention on the part of local authorities to obey the court order, President Eisenhower on September 24, 1957 ordered federal troops into Little Rock and federalized the Arkansas National Guard, thereby removing it from the Governor's command. One thousand members of the grack 101st Airborne division were flown into Little Rock to enforce the Supreme Court's decision.

B. LOWER FEDERAL COURTS

The Constitution leaves to the Congress much authority over the other federal courts. It can decide when to establish more federal courts and judgeships and what cases each kind of federal court shall hear. It can even change or abolish any federal court except the Supreme Court.

Congress has established two types of federal courts whose function is to settle the maximum number of suits and thus lighten the work load of the Supreme Court. The nation is divided into judicial circuits.

Approved For Release 1999/08/24: CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

In each circuit there is one Court of Appeals--the higher of the two types of courts--and a number of District Courts, about 85 in number. There is also a United States Court of Appeals in the District of Columbia which is regarded as a judicial district.

By statute, most federal suits or prosecutions must be tried first in the District Courts. Under certain conditions, if parties to a trial are dissatisfied with the lower court's decision, they may appeal to a higher federal court. In some instances, the appeal may be carried directly to the Supreme Court and in others the case must first be appealed in the Court of Appeals. There are also cases in which the Court of Appeals ruling is final unless the United States Supreme Court chooses to review the ruling. The Courts of Appeals are empowered to review and enforce orders of many federal administrative bodies, such as the National Labor Relations Board and the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The $U \$ District Courts are the trial courts with general federal jurisidiction. Each district court has from one to 18 federal district judges depending on the amount of judicial work withink its territory.

District Courts have original jurisdiction, that is they gather facts and pronounce judg/ment, usually with a jury. The Constitution guarantees the right of trial by jury in all cases except civil matters involving less than \$20.00.

District Courts handle both civil and criminal cases which fall with the jurisdiction of federal laws. Civil cases tried by district courts include:

Cases where a person sues for rights under a federal law.
Cases arising on the high seas involving admiral ty law.
Disputes between citizens of different states. A corporation is considered a person or citizen of a state.

Criminal cases include counterfeiting, postal violations, customs violations and internal revenue matters, espionage, sabotage, treason, kidnapping, white slave traffice, etc.

The Congress, at various times, has established a number of special courts. In 1855, the Court of Claims was set up to allow persons to present money claims against the government. Another special court is

(29)

Approved For Release 1999/08/24: CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

the U₁S₁ Customs Court, established in 1926, which arbitrates disputes over the amount of any customs tax on goods being brought into the country. There is also a U₁S₁ Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, which is a court of appeals for customs cases and for patent cases where an inventor feels he has been unjustly refused a patent on his invention by the Department of Commerce. Finally, of fairly recent origin, there is the U₁S₁ Court of Military Appeals to which military personnel may appeal from the sentence of a military tribunal.

C. THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Although it is not completely true to consider the Dep of Justice as a bridge between the federal and state legal systems, it does seem proper to consider that agency here before getting into the structure of the state governments in the United States.

Precisely because certain acts both of a civil and criminal nature fall within the province of federal rather than state courts, it seems fitting to take a good look at the great variety of responsibilities which rest on the shoulders of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice.

The position of Attorney General dates back to 1789 when President Washington chose Edmund Randolph of Virginia as a member of his original cabinet. The Attorney General is head of the Dept of Justice and chief law officer of the federal government. He represents the United States in legal matters generally and gives advice and opinions to the President and to the heads of the executive departments of the government on request. The Attorney General appears in person to represent the government in the U.S. Supreme Court in cases of exceptional gravity or importance.

Time and space do not permit detailed discussion of all of the activities carried on by the Dept of Justice. At least we can list all of them and discuss some of them. Organizationally, the Dept of Justice in the Office of the Attorney General with overall responsibility, the Deputy Attorney General who has supervision over all major units of the Department, acts as chief liaison officer with Congress and other government agencies. Part of the deputy's office—the executive offices supervise the activities of U.S. Attorneys and marshalls.

In general the Department is broken down into offices, divisions, bureaus, and boards. In addition to the two principal offices, there are the offices of the Solicitor General, Legal Counsel, Pardon Attorney, and Alien Property. The divisions include: Anti-Trust, Civil, Criminal, Internal Security, Lands, Tax, and Administrative. The bureaus include the widely known Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Bureau of Prisons, and the Immigration and Naturalization Service. The boards include the Board of Immigration Appeals, and the Board of Parole.

The Solicitor General appears for and represents the government in cases before the Supreme Court. When instructed by the Attorney General, the Solicitor General may conduct and argue any case in which the federal government is interested, in any court of the United States or in any state or municipal court, conferring with and directing the activities of federal law officers throughout the country whenever the occasion so requires. No appeal is taken by the United States to any appellate court without the authorization of the Solicitor General

The assistant attorney general in charge of the Civil Division has supervision over all matters relating to civil suits and claims not otherwise assigned involving the United States and its officers, agents, and employees. Typical of the activities of the division are cases involving admiralty and shipping claims, war damages, general salvage, marine and war risk insurance, fraud cases, patent, veterans, customs cases and general civil matters.

The assistant attorney general in charge of the Criminal Division has responsibility for and supervision over the enforcement of federal criminal laws generally, including laws relating to criminal practice and procedure. He has general direction and supervision over United States District Attorneys with respect to the conduct of criminal prosecutions involving violations of federal criminal statutes such as those relating to counterfeiting and forgery, bribery, customs, firearms, extortion, kidnapping, postal matters, narcotics, passports, white slavery traffic, fair labor standards, etc.

Of all the units under the Attorney General the most widely known is the famed FBI, headed since 1929 by J. Edgar Hoover. It is his responsibility to investigate all violations of federal laws with the exception of those which have been assigned by legislative enactment or otherwise to some other federal agency, such as the statutes pertaining to counterfeiting, postal violations, customs violations, and internal revenue matters. The FBI has jurisdiction over investigations involving violations of espionage, sabotage, treason, and other matters pertaining to the internal security of the United States. In all there are about 140 categories of investigative matters within the juris diction of the FBI.

The FBI should not be confused with the Secret Service. The latter is a bureau of the Treasury Department created under an 1860 act of Congress. The Secret Service is responsible for the protection of the person of the President and members of his family, the President-elect, and the Vice President at his request. The Secret Service is also responsible for the detection and arrest of any person committing any offense against the laws of the United States relating to coins, obligations, and securities of the government. Since 1930 the White House police force has also been under the jurisdiction of the chief of the Secret Service.

Another point worth mentioning is that although the Department of Justice must carry the burden of prosecuting cases in the federal courts, the role of the FBI is purely investigative in nature. It has no responsibility whatsoever for prosecuting cases in court.

Outside of Washington, the Department of Justice has a district attorney and a U.S. Marshalf in each of 85 judicial districts into which the states are divided, and others in Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and the Panama Canal Zone. The incumbents are appointed by the Senate for four year terms, on recommendation of the Attorney General. A district attorney presents to a grand jury all violations of federal laws coming to his attention within his jurisdiction. Should the grand jury bring in an indictment, the district attorney must conduct the case for the government against the accused person. His work, then, corresponds to that of county prosecuting officers who act under state authority.

The U/S/ Marshalds and their deputies are charged with arresting and holding in custody persons accused of crimes against federal laws, summoning jurymen, serving legal processes, executing the judgments of the federal courts, and protecting federal judges from personal violence when engaged in the performance of their official duties.

D. State Courts

The state court system is similar to the federal with a state supreme court empowered to declare state laws unconstitutional.

Since the Constitution reserves to the states those powers not expressly delegated to the federal government, each state establishes and finances its own judiciary system and creates its own statutes. This accounts for the differences in the laws and legal systems of each of the 48 states. In general the common pattern in ascending order of authority is that of the District, County, Superior, and Supreme Court. By and large the legal tradition in the United States is derived from the English common law. In the state of Louisiana, however, prevailing law follows the French legal tradition or code of Napoleon, which is based primarily on the codefication of Roman Law.

Cities, like states, are empowered to establish and finance their own courts and create their own statutes and regulations. The majority of cases handled by municipal courts involve violations of traffic regulations, petty crimes and misdemeanors.

come down from the English courts. Persons accused of a crime have the right of trial by jury. An accused person cannot be punished twice for the same offense. Two types of juries are used: the grand jury and a trial or petit jury. A grand jury is called to decide whether a person accused of a crime shall be obliged to defend himself in court. If a majority of the grand jury finds there is enough evidence to bring the accused person to trial, it draws up a formal charge in writing, called an indictment. Then a trial must be held to decide the person's guilt or innocence. For this purpose a trial or petit jury is chosen. The trial jury usually consists of 12 citizens. Its members hear the evidence and return a verdict of guilty or not guilty. In most cases the decision of the constitutions and the

Approved For Release 1999/08/24 : CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

State Governments A. JURISDIE TION

The power, importance, and prestige of the national government of the United States should not obscure the fact that practically every American citizen is at the same time a citizen of some state and an inhabitant of a sub-division of a state called a county, and of some fraction of a county known as a town, township, village, borough, or city. The delegates to the Constitutional convention, and the people they represented, gave their first loyalty to their home states. It was from a union of the teen states that the national government originally arose and notwithstanding the vast powers gathered to itself by that government in later years, the states are still the focal points of inpressive authority and action. Without some acquaintance with the states and their governments, one cannot completely understand either the federal system or the national government itself.

State governments are mainly concerned with administering to and serving the everyday needs of the state's residents. The jurisdiction of the state extends principally to internal communications, education, property regulations, criminal code, working conditions, etc. The sole requirement imposed on the states by the federal Constitution is that their government be republican in form and that they adopt no laws which contradict or violate the Constitution, laws and treaties of the federal government.

In addition to operating governmental systems with very wide functions within their respective areas, the states perform services without which the national government, on its present constitutional basis, could not operate. All proposed amendments to the rational constitution require ratification by state action in order to become effective. The states largely determine who may vote for representatives and senators in Congress, and for presidential electors. The states mark out the Congressional districts from which representatives are sent to Congress, and also prescribe by law most of the regulations governing the nomination and election of senators, representatives and presidential electors. Should it ever again become necessary for the House of Representatives to choose a

President, the representatives would vote by states as they did in 1824, each state having one vote. Employed as agents of the national government for a great variety of purposes, the states serve that government in the expenditure of appropriations in road building, forest protection, unemployment relief, material and child welfare, agricultural and vocational education, industrial rehabilitation and national defense.

Considering the high degree of independence enjoyed by each of the 48 states, one might well feel a sense of futility in engaging in a study of American state governments. If one were inclined to follow the subject to minute details many years of research would be required. However, there are many fundamental features which the states have in common. All have a single chief executive—the governor. All have a written constitution, legal equality and native or inherent powers. With the lone exception of Nebraska which has a one-house legislature, all of the states are organized on the same broad pattern and have functions and problems generally similar. Every state has a representative legislature, civil and criminal courts, local governments, separation of powers and a system of checks and balances.

The proper discharging of its many obligations to the people brings the state government into a variety of activities. In many cases it works jointly with other government units and sometimes it is solely responsible.

The 48 states differ widely in both area and population. Rhode Island has a land area of less than 1100 square miles while Texas boasts of an area of more than 260,000 square miles. Nevada has a population of less than 200,000 while New York State is the residence of about 16 million people. Yet, their governments have much in common and the differences are very slight.

soch flote sends twoth

Approved For Release 1999/08/24: CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

The state is divided into districts and the people of each district elect one state senator and one or more representatives. It is usually a requirement that candidates for these offices shall have lived for at least one year in their districts before they can qualify for election. The state government often has great difficulty in so dividing the state into districts that both city and county people get equal representation in the state legislature.

In the 47 states--Nebraska is the only exception--which have legislatures divided into two houses, laws are made in much the same way. Any member of either house may propose a bill which he wishes to have enacted into law. After the bill is introduced it is referred to an appropriate committee for study.

Most of the actual work of the state legislature is carried on by committees. In considering important bills the committees frequently hold public hearings where persons favoring or opposing the bill may express their views. If the committee reports the bill out favorably there is usually some debate on the "floor" of the house before the vote. Just as in the Mational Congress, a bill which passes one house is then sent to the other where similar steps are taken. If a bill passes both houses it is sent to the chief executive, the Governor. In every state except North Carolina the governor may veto a bill the disapproves. The legislature may pass the bill over the Governor's veto. In some states a majority only is required. Other states follow the national pattern and require a two-thirds vote to override a veto.

The governors of about half of the states are elected for two years and the rest for four. His powers are outlined in the state constitution. Like the President of the United States, he frequently suggests new laws to the legislature and he is empowered to call special sessions. He affoirts members of many boards and commissions and is head of the National Guard in his state.

The Secretary of State is an elected official on the Governor's staff who is charged with keeping the official records of the state. He has no diplomatic or representational function in spite of the identity of his title with that of the federal cabinet officer responsible for foreign affairs.

Approved For Release 1999/08/24: CIA-RDP78-02771R000500270003-2

All states have auditors or comptrollers and treasurers whose duties are so obvious that they need not be spelled out here. Usually there are also labor commissions, banking commissions, board of health and highway departments.

The attorney egeneral is the chief law officer of the state. He goes into the law courts, or sends in one or more of his associates, to represent the state in any case in which the interests of the people of the state are involved. He also advises the governor and other state officials on the meaning and application of many state laws.

The Judiciary Branch in the state court system has the duty of explaining the state laws and of declaring how the laws shall be applied in cases brought to the courts by persons or organizations, or by the state in prosecuting criminals. The state court judges settle disagreements in which persons, groups of persons, the state, or local governments are invoved. They hear cases about person rights and property. They help to decide the guilt or innocence of persons accused of breaking the state laws and determine the punishment of crimes. The state Supreme Court may declare that a state law which does not agree with the state or federal constitution is void because of its unconstitutionality.

State courts have authority to try both civil and criminal cases, except those specifically reserved to the federal courts. The Constitution guarantees every American the right to freedom, property, and life. If another person violates these rights, he can be sued in court by the injured person. The court is charged with hearing both sides of the case, ending the fury and assessing damages against the guilty person. A case between two persons, where the injury does not affect the general public, is called a civil suit. In such cases the state is not represented by legal counsel although forward the presiding judge is a state official. If the injury does harm to the public or breaks a law which is designed to protect the people, the act is considered a crime, and the state, represented by the attorney general brings a criminal action against the person who has broken the law. In this category are such crimes as murder, burglery, robbery, rape, bribery, arson, and perjury.

The simplest form of state court is usually presided over by a Justice of the Peace. Many of these individuals operate on a part-time basis, and a fee system whereby they are paid a certain percentage of the fines which they levy. These men have been lampooned in story and on the stage so much that most Americans regard them as comic opera characters. Many toursts have seen their vacations wrecked by being caught in speed traps designed to snare unwary motorists. Frequently, the fines imposed are all out of proportion to the offense and naturally the larger the fine, the larger the fee for the Justice of the Peace. This archaic system is usually confined to rural areas although right next to the District of Columbia, nearby Maryland counties still use this outmoded system. In large cities such work is usually done by the police courts or special municipal courts.

cases involving more important questions are handled by various other courts, usually called <u>District courts</u>, <u>County courts</u>, <u>Superior courts</u>, <u>Circuit courts</u> or <u>Common Pleas courts</u>. In most cases these courts are authorized to handle both civil and criminal cases.

The highest court in the state legal system is the Supreme court. This court hears many cases which have already been argued and decided in a lower court, but in a way which the loser believes to be unfair. Most of its work consists of hearing such appeals. The Supreme court is given power to review the decisions of the lower courts in order to protect all parties from possible injustice. The right to seek such a review is known as the right of appeal. In criminal cases, where a man's life is at stake even a poor man has a reasonable expectation of getting the free services of court-appointed attorneys to present his case to the highest tribunal. In civil cases it is often extremely difficult for a poor man to beat a large corporation. American big business frequently retains attorneys on the payroll to protect its interest. Even though a man might win a large judgment in a lower court, frequently he cannot afford the attorney's fees or prolonged absence from work required while the corporation appeals and appeals to successive ly higher courts to reverse the lower court's decision.

In some states there are a larger number of special courts. For example, there may be a Probate court to help distribute the property of persons who have died, especially if they have not made a will or

if their fortune large. There may be Juvenile courts to try cases in which children have broken the law. New York City is currently trying to cope with the problem of about 10,000 juvenile delinquents who have made a mockery of the city's educational system by all sorts of harassments and even major criminal acts.

In addition, there are frequently established courts of domestic relations to settle disagreements between husbands and wives, attempt reconciliation, or grant divorce. Usually there are also small claims courts to handle cases of small debts with a minimum of expense.

All trials are presided over by judges who are elected officials in some states, although appointed by the governor or by the legislature in others.